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## Information for the Public

Location The meeting is in the Guildhall on the Market Square (CB2 3QJ).

Between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. the building is accessible via Peas Hill, Guildhall Street and the Market Square entrances.

After 5 p.m. access is via the Peas Hill entrance.

All the meeting rooms (Committee Room 1, Committee 2 and the Council Chamber) are on the first floor, and are accessible via lifts or stairs.

Public Some meetings may have parts, which Participation will be closed to the public, but the reasons for excluding the press and public will be given.

Most meetings have an opportunity for members of the public to ask questions or make statements.

To ask a question or make a statement please notify the Committee Manager (details listed on the front of the agenda) prior to the deadline.

- For questions and/or statements regarding items on the published agenda, the deadline is the start of the meeting.
- For questions and/or statements regarding items NOT on the published agenda, the deadline is $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. the day before the meeting.

QR Codes
(for use with Smart
Phones)


Speaking on Planning Applications or Licensing Hearings are subject to other rules and guidance on speaking on these issues can be obtained from Democratic Services on 01223457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Filming, Filming, recording and photography at recording council meetings is allowed subject to and photography certain restrictions and prior agreement from the chair of the meeting.

Requests to film, record or photograph, whether from a media organisation or a member of the public, must be made to the democratic services manager at least three working days before the meeting.

The Democratic Services Manager can be contacted on 01223457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Fire Alarm In the event of the fire alarm sounding please follow the instructions of Cambridge City Council staff.

Facilities for
Access for people with mobility difficulties disabled is via the Peas Hill entrance. people

A loop system is available in Committee Room 1, Committee Room 2 and the Council Chamber.

Adapted toilets are available on the ground and first floor.

Meeting papers are available in large print and other formats on request.

For further assistance please contact Democratic Services on 01223457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.


Appendix 2
Legal Services
[Insert name and address of
Punt Operator]
[Insert date] 2011
Our Ref:CLW/CON003817
Your Ref:

Dear [Insert name of Punt Operator]
Re: Ticket Sales Agent Service for Punt Operators operating chauffeur punt rides on the River Cam in the City of Cambridge

## BACKGROUND

1 Cambridge City Council ("Council") provides a ticket sales agent service for punt operators operating chauffeured punt ride services on the River Cam in the City of Cambridge and [insert name and where relevant the company registration number of the Punt Operator] of [insert address] ("Punt Operator") wishes to use that ticket agent sales service on the terms and conditions set out in the agreement contained in this letter ("Agreement").

## Agreement for Sale of Punt Tickets

2. The Council and the Punt Operator agree that for the period of two years starting from and including the date of this letter and subject to such earlier termination as set out in this letter ("Term"):
2.1 the Council shall act as a non-exclusive ticket sales agent for the Punt Operator for the sale of those tickets which are described in Schedule 1 to this letter ("Tickets"),
2.2 to such customer/s ("Customer/s"):

- attending in person at, and who ask to buy one or more Tickets from the Council's Cambridge Tourist Information Centre ("TIC") at Peas Hill, Cambridge CB2 3AD, during normal TIC business hours (Easter 2011 - October 2011: Monday to Friday 10:00-17:00, Saturday 10:00 - 17:00, Sunday and Bank Holidays 11:00-15:00 and November 2011 to April 2012: Monday to Friday 10:00-17:00, Saturday 10:00-17:00) and
- purchasing Tickets online using the Council's online ticket purchase system via the Council's website ("Website") (currently at: (http://www.visitcambridge.org/TicketServer/DailyTours.aspx?p=18\&pid=825\&prcid=4\&ppid=8 25)
2.3 at the published rate ("Published Rate") set out in Schedule 3 of this Agreement,
2.4 and shall also provide such other services as are set out in this Agreement and such other services as the Council may in its absolute discretion decide to provide from time to time,
2.5 in return for the Punt Operator charging the Council the net rate ("Net Rate") set out Schedule 3 of this Agreement, in respect of each and every Ticket sold by the Council to the Customer/s (excluding Tickets which are refunded by the Council to Customers),
2.6 in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.


## Punt Operator's obligations

3. The Punt Operator agrees that in consideration of the Council providing the ticket sales agent service in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, the Punt Operator will throughout the Term:
3.1 comply with all of the obligations set out in Schedule 2 of this Agreement, and
3.2 charge the Council no more than the Net Rate in respect of each and every Ticket sold to Customer/s by the Council (excluding Tickets which are refunded by the Council to Customers) in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement .

## Council's Obligations

4.1 Subject to paragraphs 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9 below, the Council shall arrange for Tickets to be available for sale at the Published Rate at the TIC during normal TIC business hours and via the Council's online ticket sales system on the Website.
4.2 Whenever a customer attends in person at the TIC during normal TIC business hours and asks to buy one or more tickets for a chauffeur punt ride service then, unless that customer asks to buy a Ticket, the Council shall use its reasonable endeavours to supply such customer with factual information about all those chauffeur punt operators for which it provides a ticket sale agent service. The Council will not seek to persuade or influence such customer and it will be at the customer's discretion as to which punt operator's tickets the customer chooses to buy.
4.3 The Council shall at the end of each calendar month inform the Punt Operator of all Tickets sold during the preceding month ("Relevant Period") in the form of a spreadsheet report showing the number or Tickets sold / refunded by ticket type for the Relevant Period.
4.4 Within thirty (30) working days of receipt of each Council report referred to at paragraph 4.3 above, the Punt Operator shall check the Council's report, raise any enquiries with the Council as necessary and submit to the Council a valid invoice for the gross value of all Tickets sold by the Council during the Relevant Period (excluding any Tickets refunded by the Council to Customers) LESS the agreed administration fee ("Administration Fee") per ticket sold, set out in Schedule 3 of this Agreement that the Council shall retain to cover administrative expenses.
4.5 The Council shall, within thirty days of receipt by the Council of an undisputed invoice from the Punt Operator, pay the Punt Operator by BACS payment the gross value of all Tickets sold by the Council during the Relevant Period (excluding any Tickets refunded by the Council to Customers) LESS the Administration Fee PROVIDED THAT the Punt Operator must, on the date of this

Agreement, provide to the Council in writing the Punt Operator's bank account details (including name of the Punt Operator's bank account, bank sort code and account number).
4.6 The Punt Operator's use of the Council's ticket sales agent service provided under this Agreement does not guarantee the sale of any Tickets to Customers.
4.7 The Council arranges hosting of the Website using third parties and the Punt Operator accepts that the third party host has sole liability to provide hosting services. The Council makes no warranty or guarantee as to the availability or non-interruption of those hosting services and the Council cannot accept liability for losses caused by the unavailability, malfunction or interruption of the hosting services.
4.8 The Council is not responsible for the promotion of the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service and, is not bound to display, at the TIC nor on the Website, any information/advertising/marketing/promotional material relating to any punt operator's chauffeur punt ride service, in respect of which the Council provides a ticket sale service but, if it does so, the Council will use its reasonable endeavours to provide every such punt operator for whom it provides a ticket sales service, with broadly similar display space for such information/advertising/marketing/promotional material.
4.9 Please note that the Council offers advertising and marketing services (which do not form part of this agreement) to Visit Cambridge members to help provide exposure and increase ticket sales. Additional details and rates for those services can be obtained by emailing membership@cambridge.gov.uk.

## Non-exclusive

5. This agreement does not prevent the Council from providing ticket sales agent services to, and/or acting as agent for the sale of tickets supplied by, other punt operators or any other persons.

## LIABILITY EXCLUDED

6. The Council accepts no liability for any consequences, whether direct or indirect, that may come about from the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service and/or the Punt Operator's operation of those chauffeur punts ("Chauffeur Punts") in respect of which the Council is selling Tickets under this Agreement.

## Ending of agreement

7.1 Subject to clause 7.2, this Agreement will end at the end of the Term.
7.2 Prior to the end of the Term, this agreement will end:
7.2.1 immediately on notice given to the Punt Operator by the Council if the Punt Operator goes into liquidation, administration, is wound up or otherwise ceases to exist
7.2.2 immediately on notice given to the Punt Operator by the Council if the Council considers that there has been a breach of the terms of this Agreement by the Punt Operator and/or any person working with the Punt Operator
7.2.3 if either party gives to the other not less than thirty days prior written notice.

## Variation

8. No variation of this Agreement shall be of any effect unless made in writing and signed by the Council and the Punt Operator.

## DISPUTES

9. In the event of any unresolved dispute between the Council and the Punt Operator, the parties may attempt to negotiate a settlement and/or conduct a mediation. However, nothing shall limit either party's right to refer any dispute under this Agreement to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Courts of England

## Notices

10.1Any notice to be given under the terms of this Agreement must be in writing and shall be properly served by sending the same by recorded delivery post or facsimile to the following addresses or facsimile numbers of the parties:

The Council: [Insert title of officer, department and address]

The Punt Operator: [Insert name and address]
10.2 The parties shall promptly notify one another of any change of address or telephone or facsimile number.

## Miscellaneous

## 11. This Agreement:

11.1 is personal to the Punt Operator and may not be sub-contracted or assigned by the Punt Operator,
11.2 shall be governed by English Law
11.3 supersedes all previous agreements between the Council and the Punt Operator.
12. In this Agreement, unless the context clearly indicates another intention:
12.1 reference to one gender includes all genders,
12.2 reference to the singular includes the plural and vice versa,
12.3 obligations undertaken by more than a single person, club, society or company are joint and several obligations
12.4 reference to a document is a reference to that document as from time to time supplemented or varied, and
12.5 any reference to a person includes natural persons and partnerships, firms and other such incorporated bodies, corporate bodies and other legal persons of whatever kind and however constituted.

## Third Party Rights

13. A person who is not a signatory to this Agreement shall have no rights pursuant to the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999 to enforce any term of this Agreement.

If you agree to the above terms and conditions, please sign both copies of this letter and return one copy to the above address.

Yours faithfully,

## Emma Thornton <br> Head of Tourism and City Centre Management

I confirm that I have read and understood this Agreement and I agree to the above terms and conditions.

## Signed:

Dated: $\qquad$
Name
Company

## SCHEDULE 1

## Tickets

Tickets means tickets which:

1. are printed and supplied by the Council using the TIC's standard ticket template for sale in the TIC or generated by the Council's online ticket sales system for sale online on the Website
2. contain wording, which has been agreed by the parties and
3. are for the chauffeur punt ride service provided by the Punt Operator on the River Cam in the City of Cambridge only
4. include a statement that the Council is acting as agent for the Punt Operator for the sale of Tickets
5. include a statement that the Council accepts no liability for any consequences, whether direct or indirect, that may come about from the Punt Operator's operation of those chauffeured punts in respect of which the Council is selling Tickets under this Agreement ("Chauffeur Punts")
6. include the following statement:
"From the full terms and conditions of sale (www.[ ]) we would like to draw your attention to the following:

- Tickets can only be exchanged or money refunded at the discretion of the Tourist Information Centre Manager or the Guided Tours Manager or when a chauffeur punt ride service is postponed or cancelled
- Cheque refunds only are issued for postponed or cancelled chauffeur punt ride services
- Lost or stolen Tickets cannot be replaced or money refunded
- The Council cannot be held responsible for Tickets purchased from other sources
- You may not re-sell or transfer a Ticket if prohibited by law"

7. show the number of people for which the Ticket is issued
8. contain details of the location of the passenger pick up point for the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service
9. include the contact name and address, telephone number and email address of the Punt Operator
10. include a statement that the Ticket must be redeemed not later than six months from the date of purchase by the Customer or (if earlier) the last operational day of the Punt Operator's scheduled chauffeur punt ride service season for the relevant year in which the Customer purchased the Ticket
11. include a statement notifying the Customer of where:

- the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service standard terms and conditions can be found and
- the Council's ticket sales standard terms and conditions can be found.


## SCHEDULE 2

## Punt Operator's Obligations

The Punt Operator must (at its own expense):

1. act at all times in its relations with the Council dutifully and in good faith
2. provide to the Council all such information and documentation about the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service (including, where possible, a directional map showing the location of the customer pick up point for the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service) and such other support as the Council may from time to time reasonably require for the purpose of selling Tickets and to enable it properly and efficiently to discharge its obligations under this Agreement and as the Council will need to provide to Customer/s in order for Customer/s to understand the chauffeur punt ride service that Ticket/s are being purchased in respect of
3. notify the Council of all promotional events and special discount promotions or coupons in respect of the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service, prior to their publication
4. hold membership of the Council's Visit Cambridge
5. hold a valid and up to date licence from the Conservators of the River Cam for the operation of all of the Chauffeur Punts, and comply with that licence at all times
6. only pick up and drop off Customers using the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service, from and to:

- those punt stations known as Granta Mill Pond, Mill Pit West, Mill Pond East, Trinity College Frontage, Quayside or La Mimosa (which punt stations are, for the purposes of identification only, shown on the attached plan) and
- for which the Punt Operator has obtained the written consent of the owner of that land on which those punt stations are situated to so use and has provided evidence of that consent to the Council

7. provide the chauffeur punt ride service to Customer/s or procure that it is provided with reasonable skill and care and in accordance with the best practice prevailing in the chauffeur punt business from time to time
8. inform the Council immediately in the event of a temporary cessation/cancellation of the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service
9. comply with all relevant legislation and all applicable codes of practice and other similar codes or recommendations, and notify the Council immediately of any significant departure from such legislation, codes or recommendations
10. without prejudice to the generality of paragraph 9 above, comply with all rules and regulations made by the Conservators of the River Cam and the Council or other properly constituted authority, including (without limitation):

- the Byelaws of the River Cam made by the Conservators of the River Cam under Section 25 of the River Cam Conservancy Act 1922 (as amended)
- The Conservators of the River Cam Code of Practice for Commercial Punt Operators
- the Council's Code of Practice for Punt Operation at the La Mimosa Landing Stage (and for the avoidance of doubt in respect of those Punt Operators that are not operating from La Mimosa Landing Stage, such Punt Operators will be required to comply with such code in so far as it is relevant)
- Cambridge City Council Code of Conduct for Commercial Punt Operators
- the Byelaw on Touting for Services on the Streets of Cambridge made under Section 235 of the Local Government Act 1972 (sealed on 14 March and in force from the 30 September 2005)

11. in respect of all of the Chauffeur Punts:

- keep such Chauffeur Punts in good condition, river worthy and fit for transporting the public
- ensure that the registration number which is assigned to each Chauffeur Punt is exhibited on both sides of the hull, clearly visible and readable from a distance of 15 metres and, where reasonably possible, displayed on the top deck.

12. indemnify and hold harmless the Council, its employees, agents, officers or sub-contractors with respect to all claims, demands, actions, costs, expenses, losses, damages and all other liabilities arising from or incurred by reason of the actions and/or omissions of the Punt Operator in relation to the Punt Operator's operation of all of the Chauffeur Punts, the nonfulfilment of obligations of the Punt Operator under this Agreement or its obligations to third parties
13. effect and maintain with a reputable insurance company a policy or policies of insurance in respect of all risks which may be incurred by the Punt Operator arising out of the Punt Operator's operation of the Chauffeur Punts, including death or personal injury, loss of or damage to property or any other loss and such insurance must include public liability insurance with a limit of indemnity of not less than three million pounds ( $£ 3,000,000$ ) and employer's liability insurance with a limit of indemnity of not less than five million pounds $(£ 5,000,000)$ and in each case in relation to any one claim or series of claims arising from the Punt Operator's operation of the Chauffeur Punts
14. provide copies of the insurances referred to at paragraph 13 above, and evidence that the relevant premiums have been paid, to the Council on the date of this Agreement and thereafter on request
15. comply with the requirements of the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and any other acts, orders, regulation and codes of practice relating to health and safety, which may apply to the Punt Operator, Punt Operator's employees and other persons working for or with the Punt Operator in connection with the Chauffeur Punts
16. ensure that the Punt Operator's health and safety policy statements (as required by the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974), are made available to the Council on the date of this Agreement and thereafter on request
17. ensure that the Punt Operator, the Punt Operator's employees or any other persons engaged in providing the chauffeur punt ride service and/or performing their obligations under the terms of this Agreement take all due precautions to protect the health and safety of all persons (including passengers), who may be involved in, or affected by, the performance of the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service and/or this Agreement
18. provide adequate management, supervision and training for all punt chauffeurs employed by the Punt Operator and any other staff, volunteers or others working for or with the Punt Operator in connection with the Chauffeur Punts
19. make all appropriate arrangements for safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children and vulnerable adults using the Chauffeur Punts.
20. report any health and safety concerns and/or issues relating to the operation of the Punt Operator's chauffeur punt ride service to the Council's Visit Cambridge Department by telephoning 01223457577
21. maintain a risk register for the Punt Operator's operation of the Chauffeur Punts and supply a copy of this to the Council, on request
22. not publish any material referring to the Council and not include the Council's logo in any such material without the prior agreement of the Council and not do anything which might bring the Council's name into disrepute
23. provide the Council with marketing material detailing the Punt Operator's terms and conditions that will apply as between the Punt Operator and the Customer.

## SCHEDULE 3

## Ticket Rates

Ticket Type
Net Rate
Published Rate
Administration Fee

Adult

Concession

Student

Child (under 12 years)

Family ( 2 adults +2 children)

Family (3 adults +3 children)

| To: | Executive Councillor for Customer Services and Resources: Councillor Neil McGovern |
| :---: | :---: |
| Report by: | Chief Executive, Director of Customer \& Community |
|  | Services, Director of Environment and Director of Resources |
| Relevant scrutiny | Strategy \& 16/1/2012 |
| committee: | Resources |
|  | Scrutiny |
|  | Committee |
| Wards affected: | All Wards |
| STRATEGY AND | UURCES - CUSTOMER SERVICES \& RESOURCES |
| PORTFOLIO |  |
| REVENUE AND | AL BUDGETS 2011/12 (REVISED) |
| 2012/13 (BUDGE | AND 2013/14 FORECAST |

## Key Decision

## 1. Executive summary

## Revenue and Capital Budgets

1.1 The following report sets out the overall base revenue and capital budget position for the Customer Services \& Resources Portfolio. The report compares the proposed 2011/12 Revised Budget to the budget as at September 2011 and details the budget proposals for 2012/13 and 2013/14.

## 2. Recommendations

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:

## Review of Charges:

a) Approve the proposed charges for Customer Services \& Resources services and facilities, as shown in Appendix B to this report.

## Revenue Budgets:

b) Approve, with any amendments, the current year funding requests and savings, (shown in Appendix A) and the resulting revised revenue budgets for 2011/12 (shown in Table 1) for submission to the Executive.
c) Agree proposals for revenue savings and unavoidable bids, as set out in Appendix C.
d) Agree proposals for Priority Policy Fund (PPF) bids, as set out in Appendix E.
e) Approve the budget proposals for 2012/13 as shown in Table 2, for submission to the Executive.

## Capital:

f) Seek approval from the Executive to carry forward resources from 2011/12, as detailed in Appendix G, to fund re-phased capital spending.
g) Approve capital bids, as identified in Appendix H, for submission to the Executive for inclusion in the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan or addition to the Hold List, as indicated.
h) Confirm that there are no items covered by this portfolio to add to the Council's Hold List, for submission to the Executive.
i) Approve the current Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan, as detailed in Appendix J, to be updated for any amendments detailed in (f), (g) and (h) above.
j) Approve the following project appraisals as detailed in Appendix K:

K (1) Content Management System Replacement
K (2) Introduction of a metered electricity supply on Cambridge Market
K (3) Electronic Market Management System

## 3. Background

3.1 At its meeting on 20 October 2011, Council gave initial consideration to the budget prospects for the General Fund for 2012/13 and future years. As a result an overall savings requirement was set for net expenditure. The expectation was that service reviews would contribute significantly to achievement of these targets and the position on any service reviews within this portfolio are shown in paragraph 3.12.
3.2 The overall Budget Strategy Report (BSR) to Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee on 16 January 2012 will include a review of all the factors relating to the overall financial strategy that were included in the Medium Term Strategy (MTS).
3.3 For 2012/13 provision was made for a Priority Policy Fund (PPF) of $£ 500,000$ to provide funding for developments that demonstrate a significant contribution to the Council's Vision Statement, as set out in the Annual Statement. Where appropriate, PPF bids are listed in Appendix E.
3.4 The report to The Executive on 19 January 2012 may include details of the Government's Final Settlement for 2012/13. The announcement is likely to be made shortly after the conclusion of the consultation period, which ends on 16 January 2012.
3.5 Capital bids will be prioritised by the Executive at its meeting on 19 January 2012. Items in the existing Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan and Hold List will also be reviewed to identify any which are no longer required, or where the current indicated timing for spending is no longer accurate. The Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan can then be revised to take account of any changes required.
3.6 Further work is required on detailed budgets due to the corporate and departmental restructuring, so delegation to the Director of Resources will be sought from Council for authority to finalise changes relating to this and the reallocation of support service and central costs, in accordance with the CIPFA Best Value Accounting Code of Practice and the Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities (SeRCOP).

## Revised Budget 2011/12

3.7 The following table sets out the proposed revised revenue budget for this portfolio in comparison with the September 2011 budget.

Table 1: Revised Budget 2011/12

3.8 On 23 February 2012, Council will consider for approval the revised budget proposals for this portfolio. The table above demonstrates, after budget transfers, a net reduction in the use of reserves of $£ 127,110$ compared to the position at September 2011. See Appendix A for further details of amended budget proposals/changes.

## Review of Charges

3.9 Proposals for the review of charges for this portfolio are presented at Appendix $B$. The effects of any proposed changes have been included in the base budget projections.

## Budget 2012/13

3.10 A summary of the proposed budget for $2012 / 13$ for this portfolio is shown in Table 2. This includes the effects of the proposed savings and unavoidable bids together with the impact of the proposed new charges.
3.11 The proposed savings and unavoidable bids, identified during the budget process to date, are detailed in Appendix C.

## Service Reviews

3.12 The anticipated net savings resulting from service reviews are shown in Table 2 and detailed in Appendix C.

## Overall Revenue Budget Position

3.13 The approved budget proposals for this portfolio will be submitted to the meeting of Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee on 16 January 2012 and for consideration by the Executive at its meeting on 19 January 2012.
3.14 An overall summary of the budget proposals, as set out in this report, is shown below.

Table 2: Overall Budget Proposals

| Savings and Bids | 2012/13 <br> Budget <br> £ | 2013/14 <br> Forecast £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savings: |  |  |
| Service Reviews | $(296,160)$ | $(296,160)$ |
| Other | $(230,940)$ | $(157,840)$ |
| Total | $(527,100)$ | $(454,000)$ |
| Bids: |  |  |
| Unavoidable | 94,630 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 94,630 | 0 |
| Net savings/bids (see Appendix C) | (432,47 | $(454,000)$ |
| 仡 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Non Cash Limit Adjustments | 130,000 | 380,000 |
|  |  |  |
| Priority Policy Fund (PPF) Bids | 63,140 | 63,140 |

## Capital - 2011/12 Revised Budget, Capital Bids and 2012/13 Proposed Budget

3.15 Appendix G shows the latest position against the 2011/12 Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan at September 2011 for projects within the Customer Services \& Resources Portfolio, with variances explained in detail in the accompanying notes. A variance of ( $£ 1,375,000$ ) is anticipated of which $(£ 1,360,000)$ is due to slippage. The remaining variance of $(£ 15,000)$ relates to forecast net underspends on individual capital schemes and programmes.
3.16 Appendix H details the schemes, which have been identified as possible bids for the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan.
3.17 Section 6 of the Medium Term Strategy, approved in October 2011, highlighted the need to review current Hold List items. There are currently no items on the Hold List for this portfolio.
3.18 Appendix J shows the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan for all the projects within this committee's portfolio (including any approvals since the MTS was published in October 2011, but before any changes arising in paragraphs $3.15,3.16$ and 3.17 above).

## Public Consultation

3.19 For a number of years the Council's budget process has included consultation with the citizens of Cambridge to find out which services were most important to residents and what they thought spending and savings priorities should be for the coming budget year.
3.20 We have used a mixture of surveys and workshops to build up trend data on the views of residents about spending and saving priorities and have found that views have been quite consistent over time.
3.21 The 2011 survey was undertaken as part of the wider Citizens Survey by BMG Research Ltd on behalf of Cambridge City Council. It was conducted by means of a postal questionnaire with 801 randomly selected residents. Questions covered the level of Council Tax, identification of those service areas that are most important and those that are less important, and those services that it was felt that the Council should not provide at all.
3.22 In broad terms the results reflect previous surveys - respondents placed greatest importance on our core services: collecting rubbish from properties within the City ( $73 \%$ ), cleaning the streets and public places and removing graffiti (36\%) and collecting recyclable items from properties within the City (34\%)
3.23 They also placed high importance on working with the police to tackle anti-social behaviour (35\%), providing community service for older people (31\%) and managing and maintaining the City's parks and open spaces (28\%)
3.24 As previously, results showed that residents placed least importance on managing ticketed events and free events, such as running the Corn Exchange (27\%), providing events such as the Folk Festival, Big Weekend and Bonfire Night (24\%)
3.25 With regard to the level of Council Tax, respondents were split as to the approach to be taken, answers were:

- "Cambridge City Council should charge for more services so they don't have to increase Council Tax by more than inflation" (31\%)
- "It is important for Cambridge City Council not to increase Council tax, even if this means a reduction in the levels of service" (31\%)
- "It is important for Cambridge City Council to maintain current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax" (24\%)


## 4. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have staffing, equal opportunities, environmental and/or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.
(a) Financial Implications

Financial implications of budget proposals are summarised in Table 2 above (see also Budget Setting Report 2012/13 - Council 23 February 2012).
(b) Staffing Implications

See text above.
(c) Equal Opportunities Implications

An Equality Impact Assessment has not been conducted on these items. A consolidated Assessment for the Council's Budget Setting Report will be submitted to the Executive at its meeting on 19 January 2012.
(d) Environmental Implications

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals which are annotated as follows:

- +H / + $\mathrm{M} /+\mathrm{L}$ : to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low positive impact.
- Nil: to indicate that the proposal has no climate change impact.
- -H / -M / -L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low negative impact.
(e) Consultation

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year, a full list can be seen at:
http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/ccm/content/consultations/2011-consultations.en
(f) Community Safety

See text above.

## 5. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Medium Term Strategy 2011
- Budget Papers 2012/13


## 6. Appendices

In this Report:

- Appendix A - 2011/12 Revised Budget Items
- Appendix B - Review of Charges (2012/13)
- Appendix C - Savings and Bids (2012/13 to 2015/16)
- Appendix C (a) Non Cash Limit Adjustments (2012/13 to 2015/16)
- Appendix D *- Bids to Existing or External Revenue Funding
- Appendix E - Priority Policy Fund (PPF) Bids (2012/13 to 2015/16)
- Appendix F \$ - Revenue Budget 2011/12 to 2013/14
- Appendix G - Capital Budget 2011/12
- Appendix H - Capital Bids (2011/12 to 2015/16)
- Appendix I* - Hold List
- Appendix J - Revised Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan
- Appendix K - Project Appraisals:

K (1) Content Management System Replacement
K (2) Introduction of a metered electricity supply on
Cambridge Market
K (3) Electronic Market Management System

- Appendix L * Earmarked Reserves
\$ = Service analysis not being presented at Portfolio level.
* $=$ Not applicable for this Portfolio.


## 7. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Authors' Name: Linda Thompson and John Harvey
Authors' Phone Number: 01223-458144, 01223-458143
Authors' Email: linda.thompson@cambridge.gov.uk, john.harvey@cambridge.gov.uk

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ <br> Revised <br> Budget | Ongoing <br> Effect | Anticipated <br> 2012/13 <br> Effect |
| $£$ | Contact <br> Name |  |  |  |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Revised Budget

| RB2743 | Saving from Customer Service Centre Training Budget | $(20,000)$ | No | 0 | Chris Bolton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  'upcoming welfare benefit changes. Delay in implementing changes nationally and' Isubsequent training course unavailability means that the funds cannot be committed this \| syear. |  |  |  |  |
| RB2744 | Customer Service Pension Underspend | $(30,000)$ | No | 0 | Chris Bolton |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2758 | Internal Audit - Employee Cost Savings | $(31,400)$ | Yes | $(7,000)$ | Bridget Bishop |
|  |  lidentified ( $£ 24,400$ one-off savings due to a post being vacant for the bulk of the ${ }_{1}$ financial year and ongoing savings of $£ 7,000$ ). [See also S2757] |  |  |  |  |
| RB2759 | Risk Manager post - part year vacancy saving | $(19,300)$ | Yes | $(13,000)$ | Julia Minns |

 'of a review of the Council's risk management arrangements. The review has now been 'concluded and it is anticipated that additional resources to support risk management and related insurance work will now be engaged early in the new calendar year. [See also SR2819]

## Lion House - One-off Increase in Premises Costs

17,000
No
 lessential repairs.

Property Services - Employee Cost Savings
$(15,000)$
No
0
Philip Doggett

RB2765
Grand Arcade - Additional Head Rent (estimate)
$(55,000)$
No
0
Philip Doggett
 IMarch 2010.

| $2011 / 12$ |  | Anticipated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revised |  | $2012 / 13$ |
| Budget | Ongoing | Effect |
| $£$ | Effect | $£$ |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

| RB2773 | Photocopier/Multi-Functional Device <br> Contract extension | $(9,000)$ | No | Paul Boucher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 'extended until January 2012, this was to allow flexibility whilst a new contract was' 'procured in line with the Council's Printer Strategy. The extension has resulted in reduced' monthly rental costs for a number of devices across the council for the 2011/12 financial year. This is a one-off saving.

Deletion of a Fraud Prevention Officer Post
$(25,000)$
Yes
$(37,800)$
 Icurrently vacant. [See also S2813]

IT Supplies and Services Savings
$(20,000) \quad$ Yes
$(20,000)$
 longoing IT savings of $£ 20,000$ have been identified. [See also S2817]

Recovery of Benefit Overpayments
$(40,000)$
No
0
 Ino longer claiming benefits.

One-off saving from Elections cost centre
$(38,500)$
No
0
 'the referendum are covered by Government Grant which comes in two tranches, an ${ }^{1}$ ladvance and a post-election claim. Because of this grant and accounting for the totall icosts of the elections held on 5 May, some of the original budget can be returned.I

## Revised cost of Members Allowances for

John Frost

John Frost

Gary Cliff 2011/12
 'consecutive year). The inflation added element to the budget had not been removed' Iduring this time, this should be done now so that the budget matches the agreedl IAllowances Scheme. [See also S2845]

## Part year reduction in staffing costs of the Finance Team, Mill Road, due to vacancies

$(37,660)$
No
Jackie
Collinwood
 'The positions have not been filled pending the outcome of the Council's review of ${ }^{\text {I }}$ 'departmental support services. Cover is being provided through a combination of ${ }^{1}$ 'reallocation and re-prioritisation of work and the implementation of a new IT system' 'interface.


## Appendix B

## Review of Charges

## Conference/exhibition letting charges for Guildhall

DAILY LETS:-

| Charges |
| :--- |
| 2011/12 * |



| $£ 115.85$ |
| :--- |
| $£ 115.85$ |
|  |
|  |
| $£ 231.70$ |
| $£ 139.02$ |
|  |
|  |
| $£ 115.85$ |
| $£ 44.14$ |
| $£ 44.14$ |


| $£ 119.33$ | 3.00\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| £119.33 | 3.00\% |
| £238.65 | 3.00\% |
| £143.19 | 3.00\% |
| $£ 119.33$ | 3.00\% |
| $£ 45.46$ | 3.00\% |
| £45.46 | 3.00\% |
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## Appendix B

## Review of Charges

Standard letting charges for Guildhall
DAILY LETS:-

| COMMITTEE ROOMS 1 AND 2: |
| :--- |
| Morning or Afternoon (per session) |
| Evening/Weekends/Bank Holidays (per hour) |
| COUNCIL CHAMBER: |
| Morning or Afternoon (per session) |
| Evening/Weekends/Bank Holidays (per hour) |
| EXHIBITION AREA (Outside Council Chamber): |
| Monday to Friday, 9am to 5pm (per day) |
| Saturday/Sunday, 9am to 5pm (per hour) + day rate |
| Evening, after 5pm (per hour) + day rate |


| Charges 2011/12 * | Charges 2012/13 * | \% Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $£ 71.72$ | $£ 73.87$ | 3.00\% |
| $£ 71.72$ | $£ 73.87$ | 3.00\% |
| £115.85 | £119.33 | 3.00\% |
| $£ 71.72$ | $£ 73.87$ | 3.00\% |
| £115.85 | £119.33 | 3.00\% |
| £44.14 | £45.46 | 3.00\% |
| £44.14 | £45.46 | 3.00\% |

* All charges are subject to VAT.


## Appendix B

## Review of Charges

Markets

|  | Charges 2011/12 | Charges 2012/13 | \% Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cambridge Retail Market |  |  |  |
| Monday - Friday rents |  |  |  |
| Category A | 15.58 | 15.89 | 1.99\% |
| Category B | 13.97 | 14.25 | 2.00\% |
| Category C | 12.83 | 13.09 | 2.03\% |
| Saturday rents |  |  |  |
| Category A | 31.22 | 31.84 | 1.99\% |
| Category B | 27.10 | 27.64 | 1.99\% |
| Category C | 25.80 | 26.32 | 2.02\% |
| Rates | 2.11 | 2.15 | 1.90\% |
| Sunday rent | 23.46 | 23.93 | 2.00\% |
| Rates | 2.11 | 2.15 | 1.90\% |
| All Saints Craft Fair |  |  |  |
| Monday - Friday rents | 13.87 | 14.15 | 2.02\% |
| Saturday rent | 28.77 | 29.35 | 2.02\% |


| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Service Reviews

| SR2749 | Customer Service Centre <br> Budget Efficiencies | 0 | $(92,000)$ | $(92,000)$ | $(92,000)$ | $(92,000)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 'payment kiosk and self serve, changes to Guildhall reception and switchboard, encouraging ${ }^{1}$ lower cost and more automated forms of contact and income from partnership work with St ${ }^{1}$ |Albans. Further savings will be realised through the implementation of e-benefits and| ı-council tax. [See also C2740
|SR2926]

| SR2757Internal Audit - Employee <br> Cost Savings | 0 | $(7,000)$ | $(7,000)$ | $(7,000)$ | $(7,000)$ | Bridget <br> Bishop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 Ibeen identified. [See also RB2758]
Deletion of the Head of
0
0
$0 \quad(76,900)$
$(76,900)$ John Frost Revenue and Benefit Services Post
 post from financial year 2014/15. As a consequence of Welfare Reform proposals resulting in Imigration of significant workload to the DWP, it is felt that there will no longer be al ırequirement for a Head of Revenue and Benefit Services post from financial year 2014/15.I

Risk Manager post Reduction from full time to part time position
 'outcome of a review of the Council's risk management arrangements. The review has now' 'been concluded and new arrangements agreed, including a twice yearly formal review of ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |corporate risks in place of a five stage review. As a result, it is felt possible to reduce this post| from full time to part time. It is anticipated that recruitment will take place early in the new, icalendar year. [See also RB2759]

Legal Staff Saving
$0 \quad(30,240) \quad(30,240) \quad(30,240)$
$(30,240)$ Simon Pugh
 , been exceeded with the new resource levels, hence this can be offered as a saving. [See। , also SR2927]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings

Appendix: Page 2 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SR2924 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Savings from a range of } \\ \text { Human Resources }\end{array}\end{array}$ account codes
$0 \quad(35,800)(35,800)(35,800) \quad(35,800)$ Sue Dawson
 lincluding consultancy.

## Service Reviews

$0(296,160)(296,160)(373,060)(373,060)$

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings

Appendix: Page 3 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Savings

| S2747 | Funding for Trade Union Branch Secretary posts $\quad 0 \quad(32,940) \quad(32,940) \quad(32,940) \quad(32,940)$ Sue Dawson |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| S2760 | Telephone R\&R one off $0 \quad(54,600)$ <br> 0 James saving |
|  |  Ideferred. |
| S2761 | Reduction in Telephone <br> Costs 0 $(2,800)$ $(2,800)$ $(2,800)$ $(2,800)$James <br> Nightingale |
|  |  |
| S2763 | Copyright Licensing <br> Authority Fee Saving 0 $(2,500)$ $(2,500)$ $(2,500)$ $(2,500)$James <br> Nightingale |
|  | TThe C' $\overline{\text { apy }}$ [SSee also RB2922] |
| S2813 | Deletion of a Fraud 0 $(37,800)$ $(37,800)$ $(37,800)$ $(37,800)$ John Frost <br> Prevention Officer Post      |
|  |  \|saving. [See also RB2814] |
| S2817 | IT Supplies and Services 0 $(20,000)$ $(20,000)$ $(20,000)$ $(20,000)$ John Frost <br> Savings      |
|  |  Isavings of $£ 20,000$ have been identified. [See also RB2816] |
| S2843 | Savings linked to the <br> introduction of a metered <br> system for the supply of <br> electricity on the Market. 0 $(7,500)$ $(15,000)$ $(15,000)$ $(15,000)$ Emma |
|  |  ${ }_{1}$ the market. This proposal will deliver part-year savings in $2012 / 13$ of $£ 7,500$ (full year's savings, ,of $£ 15,000$ pa from 2013/14). [See also C2808] |

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings

Appendix: Page 4 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources




| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids



## Appendix [C (a)]

| 2012/13 Budget - Non Cash Limit Items |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 1 of 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Non-Cash Limit Items

| NCL2812 | Reduction in DWP Admin Subsidy Grant | 0 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  I (Subsidy Circular HB/CTB S9/2011). |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCL2994 | Revisions to projected external interest income | 0 | $111,000$ | $361,000$ | $411,000$ | 411,000 |
|  |  Ireflect the latest market projections for future interest rates, this has identified a reduction in the level of income reflecting the continuation of the economic downturn. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Cash | it Items | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |
| Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources |  | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |
| Report Total |  | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |

## Page 30

| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund, PPF |  | Appendix: Page 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## PPF Bids

| PPF2793 | Mill Road Street Co-ordinator |  | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | $0 \text { Emma }$ | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | This proposal is to appoint basis for a period of 3 yea of Mill Road linking in with a sustainable Mill Road S which Mill Road businesses Mill Road issues 4) Work Action/Business Plan delive and safety and security. The aim is that this should developed a sustainable | rov | Rōāa s es wou and n 3) Th with the mbridge ents in $m$ <br> finish to | $\overline{\text { Co }} \bar{\circ}$ <br> be 1) To netw gh this and C and key keting, <br> which re wor | ator 10 <br> omote <br> across <br> velop <br> nty Coun <br> uncil o <br> cessibility, <br> the en continue |  itality and viab city 2) To devel echanism throu to address curr rs to develop vironmental issu <br> 3 years will ha the longer te |  |
|  | I - . . . - . - . - . . . |  |  | - _ _ | - _ - | _ _ _ _ _ |  |
| PPF2949 | Electronic Market Management System | 0 | $6,640$ | $6,640$ | $6,640$ | $\text { 6,640 } \underset{\substack{\text { Emma } \\ \text { Thornton }}}{ }$ | H |
|  | This bid is for the annual 'Markets system. These in equipment annual mainten |  | cōnne erver \& costs. | $\bar{d}+\bar{o}$ ataba also | introāu upport, 9] | - ō $\overline{\text { ann }}$ - $\overline{\text { lectr }}$ pliers system |  |
| PPF2957 | Additional Senior Property Surveyor post in Property Services |  | $38,000$ | 38,000 | 38,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 38,000 Philip } \\ & \text { Doggett } \end{aligned}$ | H |
|  |  Imanagement of the Prop , relating to the investment |  | pōōt portfolio ment o |  |  | $\overline{\text { ase }}{ }^{-} \overline{\text { in }}^{-} \overline{\text { prōac }}$ agement activi |  |
| PPF2962 | Funding for a FREEPOST licence for electoral services | 0 |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 Gary Clift | H |

 'services free of charge throughout the year. This will be funded for one year initially to assess the impact on electoral registration applications against the costs involved.

## PPF Bids

Strategy \& Resources - Customer
Services \& Resources

| 0 | 63,140 | 63,140 | 63,140 | 47,140 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 63,140 | 63,140 | 63,140 | 47,140 |
| 0 | 63,140 | 63,140 | 63,140 | 47,140 |

Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee / Customer Services \& Resources Portfolio
2011/12 Capital Budget Position

| Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Original Budget 2011/12 | Current Budget 2011/12 | Spend to end September 2011 | Anticipated <br> Spend <br> October 2011 <br> to March <br> 2012 | Anticipated Variance | Re-phase Spend | Forecast Over / (Under) Spend | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 |  |
| SC361 | Disabled Access and Facilities - Guildhall Halls | S Bagnall | 80 | 80 | 0 | 0 | (80) | 80 |  | This is work to provide disabled access to the Guildhall Street entrance to the Guildhall and to provide wheelchair access to the Guildhall stage. Work is continuing to identify the most appropriate solutions. Anticipated completion is now Summer 2012. |
| 0 0 0 SOC335 N | Customer Access Strategy IT Workstream | C Bolton | 0 | 122 | 17 | 105 | 0 | 0 |  | Outstanding IT web self serve integration from the Customer Access Strategy (CAS) project ongoing and expected to be on budget by year end. Any remaining funds will be given back to the CAS business case. |
| SC517 | Re-Line Fuel Tanks | D Cox | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 On target for completion in January 2012. |
| SC192 | Development Land on the North Side of Kings Hedges Road | P Doggett | 360 | 182 | 32 | 146 | (4) | 4 |  | The variance relates to expenditure profiling differences from the managing agent's original estimates for the 2011/12 financial year. Budget of $£ 4 \mathrm{k}$ needs to be re-phased to the 2012/13 financial year to correspond with the managing agent's revised estimates. |
| SC221b | Lion Yard - Contribution to Works - Phase 2 | P Doggett | 638 | 931 | 24 | 56 | (851) | 851 |  | The majority of the 2011/12 scheme costs are likely to be for professional fees. The construction costs are likely to be incurred in the 2012/13 financial year. |
| SC391 | La Mimosa Punting Station | P Doggett | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  | Investigating possible match funding by punt operators. |
| SC508 | E-Benefits | J Frost | 0 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Project is on schedule. |
| SC458 | Capita Re-Licence | J James | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | (4) | 0 | (4) | ) Scheme completed. |

Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee / Customer Services \& Resources Portfolio
2011/12 Capital Budget Position

| Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Original Budget 2011/12 | Current Budget 2011/12 | Spend to end September 2011 | Anticipated <br> Spend <br> October 2011 <br> to March <br> 2012 | Anticipated Variance | Re-phase Spend | Forecast Over / (Under) Spend | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| SC509 | Electric Courier Van | J James | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | (14) | 0 | (14) | The capital scheme needs to be deleted from the Capital plan. The expenditure that has been incurred is of a Revenue nature. Scheme has been completed. |
| SC427 | Oracle Financials Server | J Minns | 0 | 50 | 39 | 11 | 0 | 0 |  | The new server has been sucessfully deployed and testing of Oracle Financials and Business Objects, prior to going live, is currently in progress. |
| $\omega$ <br> SC329 | Corporate Document Management (DIP \& EDRM) | J Nightingale | 358 | 454 | 10 | 94 | (350) | 350 |  | The programme of work is approaching the end of its first major phase, with the completion of customer access related services. It had always been planned to re-examine the project timetable at this time, and to determine the priority services for the next phases. Work on the remainder of the programme is therefore being re-planned, and will lead to spend later in the programme than originally forecast. |
| SC429 | Telephony System Upgrade | J Nightingale | 20 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 0 |  | Minor overspend on the Replacement of Power Cabinets project. The overspend will be funded from the Telephones repairs and renewals fund. |
| SC338 | Customer Access Strategy Web Development | A Perry | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Scheme completed. |
| SC312 | Automated Energy Monitoring System | J Stocker | 23 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  | Currently investigating the introduction of new technology with regard to recording gas consumption. |

Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee / Customer Services \& Resources Portfolio
2011/12 Capital Budget Position

| Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Original Budget 2011/12 | Current <br> Budget <br> 2011/12 | Spend to end September 2011 | Anticipated Spend October 2011 to March 2012 | Anticipated Variance | Re-phase Spend | Forecast Over I (Under) Spend | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 |  |
| SC362 | Lighting and Power in Committee Rooms | J Stocker | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | (15) | 15 |  | Design being prepared following completion of the audio visual equipment capital scheme. |
| SC507 | Visit Cambridge Website | E Thornton | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |  | The tender for the new website will be awarded by the end November. The new website is due to be launched March/April 2012 and there is no anticipated underpsend at year end. |
| Q | Total Projects |  | 1,545 | 1,983 | 150 | 518 | $(1,315)$ | 1,300 | (15) |  |
| ${\underset{\sim R O 20}{ }}_{\omega}$ | ICT Infrastructure Programme | J Nightingale | 642 | 929 | 154 | 725 | (50) | 50 |  | The programme is dependent on delivery of key projects from external suppliers, and approval of project appraisals. |
| PR023 | Admin Buildings Asset Replacement Programme | J Stocker | 68 | 68 | 1 | 57 | (10) | 10 |  | Budget of $£ 10 \mathrm{k}$ needs to be re-phased to the 2012/13 financial year to correspond with the current work programme. |
| PR024 | Commercial Properties Asset Replacement Programme | J Stocker | 306 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Programme is on schedule. |
| PR003 | City Centre Management Programme | E Thornton | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  | Schemes to the value of $£ 9 \mathrm{k}$ have been approved. The programme is being actively promoted and historically applications have come during the second half of the year. |
| Total Programmes |  |  | 1,036 | 1,080 | 155 | 865 | (60) | 60 | 0 | 0 |

[^1]
## Appendix [H]

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding

Appendix: Page 1 of 3

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding

C2739
wo des and Interview Room in Customer Service Centre.

## Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)

$0 \quad 25,000 \quad 0 \quad 0$

「Customèr Service c̄ēntre installation of fwo additional desks and interview roōm. The linstallation will allow capacity for face to face visitors to be increased by approximately $30 \%$. , at a time of increasing demand for Cambridge City council services.

C2740 Information Kiosks to be installed in local areas
$0 \quad 25,000$
0
0

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 25,000 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

 linformation regarding Cambridge City services to be accessed by residents in their locality. This proposal will allow residents to self serve and free resources to meet an increasing 'demand for customer services resulting from the economic downturn. [See also SR2749' SR2926]
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## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources



Total Bids requiring funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding

| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Bids to existing funding


## Appendix [H]

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding

Appendix: Page 3 of 3

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

0 James Nightingale

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
 'services have delayed the replacement of PC's whilst the Council has explored the' lopportunity to deploy virtual desktop infrastructure (dumb terminals) and as a result there are Inow over 250 PC's which are more than 7 years old. These are past their useful operational lifel Ias the normal replacement cycle is between 3-5 years. The replacement programme would lbe funded totally from $R \& R$. It is likely that the replacement programme will be a mix of virtual desktops and physical PC devices.
||

Total Bids to existing funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids to existing funding

| 0 | 372,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 472,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Strategy and Resources Scrutiny Committee - Customer Services and Resources Portfolio - Capital and Revenue Projects Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Appendix J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital-GF Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Ref - Cost Centre | Descripion | Lead Officer | Capital Scheme Approval (£000's) | $\underset{(£ 000 ' s)}{\text { Spend in Prior Years }}$ | Current Year <br> Budget (£000's) | $\begin{gathered} 20121 / 12 \\ (\text { E000's }) \end{gathered}$ | 2013/14 (£000's) | $\underset{\substack{20141 / 5 \\(\text { E000 } \\ \text { s })}}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2051516 \\ & \text { E } 000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | Spend This Year to End Sept 2011 £000's) £000's) | Comments |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC192-- } \\ & 42027 \end{aligned}$ | Development Land on the North Side of Kings Hedges Road | P Doggett | 5,568 | 5,135 | 182 | 178 | 74 | 0 | 0 |  | Appr Strategy Nov 03 £22k Property Strategy Fund. £64k PSF for Stamp duty appr Jan 04. Add $£ 11 \mathrm{k}$ appr Jan 06. Cap rec $£ 2155 \mathrm{k}$ to purch land. MTS July 06 appr $£ 2738$ from Cap Rec. $£ 20 \mathrm{k}$ appr Jan 07 . Add $£ 20 \mathrm{k}$ app Jul 09 £538k appr MTS 09. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC221b - } \\ & 42095 \end{aligned}$ | Lion Yard - Contribution to Works Phase 2 | P Doggett | 1,583 | 20 | 931 | 942 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Full Council 21/02/08 (See SC221a). Additional funding of £757k approved S\&R 1/9/08. £484k transferred from SC221a MTS 10. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC312- } \\ & 42054 \end{aligned}$ | Automated Energy Monitoring System | J Stocker | 35 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Scrutiny 17.1.06 £35k funded from Reserves. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC329 - } \\ & 39097 \end{aligned}$ | Corporate Document Management (DIP \& EDRM) | ${ }^{\text {N Nightingale }}$ | 978 | 523 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | Included in MTS July 2006. Funding from HRA $£ 147 \mathrm{k}$, Efficiency Fund £172k, E/R £300k, UOR £288k, TIF £71k. |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \text { sc335- } \\ 42062 \\ 40 \\ \hline 10 \end{array}\right\|$ | Customer Access Strategy - IT Workstream | c Bolton | 773 | 516 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | CAS approved MTS July 06. See SC328. |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { SC338- } \\ 42065 \\ \mathbf{O} \end{array}$ | Customer Access Strategy - Web Development | A Perry | 35 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | CAS approved MTS July 06. See SC328. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC361 - } \\ & 42081 \end{aligned}$ | Disabled Access and Facilities Guildhall Halls | S Bagnall | 80 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | £80k approved at Council 14.2.07 funded from UOR. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC362-- } \\ & 42082 \end{aligned}$ | Lighting and Power in Committee Rooms | J Stocker | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | £15k approved at Council 14.2.07 funded from UOR. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC391- } \\ & 42089 \end{aligned}$ | La Mimosa Punting Station | P Dogget | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Council 21/02/08. £10k funded from Use of Reserves. Project Appraisal to Strategy \& Resources 21/01/08. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC427-- } \\ & 37020 \end{aligned}$ | Oracle Financials Server | J Mins | 67 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | Approved Council 26.2.09, £67k from R\&R. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC429-- } \\ & 42097 \end{aligned}$ | Telephony System Upgrade | J Nightingale | 70 | 0 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | Approved Council 26.2.09, £70k from R\&R. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC458- } \\ & 42100 \end{aligned}$ | Capita Re-Licence | $\mathrm{J}^{\text {James }}$ | 61 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | £61k approved as Urgent Decision 22.4.10. £46k from R\&R, £15k transfer from SC335. |


| Strategy and Resources Scrutiny Committee - Customer Services and Resources Portfolio - Capital and Revenue Projects Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Appendix J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital-GF Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Capital Ref - Cost } \\ \text { Centre } \end{gathered}$ | Descripion | Lead Officer | Capital Scheme Approval (£000's) | Spend in Prior Years (£000's) | Current Year Budget (£000's) | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 / 14 \\ & \text { (£000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 15 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 16 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | Spend This Year to End Sept 2011 £000's) | Comments |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC507 - } \\ & 39140 \end{aligned}$ | Visit Cambridge Website | E Thomton | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Council 17.2.11 £30k funded from TIF. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC508 - } \\ & 37021 \end{aligned}$ | E-Benefits | ${ }^{\text {F Frost }}$ | 47 | 30 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved by Council 17.2.11. £40k from DWP grant and £7k Reserves. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC509 - } \\ & 42102 \end{aligned}$ | Electric Courier Van | $J^{\text {James }}$ | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved by Council 17.2.11. £14k funded from Reserves. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC517 - } \\ & 43070 \end{aligned}$ | Reline Fuel Tanks | D Cox | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved by Leader as Urgent Decision. £30k funded from R\&R. |
|  | Capital-GF Projects |  | 9,396 | 6,315 | 1,983 | 1,170 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 150 |  |
| Capitapprogrammes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Capital Ref } \\ \text { Centre }}}{\widehat{Q} D^{t}}$ | Description | Lead officer | Capital Scheme Approval (£000's) Approval (£000's) | Spend in Prior Years (E000's) | Current Year Budget (£000's) | 2012/13 (E000's) | $\begin{gathered} 2013114 \\ (E 000 ' s) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ (E 000 ' s) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 16 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spend This Year to } \\ & \text { End Sep 2011 } \\ & \text { (£000's) } \end{aligned}$ | Comments |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PR003 - } \\ & 35516 \end{aligned}$ | City Centre Management Programme | E Thomton | 174 | 326 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 0 |  | Since approved at Council 2008 - Funding for programme timescales revised to run through until 2010/11, resources for 2011/12 transferred to available funding as approved at Full Council 21/02/08. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PR020 - } \\ & 42061 \end{aligned}$ | ICT Infrastructure Programme | ${ }^{\text {N Nightingale }}$ | 2,470 | 658 | 929 | 475 | 211 | 300 | 220 | 154 | Programme of $£ 960 k$ over 5 years from 2006/07 funded from R\&R approved MTS July 2006. £580 transferred to specific projects Nov 06. Programme extended to 2013/14 MTS 2009. Further $£ 1810 k$ R\&R funding approved Feb 10. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PR023 - } \\ & 42090 \end{aligned}$ | Admin Buildings Asset Replacement Programme | J Stocker | 427 | 306 | 68 | 88 | 40 | 70 | 48 |  | Approved at Council 21/02/08. Funded from R\&R. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PR024 - } \\ & 42091 \end{aligned}$ | Commercial Properties Asset Replacement Programme | J Stocker | 581 | 85 | 63 | 77 | 347 | 20 | 230 |  | Approved at Council 21/02/08. £421k funded from R\&R. Further $£ 80 k$ approved MTS Sept 08 and $£ 80 \mathrm{k}$ approved MTS Oct 09. |
|  | Capital-Programmes |  | 3,652 | 1,375 | 1,080 | 660 | 618 | 410 | 498 | 155 |  |
| TOTAL CAPITAL PLAN |  |  | 13,048 | 7,690 | 3,063 | 1,830 | 692 | 410 | 498 | 305 |  |


| To: | Executive Councillor for Customer Services and <br> Resources Portfolio |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report by: | Andrew Limb |
| Relevant scrutiny | STRATEGY \& RESOURCES |
| committee: | SCRUTINY COMMITTEE |
| Wards affected: | All |

## Project Appraisal and Scrutiny Committee Recommendation

Project Name: Content Management System (CMS) Replacement

## Recommendation/s

## Financial recommendations -

- The Executive Councillor is asked to approve the commencement of this project, which is already included in the Council's Capital \& Revenue Project Plan (programme reference PR020).
- The total cost of the project is $£ 65,000$, funded from the IT Infrastructure Repairs and Renewals fund.
- The ongoing revenue costs of the project are $£ 21,000$, funded from the existing Corporate ICT Web Development and Support budget.

Procurement recommendations:

- The Executive Councillor is asked to approve the carrying out and completion of the procurement for technical development support to implement a replacement Content Management System to publish content to the Council's websites.
- Subject to:
- The permission of the Director of Resources being sought prior to proceeding if the quotation or tender sum exceeds the estimated contract.
- The permission from the Executive Councillor being sought before proceeding if the value exceeds the estimated contract by more than $15 \%$.


## 1 Summary

Implementation of replacement open source content management system (CMS) for website publishing and purchase of technical support to implement and further support the product.

### 1.1 The project

Target Dates:
Start of procurement January 2012
Award of Contract Apr/May 2012
Start of project delivery
Completion of project
May 2012
October 2012 ( $1^{\text {st }}$ phase)
December 2012 ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase)
April 2013 (phase 3) \& ongoing (estimated)

### 1.2 The Cost

Total Project Cost $£ 65,000$

Cost Funded from:
Funding: Amount: Details:

| Reserves | £0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Repairs \& Renewals | $\mathbf{£ 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 7 4 2}$ IT Infrastructure |
| Reveloper <br> Contributions | $\mathbf{£ 0}$ |  |
| Other |  |  |

Ongoing Revenue Cost

| Year 1 | $£ 16,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ongoing | $£ 21,000$ |  |

The ongoing revenue costs of the project will be funded from existing budget provision.

### 1.3 The Procurement

To tender on the open market for technical web development assistance to implement and further support an open source content management system, on an ongoing basis. The tender will include the outsourcing of hosting the Council's public facing websites (www.cambridge.gov.uk \& www.cornex.co.uk) with scope to include other council 'satellite' sites in the future.

The total value of the contract is estimated at $£ 124,000$ (over 4 years), as follows.
( $£ 45,000$ CMS development \& implementation - one off) (£6,000 hosting - annual)
(£10,000 support - annual)
(£5,000 development - annual - except first year)

There will be an additional capital cost for migrating the content from the old system into the new. This will be met from the IT Infrastructure Repairs and Renewals fund, but will not be part of the content management system tender/procurement.
Additional cost estimated at: $£ 20,000$.

## 2 Project Appraisal \& Procurement Report

### 2.1 The Project

The Council currently uses the APLAWS open source content management system, which was implemented in 2004. Open source systems are available for free, i.e. without licence or software costs. However, in order to customise the software for
the Council's own purposes and to support it on an ongoing basis, technical web assistance is required, from an external supplier.

The APLAWS system hasn't been improved for some time and isn't supported by many suppliers. This means we are limited in our ability to further develop it to meet our current and future needs.

A replacement is required because:

- The limited development and functionality of the CMS has lead to the Council being constrained in its ability to take forward its plans to develop a more transactional and usable website.
- It hasn't been possible for the Council to run its satellite sites or intranet within the one system, leading to inefficiencies, additional costs and support contracts.
- The CMS is difficult to use for non-technical departmental web authors, causing additional pressure on the central web team and not supporting the Council's devolved content authoring model.


## Results of Alternative Options Project

The Council commissioned SOCITM Consulting to carry out a review and detailed analysis of its current web management arrangements, to include an options appraisal for a new CMS. The report compared options for:

- a shared services arrangement with another council; the Council received interest from Huntingdon District Council to share their CMS. The costs for this were very high and proposed to use software the Council isn't planning to implement.
- procuring an off-the-shelf proprietary CMS; this is a viable option because the Council would be procuring a tailor-made CMS for local authority use. However there are initial costs for licensing \& software (estimated at $£ 50,000$ ) in addition to implementation and ongoing support costs. Long-term development opportunity would be limited to one vendor and incur greater cost.
- implementing an open source CMS; this is the most attractive option because licensing and software are available for free and
the Council has only to fund the implementation and on-going support of the product. Long-term development opportunity will be more widely available on an ongoing basis and at a lower cost. The Council would also not be limited to one vendor for software support and development.


### 2.2 Aims \& objectives

The project contributes to the Council's vision for a city whose citizens feel they can influence public decision making and are equally keen to pursue individual and community initiatives.

The Council's website is a key customer service provision and is increasingly the preferred way for customers to consume our services, interact with and contact us. A new CMS will ensure we can provide more of our services online, make improvements to the way our online services are delivered, and implement collaborative technologies to provide opportunities for citizens to have their say to influence public decision making.

In addition, the Council's intranet is in need of redevelopment and is not currently published using a content management system. Plans include improving information and searching, providing internal services online making them more efficient and to enable collaborative working.

### 2.3 Major issues for stakeholders \& other departments

The transition from the old content management system to a new one will have an impact on departmental web authors. There will be a requirement on them to learn the new system and attend training sessions and they will be required to update and maintain two websites for a short period of time during the transition phase.

Another major issue for departmental web authors will be the migration of the current content into the new system. They will be required to ensure their content is up-to-date and presented in a customer-friendly way, requiring checking throughout the process particularly after migration.

Careful consideration will be given to the timing of this so as not to put too much pressure on departments. The central web team will provide assistance, where possible.

Departmental web authors have been aware of the requirement for a new content management system for a long time, with some finding the existing system difficult to use. Therefore they are already interested in the project outcomes.

Service departments are key to the improved online service delivery that a new content management system will facilitate, therefore they should start thinking about which services could be delivered more efficiently and effectively online.

Consultation with service managers will commence early on to ensure the web project group are aware of their requirements.

### 2.4 Summarise key risks associated with the project

- Department web authors will be unfamiliar with the new software, which may have an impact on their ability to update web content. Full training will be provided at the first opportunity within the project plan and on an ongoing basis thereafter. Support and assistance will be provided from the central web team, wherever possible.
- Inclusion of new transactional services relies on the service departments identifying services that can be delivered more efficiently online. The implementation project will include full engagement with service managers to ensure their requirements are identified and scoped. Integration with the Councils customer relationship management system will be investigated as part of the implementation stage and for ongoing future development.
- Included in the website improvement plan is a restructure of the content to ensure it is more customer-friendly. A new template and design will be created and we will need to ensure these fully meet accessibility and usability standards, as well as customer needs and wants. The Council will liaise with specialist consultants and work with the supplier supporting the software to ensure issues are addressed during the implementation stage. (Costs for the specialist consultants will be met from approved efficiency fund).
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If the project is not carried out:

- The Council will be unable to provide a more accessible and transactional website and intranet to its residents, customers, staff and members.
- The Council will be unable to ensure it provides more ways for residents to be able to self-serve, consult and engage with it, allowing them to contribute to the decision-making process.
- The Council will be unable to provide an easier to use system for the departmental web publishers, thus impacting on their ability to keep content up-to-date and relevant.
- The implementation project will include full engagement with the Council's service departments, web publishers, satellite site owners and other stakeholders to ensure minimum impact/disruption to web services.


### 2.5 Financial implications

a. Appraisal prepared on the following price base: 2011/12
b. Specific grant funding conditions are: $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$
c. Other comments: n/a

### 2.6 Capital \& Revenue costs

(see also Appendix A for spread across financial years)
(a) Capital
£
Comments

Building contractor / works
Purchase of vehicles, plant \& equipment

Professional / Consultants fees
65,000
Development \& Implementation
IT Hardware/Software
Other capital expenditure

| (b) Revenue | $£$ | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maintenance | 21,000 |  |
| R\&R Contribution |  |  |



### 2.7 VAT implications

No adverse VAT Implications for this project

### 2.8 Environmental Implications

Climate Change impact

A more transactional website, enabling citizens to access the Council's services from home and at a convenient time could help to reduce the level of motor vehicle traffic in Cambridge.
A more transactional and collaborative intranet could enable staff to work more flexibly and at locations other than Council offices and therefore could help to reduce the level of motor vehicle traffic by City Council staff commuting.

### 2.9 Other implications

- The implementation plan will be designed to avoid conflicts with any other large ICT upgrades or changes to systems (e.g. the Office 2010 Upgrade) where possible.


### 2.10 Staff required to deliver the project

- Project team (Head of ICT, Head of Corporate Strategy, Head of Customer Services, Corporate Marketing \& Communications Manager, Information Manager, Web Project Manager).
- Web Officer, Technical (who will continue to maintain the existing website).
- Departmental web authors (training, content refresh).
- Service managers (identifying services for e-enabling, supporting departmental web authors).
- Temp/agency person to assist with content migration.


### 2.11 Dependency on other work or projects -

N/a

### 2.12 Background Papers

- Report to Senior Leadership Team 29/11/11
- SOCITM Consulting evaluation report October 2011


### 2.13 Inspection of papers

| Author's Name | Brigitte Wilson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Author's phone No. | 01223457285 |

Author's e-mail: Brigitte.Wilson@cambridge.gov.uk
Date prepared:
29 November 2011
Appendix K (1)
Appendix A

|  | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |  |
| Capital Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building contractor / works | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Purchase of vehicles, plant and equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Professional / consultants fees | 65,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other capital expenditure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Capital Cost | 65,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Capital Income/Funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Developer Contributions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| R\&R funding | $(65,000)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Cost centre 27742 |
| Earmarked funds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Existing capital programme funding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Revenue contributions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Income | $(65,000)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Net Capital Bid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
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Report by:
Relevant scrutiny committee:
Wards affected:

## Project Appraisal and Scrutiny Committee Recommendation

Project Name: Introduction of a metered electricity supply on Cambridge Market

## Recommendation/s

## Financial recommendations -

- The Executive Councillor is asked to recommend this scheme (which is not included in the Council's Capital \& Revenue Project Plan) for approval by Council, subject to resources being available to fund the capital and revenue costs.
- The total cost of the project is $£ 50,000$ to be funded from reserves.
- The ongoing revenue costs of the project are $£ 4,000$ pa, which will be funded from existing budgets following a review of current R \& R fund contributions. On-going savings are anticipated as part of this project and are detailed in the report.


## Procurement recommendations:

- The Executive Councillor is asked to approve the procurement of any rewiring of the existing electrical infrastructure to the value of $£ 10,000$ which may be required following a detailed survey of this prior to installing the meters and;
- The carrying out and completion of the procurement for the supply and installation of 100 electricity meters for every market stall on Cambridge market to the value of $£ 40,000$.
- Subject to:
- The permission of the Director of Resources being sought prior to proceeding if the quotation or tender sum exceeds the estimated contract.
- The permission from the Executive Councillor being sought before proceeding if the value exceeds the estimated contract by more than $15 \%$.


## 1 Summary

This project involves the upgrade of the wider market electrical infrastructure and the purchase and installation of 100 single unit electricity meters with a PP3 card meter for the supply of electricity to individual market stalls.

Full details of the background and a more detailed explanation can be given at 2.1.

### 1.1 The project

Target Dates:

| Start of procurement | Early 2012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Award of Contract | Spring 2012 |
| Start of project delivery | June 2012 |
| Completion of project | September 2012 |

### 1.2 The Cost

Total Project Cost $£ 50,000$

Cost Funded from:

| Funding: | Amount: | Details: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reserves | $£ 50,000$ | C2808 (budget bid refers) |

Ongoing Revenue Cost/(Saving)

| $2012 / 13$ | $£ 4,000$ | R \& R. This will be covered <br> within existing budgets <br> following a review of current <br> R \& R fund contributions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anticipated saving from |  |  |
| charging Market Traders for |  |  |
| the cost of electricity via a |  |  |
| metered supply (S2843 |  |  |
| refers). This is expected to |  |  |
| increase to $£ 15 \mathrm{k}$ pa from |  |  |
| $2013 / 14$. |  |  |

### 1.3 The Procurement

The procurement is split into 2 parts: -
Rewiring of the existing electrical infrastructure to the value of $£ 10,000$ which may be required following a detailed survey prior to installing the meters and;
Carrying out and completion of the procurement for the supply and installation of 100 electricity meters for every market stall on Cambridge market to the value of $£ 40,000$.
The market availability of competent/approved suppliers is currently under review. If sufficient competent suppliers are available the procurement will be awarded by competitive tender. If only a small number, or one supplier is suitable, the procurement will be subject to a waiver or exemption (which will be sought separately). Once the market research is completed the strategy will be finalised and officers are seeking approval to conclude the optimum procurement strategy and move ahead without referral back to the Exec Councillor/ Committee.

## 2 Project Appraisal \& Procurement Report

### 2.1 The Project

Cambridge Market has a diverse range of stalls with differing business needs and it has become evident that the current electrical infrastructure is not fit for purpose in supporting these. There is therefore is a real need to upgrade this infrastructure so
that the quality of supply is consistent across the Market. This will allow greater flexibility for the variety of trades on the market and ensure that the system in use is fit for purpose.

In considering the introduction of a charge for electricity it is important that any proposed system should be fair and equitable. In the current challenging economic climate it is also important that any additional charges are affordable for Market traders. Based on current electricity usage and charges and $95 \%$ occupancy the additional cost to traders would be approximately 45 p per stall per day.

The pence per kilowatt setting would be determined by the council and could therefore be reviewed annually in line with energy costs.

Currently Market traders are not directly charged for the use of electricity on the Market. This is paid for by the Council at an annual cost of approximately $£ 20,000$ per annum. Recent research has shown that Cambridge Market is one of the few markets nationally where the cost of electricity is not directly contributed to by the Market traders.

This project recommends the introduction of individual electricity meters for all 100 market stalls where traders would purchase cards from the council for the power they require.

The total cost for this project is estimated at $£ 50,000$ which is made up of 2 components;

Part A - which would cover any potential rewiring that might be required. Early indications are that whilst some work might be necessary it is unlikely to involve the whole market. Initial advice estimates that the maximum cost for this work would be $£ 10,000$.

Part B-£40,000, which covers the cost of installing the metered system. Before the project would proceed a qualified surveyor/electrical engineer would undertake a survey to establish the level of rewiring needed.

Based on the scheme being implemented mid year 2012/13 this proposal would deliver $£ 7,500$ part-year savings and savings in the region of $£ 15,000$ pa thereafter.

### 2.2 Aims \& objectives

This project links in with the following City Council vision statements as follows:

1) A city in the forefront of low carbon living and minimising its impact on the environment from waste and pollution

One of the objectives of this project is to encourage Market traders to review their level of electricity consumption and in doing so actively contribute to reducing the city's carbon footprint.
2) A city, which draws inspiration from its iconic historic centre and achieves a sense of place in all of its part with generous urban spaces and well designed buildings

This project fundamentally supports the ongoing vitality and viability of Cambridge market by providing an infrastructure, which will continue to encourage and support retail diversity.

Linked objectives are:

1) To provide a fair system where traders will only pay for the electricity they use
2) To upgrade the electricity to a consistent level across the Market therefore allowing greater flexibility for the variety of trades on the market and ensuring that the system is fit for purpose

### 2.3 Major issues for stakeholders \& other departments

The delivery of this project will deliver a significant operational improvement to the Market. It will support the ongoing development and enhancement of Cambridge Market as a trading environment for small independent businesses therefore supporting the long-term sustainability of the market.

The Market is a core component of the city's retail offer where the City Council is the landlord. In light of this role and the council's commitment to reducing the city's carbon footprint, it is important that it provides the right environment to allow traders to take
responsibility for their individual energy use and in turn their contribution to reducing the city's carbon footprint.

As implementation of this project would represent a change to the Charter Market Regulations, these proposals will be subject to 28 days consultation with all market traders, which will be taking place in December 2011. The results of this consultation will be included as an appendix to this report at the Committee Meeting.

### 2.4 Summarise key risks associated with the project

In summary, this project aims to support and safeguard the sustainability of the market, maintain current occupancy (95\%), secure on-going revenue levels to the City Council and to ensure that the Market continues to thrive against the background of a difficult economic climate.

Suitability/safety of electrical work undertaken - there is always a Health and Safety risk with electrical work, particularly when in open public space and exposed to outside elements. Contractor selection will review the health and safety credentials/plans of potential suppliers to ensure this is managed properly and robustly and that the system will be appropriate for regular usage by a variety of different traders.

### 2.5 Financial implications

a. Appraisal prepared on the following price base: 2011/12
b. Specific grant funding conditions are:

- N/A
c. Based on this scheme being implemented mid year 2012/13 this proposal would deliver $£ 7,500$ part year savings and savings in the region of $£ 15,000$ per annum thereafter.


### 2.6 Capital \& Revenue costs

(See also Appendix A spread across financial years)

| (a) Capital | £ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building contractor / works | 40,000 | This is calculated on the basis of an individual price per stall of $£ 394.33+$ VAT and is inclusive of all labour and material. |
|  | 10,000 | Estimated cost of any rewiring/remedial work to the existing infrastructure prior to the meter installation. |
| Total Capital Cost | 50,000 |  |
| (b) Revenue | $£$ | Comments |
| Maintenance | 0 | Advice from the supplier indicates that these units will be custom-made and therefore bespoke to the environment. No regular on going maintenance costs are anticipated. |
| R\&R Fund Contribution | 4,000 | This will be covered within existing budgets following a review of current $R \& R$ fund contributions. |
| Income | $(7,500)$ | Anticipated saving from charging Market Traders for the cost of electricity via a metered supply (S2843 refers). This is expected to increase to $£ 15 \mathrm{k}$ pa from 2013/14. |
| Total Revenue Cost / (Saving) | $(3,500)$ |  |

### 2.7 VAT implications

There are no adverse VAT implications for this project

### 2.8 Environmental Implications

Climate Change impact +L

This project has a low positive climate change impact.
As set out in section 2.2 of this report a key objective of this project is to encourage Market traders to review their level of electricity consumption and in doing so actively contributing to reducing the city's carbon footprint.
This project would also reduce the City Council's carbon footprint by reducing it CO2 emissions by 87.9 tonnes per year.

### 2.9 Other implications

It is difficult to be precise about the exact timing of the implementation of this project until a detailed survey has been undertaken to assess any remedial work required prior to installation of the meters. In addition, careful thought will need to be given as to how this work is phased in, as the initial indication of cost of this project is based on normal hours of work (8am 4.30 pm ) and on unimpeded access to the work area. It will therefore be necessary to allow a long lead-time for this work in order to minimise disruption to the normal running of the Market.

For Health and Safety implications please see note in section 2.4
The Markets Management team will consult the Historic Environment team on the design and appearance of the meters to ensure that they appropriate for a conservation area.

### 2.10 Staff required to deliver the project

The Markets Management team will manage this project and all external resources have been costed into the project costs as set out above.

### 2.11 Dependency on other work or projects

This project is subject to the Executive Councillor supporting the principle of introducing a charge to Market Traders for the use of electricity on the market (see report elsewhere on this agenda).

### 2.12 Background Papers

- Committee report recommending the introduction of a charge for the use of electricity on the Market the upgrade of the electricity supply on the market and.
- Summary of the analysis of the consultation with Market traders on this proposal


### 2.13 Inspection of papers

| Author's Name | Emma Thornton |
| :--- | :--- |
| Author's phone No. | 01223457464 |
| Author's e-mail: | Emma.thornton@cambridge.gov.uk |
| Date prepared: | $24^{\text {th }}$ November 2011 |

Appendix K (2)

| Capital Project Appraisal - Capital costs \& funding - Profiling |  |  |  |  |  | Appendix A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | Comments |
|  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |  |
| Capital Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building contractor / works | 50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of vehicles, plant \& equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional / Consultants fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other capital expenditure: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| insert rows as needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital cost | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Capital Income / Funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government Grant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developer Contributions |  |  |  |  |  | (See Appendix B) |
| R\&R funding |  |  |  |  |  | (State cost centre/s) |
| Earmarked Funds |  |  |  |  |  | (State cost centre/s) |
| Existing capital programme funding |  |  |  |  |  | (Programme ref.) |
| Revenue contributions |  |  |  |  |  | (State cost centre/s) |
| Total Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Net Capital Bid | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Must agree to 1.2 above |
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# Project Appraisal and Scrutiny Committee Recommendation 

| Project Name | Electronic Market Management System |
| :--- | :--- |
| Committee | Strategy \& Resources |
| Portfolio | Customer Services and Resources |
| Committee Date | 16 January 2012 |
| Executive Councillor | Neil McGovern |
| Lead Officer | Emma Thornton |

## Recommendation/s

Financial recommendations:

- The Executive Councillor is asked to recommend this capital scheme (which is not included in the Council's Capital Plan) for approval by Council, subject to resources being available to fund the capital and revenue costs associated with the Scheme. The total capital cost of the project is $£ 22,000$, and it is proposed that this is funded from Technology Investment Fund (TIF).
- The revenue costs of the project are $£ 7,400$ of which $£ 6,640$ is the subject of a separate budget bid (PPF2949). The balance ( $£ 760$ ) will be met by the existing service budget.


## Procurement recommendations:

- The Executive Councillor is asked to approve the carrying out and completion of the procurement of a Market Management System for $£ 10,000$ plus annual maintenance at $£ 1,800$ per year.
- If the quotation or tender sum exceeds the estimated contract value by more than $15 \%$ the permission of the Executive Councillor and Director of Resources will be sought prior to proceeding.
- The remaining $£ 12,000$ capital will be covered by existing ICT and Business Analysis agreements.


## 1 Summary

### 1.1 The project

The project will specify, procure and implement a Market Management system at Cambridge City Council.

| Target Start date | April 2012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Target completion date | July 2012 |

### 1.2 The Cost

Total Capital Cost £22,000

Capital Cost Funded from:

| Funding: | Amount: | Details: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other | $£ 22,000$ | Technology Investment |

Revenue Cost

| Year 1 | $£ 7,400$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ongoing | $£ 7,400$ |

### 1.3 The Procurement

The Council will procure a Market Management system, including the system, database, handheld device, installation, training and development of an interface to the Council's financial and payment systems.

A search of the software market has only identified two organisations supplying established Market Management systems. The only other alternative is to commission a bespoke system who's development costs and ongoing maintenance would far out way the cost of procuring an off the shelf system.

As the total cost of the solution over 4 years exceeds the £10,000 procurement limit requiring 3 quotes, an exemption (under 5.1.5c of the Council's Contract Procedure Rules) will be sort from the Director of Environment.

## 2 Capital Project Appraisal \& Procurement Report

### 2.1 What is the project?

The project will implement a Markets Management system that will reduce the current administration, improve the accuracy of invoicing and assist in the control of rent arrears.

Currently the Market generates around $£ 660$ k of revenue per year, operates 7 days per week with around 200 traders actively trading in the Council's 3 market sites. Two FTE's manage the service with a shared administration resource.

The service is currently administered via some 350 separate spreadsheets (rent cards, attendance, insurance, leave for each market and trader) and a large amount of paper records. As there is no single trader record and little integration to the Council's financial systems, this results in significant administration and a risk to a major income stream for the Council.

The new computer system will give the ability to efficiently manage the market including stall allocations, traders, attendance recording, billing for additional items and accurate rent cards. It will give the potential to interface to the Council's financial systems and provide timely management information.

The new system will free up the Market Management team to increase the amount of time they are able to spend visibly on the market and to move forward the various projects identified in the Markets Improvement Plan. This in turn provides the opportunity to increase the focus on maximising the revenue from the market.

### 2.2 What are the aims \& objectives of the project?

- Implement a Market Management system to manage traders, including their allocation to the markets, identifying their presence, record chargeable extras received, leave periods and additional stalls.
- To provide accurate billing, with the ability to include chargeable extras (e.g. spreading onto an empty stall or pavement/storage).
- To integrate with the Council's financial systems to improve invoice accuracy, automation of invoice production, use of payment systems, debt recovery processes and to reduce the potential for Traders to accrue arrears.
- In conjunction with a business change project to implement the system and establish new business processes.
- By transforming how this service works, administration will be reduced, allowing the 2 FTE's to focus on the development plan for the market. There is the potential to increase revenue through active promotion of on the Cambridge Market as a lively vibrant market and delivering on the Market Improvement Plan, therefore working towards the Councils vision and investing in Cambridge.


## This project will contribute to achieving the following Council's Visions:

- Vision: A city which draws inspiration from its iconic historic centre and achieves a sense of place in all of its parts with generous urban open spaces and well designed buildings.

It also represents an investment in supporting and continuing to develop Cambridge Market as a key component of the city's retail offer and heritage.

### 2.3 Summarise the major issues for stakeholders \& other departments?

- To implement the system as soon as possible in the next financial year.
- To ease the significant resource required to bill Traders.
- Increase the options for Traders to pay rent through their bank.
- Will provide benefits for Traders such as an annual statement that will help them with their accounts.


### 2.4 Summarise key risks associated with the project

- Existing processes have scope for human error that may result in:
- Loss in Revenue for the Council
- Additional effort required to rectify
- Confusion for Traders resulting in under / overpayment
- Significant pressure on limited resource could result in a risk to the recovery of market rents and the business continuity of this function.
- Traders that fall into arrears are less likely to be able to bring their accounts up to date.
- Inability to provide Internal/External Audit with compliant systems / processes.
- Systems integration does carry risks, the project aims to integrate the Market Management System with the Council's financial systems, any system procured will need to have the potential to achieve this.


### 2.5 Financial implications

a. Appraisal prepared on the following price base: 2011/12
b. Specific grant funding conditions were: N/A
c. Other comments: N/A

### 2.6 Capital \& Revenue costs

| (a) Capital | Comments |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Professional / Consultants <br> fees | 14,000 | Supplier Interface, <br> install, training, |
| Serco costs to build <br> server, rollout <br> system to users |  |  |
| IT Hardware/Software | 8,000 | Software licences, <br> handheld unit |
| Total Capital Cost | $\mathbf{£}$ | Comments |$|$| (b) Revenue |
| :--- |

### 2.7 VAT implications

As the Market generates 'exempt' rental income, the expenditure described in this report could cause the Council a Partial Exemption issue and could contribute to the de minimis VAT recovery limit of $5 \%$ being exceeded.

However, the Council is confident that this can be absorbed successfully within the above calculation next year, and thus not being an adverse VAT implication. This will require careful monitoring.

### 2.8 Other implications - None

### 2.9 Estimate of staffing resource required to deliver the project

- Migration to the new system is expected to be completed within current resources.
2.10 Identify any dependencies upon other work or projects
- As the project will be delivered part way through a financial year the current rent sheet process and the new system may need to run along side for a short period of time.


### 2.11 Background Papers:

N/A
2.12 Inspection of papers

| Author's Name | Emma Thornton <br> Tony Allen <br> x7464 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Author's phone No. | E7197 |
| Author's e-mail: | Emma.thornton@cambridge.gov.uk <br> Tony.allen@cambridge.gov.uk |
| Date prepared: | $28^{\text {th }}$ November 2011 |

Appendix K (3)
Capital Project Appraisal - Capital costs \& funding - Profiling
Appendix A

|  | $\frac{2012 / 13}{£}$ | $\frac{2013 / 14}{\text { £ }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{2015 / 16}{£}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2016/17 } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building contractor / works |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of vehicles, plant \& equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional / Consultants fees | 14,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| System and software licences, hardware | 8,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital cost | 22,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Capital Income / Funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government Grant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S106 funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R\&R funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earmarked Funds | 22,000 |  |  |  |  | Technology Investment Fund (TIF) |
| Existing capital programme funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Income | 22,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Net Capital Bid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
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| To: | Executive Councillor for Strategy \& Climate Change: <br> Councillor Sian Reid <br> Chief Executive, Director of Customer \& Community |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report by: | Services, Director of Environment and Director of <br> Resources |
| Relevant scrutiny Strategy \& Resources <br> committee: 16 Jan 2012 <br> Wards affected: All Wards <br> STRATEGY AND RESOURCES - Strategy \& Climate Change  <br> REVENUE AND CAPITAL BUDGETS 2011/12 (REVISED)  <br> 2012/13 (BUDGETS) AND 2013/14 FORECAST  |  |
| Key Decision |  |

## 1. Executive summary

## Revenue and Capital Budgets

1.1 The following report sets out the overall base revenue and capital budget position for the Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio. The report compares the proposed 2011/12 Revised Budget to the budget as at September 2011 and details the budget proposals for 2012/13 and 2013/14.

## 2. Recommendations

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:

## Review of Charges:

a) Note that there is no proposed review of charges requiring approval for Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio services.

## Revenue Budgets:

b) Approve, with any amendments, the current year funding requests and savings, (shown in Appendix A) and the resulting revised revenue budgets for 2011/12 (shown in Table 1) for submission to the Executive.
c) Agree proposals for revenue savings and unavoidable bids, as set out in Appendix C.
d) Note that there are no proposals for bids from external or existing funding for Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio services.
e) Agree proposals for Priority Policy Fund (PPF) bids, as set out in Appendix E.
f) Approve the budget proposals for 2012/13 as shown in Table 2, for submission to the Executive.

## Capital:

g) Seek approval from the Executive to treat the variance shown in Appendix $G$ as a return to funding resources.
h) Approve capital bids, as identified in Appendix H, for submission to the Executive for inclusion in the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan or addition to the Hold List, as indicated.
i) Confirm that there are no items covered by this portfolio to add to the Council's Hold List, for submission to the Executive.
j) Approve the current Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan, as detailed in Appendix J, to be updated for any amendments detailed in (f), (g) and (h) above.
k) Note that there are no project appraisals requiring approval for Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio services.

## 3. Background

3.1 At its meeting on 20 October 2011, Council gave initial consideration to the budget prospects for the General Fund for 2012/13 and future years. As a result an overall savings requirement was set for net expenditure. The expectation was that service reviews would contribute significantly to achievement of these targets and the position on any service reviews within this portfolio are shown in paragraph 3.12.
3.2 The overall Budget Strategy Report (BSR) to Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee on 16 January 2012 will include a review of all the factors relating to the overall financial strategy that were included in the Medium Term Strategy (MTS).
3.3 For 2012/13 provision was made for a Priority Policy Fund (PPF) of $£ 500,000$ to provide funding for developments that demonstrate a significant contribution to the Council's Vision Statement, as set out in the Annual Statement. Where appropriate, PPF bids are listed in Appendix E.
3.4 The report to The Executive on 19 January 2012 may include details of the Government's Final Settlement for 2012/13. The announcement is likely to be made shortly after the conclusion of the consultation period, which ends on 16 January 2012.
3.5 Capital bids will be prioritised by the Executive at its meeting on 19 January 2012. Items in the existing Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan and Hold List will also be reviewed to identify any which are no longer required, or where the current indicated timing for spending is no longer accurate. The Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan can then be revised to take account of any changes required.
3.6 Further work is required on detailed budgets due to the corporate and departmental restructuring, so delegation to the Director of Resources will be sought from Council for authority to finalise changes relating to this and the reallocation of support service and central costs, in accordance with the CIPFA Best Value Accounting Code of Practice and the Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities (SeRCOP).

## Revised Budget 2011/12

3.7 The following table sets out the proposed revised revenue budget for this portfolio in comparison with the September 2011 budget.

Table 1: Revised Budget 2011/12

| Total Net Budget | 2011/12 <br> Budget <br> Sept 2011 <br> £ | 2011/12 <br> Revised Budget Jan 2012 £ | Variation Increase/ (Decrease) £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio | 3,147,140 | 3,496,320 | 349,180 |
| Variation represented by: <br> Technical Adjustments |  |  |  |
| Depreciation adjustments |  |  | 14,380 |
| Support Service/Rechargeable cost centres recharge adjustments |  |  | 80,550 |
| RB2955 Insurance - cash limit adjustment (from Customer \& Support Services) |  |  | 200,000 |
| Other / cash limit adjustments |  |  | 129,500 |
| * Total (Savings) / Bids (as per Appendix A) |  |  | $(75,250)$ |
| Total Variance |  |  | 349,180 |
|  |  |  |  |

3.8 On 23 February 2012, Council will consider for approval the revised budget proposals for this portfolio. The table above demonstrates, after budget transfers, a net increase in the use of reserves of $£ 349,180$ (offset by reductions in other Portfolios which recharge to this) compared to the position at September 2011. See Appendix A for further details of amended budget proposals/changes.

## Review of Charges

3.9 There is no proposed review of charges requiring approval for Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio.

## Budget 2012/13

3.10 A summary of the proposed budget for $2012 / 13$ for this portfolio is shown in Table 2. This includes the effects of the proposed savings and unavoidable bids together with the impact of the proposed new charges.
3.11 The proposed savings and unavoidable bids, identified during the budget process to date, are detailed in Appendix C.

## Service Reviews

3.12 The anticipated net savings resulting from service reviews are shown in Table 2 and detailed in Appendix C.

## Overall Revenue Budget Position

3.13 The approved budget proposals for this portfolio will be submitted to the meeting of Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee on 16 January 2012 and for consideration by the Executive at its meeting on 19 January 2012.
3.14 An overall summary of the budget proposals, as set out in this report, is shown below.

Table 2: Overall Budget Proposals

| Savings and Bids | 2012/13 <br> Budget <br> £ | 2013/14 <br> Forecast £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savings: |  |  |
| Service Reviews | (0) | (0) |
| Other | $(44,950)$ | $(64,050)$ |
| Total | $(44,950)$ | $(64,050)$ |
| Bids: |  |  |
| Unavoidable | 18,700 | 18,700 |
| Other | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 18,700 | 18,700 |
|  |  |  |
| Net savings/bids (see Appendix C) | $(26,250)$ | (45.350) |
|  |  |  |
| External Funding Bids | 0 | 0 |
| Priority Policy Fund (PPF) Bids (see Appendix E) | 27,500 | 7,000 |

## Capital - 2011/12 Revised Budget, Capital Bids and 2012/13 Proposed Budget

3.15 Appendix G shows the latest position against the 2011/12 Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan at September 2011 for projects within the Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio, with variances explained in detail in the accompanying notes. The variance of ( $£ 224,000$ ) relates to cancelling Solar PV panel installation and returning the funding as detailed in Appendix H, item C2982.
3.16 Appendix H details the schemes, which have been identified as possible bids for the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan.
3.17 Section 6 of the Medium Term Strategy, approved in October 2011, highlighted the need to review current Hold List items. There are currently no items on the Hold List for this portfolio.
3.18 Appendix J shows the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan for all the projects within this committee's portfolio (including any approvals since the MTS was published in October 2011, but before any changes arising in paragraphs 3.15, 3.16 and 3.17 above).

## Public Consultation

3.19 For a number of years the Council's budget process has included consultation with the citizens of Cambridge to find out which services were most important to residents and what they thought spending and savings priorities should be for the coming budget year.
3.20 We have used a mixture of surveys and workshops to build up trend data on the views of residents about spending and saving priorities and have found that views have been quite consistent over time.
3.21 The 2011 survey was undertaken as part of the wider Citizens Survey by BMG Research Ltd on behalf of Cambridge City Council. It was conducted by means of a postal questionnaire with 801 randomly selected residents. Questions covered the level of Council Tax, identification of those service areas that are most important and those that are less important, and those services that it was felt that the Council should not provide at all.
3.22 In broad terms the results reflect previous surveys - respondents placed greatest importance on our core services: collecting rubbish from properties within the City ( $73 \%$ ), cleaning the streets and public places and removing graffiti (36\%) and collecting recyclable items from properties within the City (34\%)
3.23 They also placed high importance on working with the police to tackle anti-social behaviour (35\%), providing community service for older people (31\%) and managing and maintaining the City's parks and open spaces (28\%)
3.24 As previously, results showed that residents placed least importance on managing ticketed events and free events, such as running the Corn Exchange (27\%), providing events such as the Folk Festival, Big Weekend and Bonfire Night (24\%)
3.25 With regard to the level of Council Tax, respondents were split as to the approach to be taken, answers were:

- "Cambridge City Council should charge for more services so they don't have to increase Council Tax by more than inflation" (31\%)
- "It is important for Cambridge City Council not to increase Council tax, even if this means a reduction in the levels of service" (31\%)
- "It is important for Cambridge City Council to maintain current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax" (24\%)


## 4. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have staffing, equal opportunities, environmental and/or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.

## (a) Financial Implications

Financial implications of budget proposals are summarised in Table 2 above (see also Budget Setting Report 2012/13 - Council 23 February 2012).
(b) Staffing Implications

See text above.
(c) Equal Opportunities Implications

An Equality Impact Assessment has not been conducted on these items. A consolidated Assessment for the Council's Budget Setting Report will be submitted to the Executive at its meeting on 19 January 2012.
(d) Environmental Implications

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals which are annotated as follows:

- $+\mathrm{H} /+\mathrm{M} /+\mathrm{L}$ : to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low positive impact.
- Nil: to indicate that the proposal has no climate change impact.
- $-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{M} /-\mathrm{L}$ : to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low negative impact.
(e) Consultation

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year, a full list can be seen at:
http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/ccm/content/consultations/2011-consultations.en

## (f) Community Safety

See text above.

## 5. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Medium Term Strategy 2011
- Budget Papers 2012/13


## 6. Appendices

In this Report:

- Appendix A - 2011/12 Revised Budget Items
- Appendix B * - Review of Charges (2012/13)
- Appendix C - Savings and Bids (2012/13 to 2015/16)
- Appendix C (a)* Non Cash Limit Adjustments (2012/13 to 2015/16)
- Appendix D *- Bids to Existing or External Revenue Funding
- Appendix E - Priority Policy Fund (PPF) Bids (2012/13 to 2015/16)
- Appendix F \$- Revenue Budget 2011/12 to 2013/14
- Appendix G - Capital Budget 2011/12
- Appendix H - Capital Bids (2011/12 to 2015/16)
- Appendix $I^{*}$ - Hold List
- Appendix J - Revised Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan
- Appendix K * - Project Appraisals
- Appendix L * Earmarked Reserves
\$ = Service analysis not being presented at portfolio level
* $=$ Not applicable for this Portfolio.


## 7. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:
Authors' Name: John Harvey
Authors' Phone Number: 01223-458143
Authors' Email:

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Revised Budget

| RB2741 | In year savings from Corporate Marketing <br> cost centre | $(6,000) \quad$ Yes $\quad(4,500) \quad$ Ashley Perry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


'Cambridge Matters magazine and the conversion of the staff newsletter from a printed, to electronic format [See also S2777]

Yes
$(11,880)$
 land associated pension and National Insurance costs resulting from a vacancy in the 'Strategy and Partnerships Manager post; a reduction in the Corporate Policy Books and ' ${ }^{\prime}$ Publications budget to reflect decreasing expenditure over the past 5 years; underspend 'on the corporate consultation programme; and a reduced contribution to the Citizen' ISurevy holding account (01079). This will not impact on service delivery, as a newl IStrategy and Partnerships Manager is in post, an increasing number of policy publications 'and documents that the team needs are available free of charge online, and no majorl ${ }^{\prime}$ corporate consultations are anticipated in the remainder of 2011/12 [See also S2840]' 1
Salary savings on Strategy Officer and savings on consultants and professional fees
$(20,000)$
Yes
$(3,000)$
David Kidston

'salary and associated pension and National Insurance costs resulting from a vacancy in'
Ithe Strategy Officer post in Strategy and Partnerships for a number of months; and an' 'underspend on consultants and professional fees associated with monitoring corporatel Iperformance. This has no implications for service delivery, as the Strategy Officer post| ,was filled in July 2011 and a corporate performance management system is being, , developed and implemented using existing staff resources in the Strategy and, ${ }_{1}$ Partnerships team. [See also S2839]

Savings on Corporate Policy budget
$(26,500)$
s
 'proposed to revise the budget to reflect the resultant underspend on employers pensions! , contributions under cost code 27700.

| Reference |  | 2011/12 <br> Revised Budget £ | Ongoing | Anticipated 2012/13 Effect £ | Contact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justirication |  |  |  |  |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

| RB2828 | Saving on core funding for Travel for Work <br> partnership | (3,250) | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Savings



Corporate Management

- Review of corporate subscriptions
$0 \quad(11,000) \quad(11,000) \quad(11,000)$
$(11,000) \quad(11,000)$
$(11,000)$ Antoinette Antoinen
Jackson



## Corporate Marketing ongoing savings

 ICambridge Matters magazine and reductions in photography and publicity budgets

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

S2841

S2964
Ongoing Saving on core funding for Travel for Work partnership
$0 \quad(5,750)$
$(5,750)$
$(5,750)$
$(5,750)$ David

Voltage Optimisation Roll
0
$0 \quad(4,100)$
$(4,100)$
$(4,100)$
David
Out - Non-Housing

Properties

'to supply and install the technology on a further 2 non-housing sites. Through reduced'
lelectricity use, the project is expected to achieve annual savings from the General Fund ofl I£4,100 pa. [See also C2736]

Savings from installation of solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency measures on non-housing Council properties.
 'thermal panels on 3 non housing Council properties (C2966) to reduce the Council's carbon' ${ }^{1}$ footprint. Savings have been calculated net of maintenance costs and are anticipated for ${ }^{1}$ ${ }^{1}$ the full 20 year lifetime of the feed in tariff scheme and would increase with rising gas prices.' ,[See also C2966]

Cash limit Sustainable City
Grants
$0 \quad(1,350)$
$(1,350) \quad(1,350)$
$(1,350)$
Jackie Hanson
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings

Appendix: Page 3 of 3

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | 2015/16 | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

| UR2984 | Loss of savings (income) <br> expected from cancelled <br> installation of solar PV <br> panels | 0 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 Andrew Limb |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 ${ }^{1}$ Following a reduction in the return from the Feed In Tariff scheme, this project has now been' lcancelled. (see bids C281, C2736, C2807 and C2966, and S2964 and S2967, for new ırenewables and energy efficiency projects and savings) [See also C2982]

Unavoidable Revenue Bids

Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

| 0 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(26,250)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350)$ |
| 0 | $(26,250)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350)$ |
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| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund, PPF |  | Appendix: Page 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Ctree |  |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## PPF Bids


Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio / Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee

| Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Original Budget 2011/12 | Current Budget 2011/12 | Spend to end September 2011 | Anticipated Spend October 2011 to March 2012 | Anticipated Variance | Re-phase Spend | Forecast Over / (Under) Spend | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| SC504 | Solar PV Panel Installation | B Hadfield | 224 | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | (224) | Following a reduction in the return from the Feed In Tariff scheme this project is now cancelled (see budget proposal C2982) |
| Total Projects |  |  | 224 | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | (224) |  |
| $0)^{\text {Total for Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio }}$ |  |  | 224 | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | (224) |  |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Capital Bids <br> Bids requiring funding

|  | Installation of solar thermal <br> panels and/or energy <br> efficiency measures on <br> non-housing properties. | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


'footprint and generate a 20 year financial return on investment from Government's Clean'
'Energy Cash Back scheme. This investment will generate income from feed in tariffs and
'energy bill savings from installation of solar thermal panels on 3 non housing Council properties'
Ito reduce the Council's carbon footprint. Savings have been calculated net of maintenance 'costs and are anticipated for the full 20 year lifetime of the feed in tariff scheme and would 'increase with rising gas prices. [See also S2967]

> C2982
> Cancellation of Solar PV panel installation
> $0 \begin{array}{llll}0 & (224,000) & 0 & 0\end{array}$
'2011/12 budget (C2656). Following a proposed reduction in the Government's Feed in Tariff,
'the expected internal rate of refurn on this project fell to $2 \%$ - the Council can achieve al
'significantly higher rate of return by investing in solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency
'measures, hence the cancellation of this project.
'See bids C281, C2736, C2807 and C2966, and S2964 and S2967, for new renewables and
'energy efficiency projects and savings. [See also UR2984]

Total Bids requiring funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding

| 0 | $(84,000)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $(84,000)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix [H]

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Bids to existing funding
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Cambridge City Council

| To: | Executive Councillor for Strategy / Leader: <br> Councillor Sian Reid |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report by: | Director of Resources |
| Relevant scrutiny <br> committee: | Strategy \& Resources |
| Wards affected: | All Wards 2012 |

## Budget-Setting Report (BSR) - Revenue and Capital Budgets:

- 2011/12 (Revised)
- 2012/13 (Budgets) and 2013/14 (Forecast)


## Key Decision

## 1. Executive summary

## Overview of Budget-Setting Report

1.1 At this stage in the 2012/13 budget process the range of assumptions on which the Medium Term Strategy (MTS) was based need to be reviewed, in light of the latest information available, to determine whether any aspects of the strategy need to be revised. This will then provide the basis for the finalisation of the 2011/12 revised and 2012/13 budgets.
1.2 The Budget-Setting Report, which is attached, provides an overview of the review of the key assumptions. It sets out the key parameters for the detailed recommendations and budget finalisation to be made at the meeting of the Executive on 19 January 2012.
1.3 The recommendations that follow refer to the strategy outlined in the BSR.
1.4 The BSR confirms that the key parameters set in the MTS, that was approved by Council in October 2011, can be achieved in setting the 2012/13 Budget.
1.5 This report recommends the approval of capital bids and funding proposals presented.
1.6 The Executive, at its meeting on 19 January 2012, will consider the detailed revenue bids and savings which have been proposed by Executive Councillors for their individual portfolios.
1.7 The Executive will make final budget recommendations to Council, for consideration at it's meeting on 23 February 2012.

## 2. Recommendations

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:
General Fund Revenue Budgets and Cash Limit / Savings Target: [Section 4, page 30 refers]

## Budget 2011/12:

a) Approve, with any amendments, the revised budget items shown in Appendix D (page 75)
b) Approve, with any amendments, the overall revised budget for 2011/12, as shown in Section 4 (page 30) and Appendix G(a) (page 109) for General Fund Services.

## Budget 2012/13:

c) Agree any recommendations for submission to the Executive in respect of:

- Bids to be funded from External or Earmarked Funds [Appendix H, page 112, refers]
- Non Cash Limit items [Appendix E, page 86 refers]
- Revenue Savings and Bids [Appendix F, page 87 refers]
- Priority Policy Fund (PPF) Bids [Appendix I(a), page 116 refers] based on the position as outlined in Section 4 (page 37 refers).
d) Recommend to Council the Council Tax base, as set out in Appendix C (a) (page 74), and the level of Council Tax for 2012/13, based on a $99 \%$ collection rate [Section 3, page 27 refers].
e) Recommend to Council to approve:
(i) the Prudential Indicators as set out in Appendix T(a) (page 193 refers) and to confirm that the "Authorised Limit" determined for 2012/13 will be the statutory limit determined under section 3 of the Local Government Act 2003.
(ii) to delegate to the Director of Resources, within the borrowing totals for any financial year within (i) above, to effect movement between the separately agreed figures for 'borrowing' and 'other long term liabilities'.
(iii) the Treasury Management Annual Borrowing and Investment Strategies set out in Appendices T(b) (page 199 refers) and T(c) (page 201 refers), particularly:
- to increase the maximum sum that can be invested with HSBC Bank Plc from $£ 12 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 16 \mathrm{~m}$.
- to amend the limit for a single named institution from $£ 6 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 10 \mathrm{~m}$; (retaining the existing maximum sum which may be invested with counterparties belonging to the same company group at 1.5 times the level for a single named institution); and
- to have the flexibility to use other financial means available to the Council, such as bank call accounts, notice accounts, money market funds, treasury bills and gilts. However, use of such financial instruments would be subject to an assessment in conjunction with the Council's treasury management advisors (Sector) to ensure that it would not prejudice the council's deposit priorities.
(iv) amendments to the Council's Approved Lending (Revised

Counterparty) List, shown in Appendix T(c), Annex 3 (page 210 refers)
f) Delegate to the Director of Resources authority to finalise changes relating to the corporate and departmental restructuring and any reallocation of support service and central costs, in accordance with the CIPFA Best Value Accounting Code of Practice and the Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities (SeRCOP).

## Capital: [Section 6, page 47 refers]

Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan: [section 6, page 50]
g) Approve the following project appraisals, that have been referred by Executive Councillors:

From the Executive Councillor for Arts, Sport and Public Spaces:
(i) Cherry Hinton Hall Grounds improvements
(ii) Coleridge Recreation Ground improvements

From the Executive Councillor for Community Development \& Health:
(i) Centre at St Paul's - Redevelopment of the main hall
(ii) Clay Farm Community Centre (separate Report on CD\&H agenda)

## From the Executive Councillor for Housing:

(i) Energy Efficiency Grant
(ii) Landlord Accreditation Grant

From the Executive Councillor for Environmental \& Waste Services:
(i) Vehicle replacements $2012 / 13$

## From the Executive Councillor for Customer Services and Resources:

(i) Content Management System Replacement
(ii) Introduction of a metered electricity supply on Cambridge Market
(iii) Electronic Market Management System
h) Agree any recommendations to the Executive in respect of the bids outlined in Appendix O(a) (page 159) for approval to include in the Capital Plan, or put on the Hold List, including any additional use of reserves required.

## From the Executive Councillor for Planning and Sustainable Transport:

Approve the removal of item H28 - Park Street Car Park, as identified in Appendix P (page 182 refers, from the Council's Hold List, for submission to the Executive.
i) Agree the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan, as shown in Appendix M (page 141) for the General Fund (which will be updated to incorporate rephasing, new bids and the above recommendations) and Appendix $S$ (to be included in Version 3 - see Note) for the Housing Capital Investment Programme.

Note that revised Housing Capital Investment Plan for 2011/12 to 2016/17, will be presented to the special joint Housing Management Board and Community Services on $8^{\text {th }}$ February 2012, to include the impact of in year savings in capital budgets, re-allocation of budgets for decent homes works, rephasing of existing projects and schemes and incorporation of capital bids (as detailed in Appendix O(b), page 177 refers).
j) Note the impact of revenue and capital budget approvals and approve the resulting level of reserves to be used to:
(i) support the 2011/12 budget
(ii) support the 2012/13 and future years budgets. [Appendix G(c), page 111 refers]

## 3. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have staffing, equal opportunities, environmental and/or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.

## (a) Financial Implications

The financial implications are outlined in the attached Budget Setting Report 2012/13
(b) Staffing Implications

See text above

## (c) Equal Opportunities Implications

An Equality Impact Assessment is included at Appendix W in the attached Budget Setting Report 2012/13
(d) Environmental Implications

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals which are annotated as follows:

- $+\mathrm{H} /+\mathrm{M} /+\mathrm{L}$ : to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low positive impact.
- Nil: to indicate that the proposal has no climate change impact.
- -H / -M / -L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low negative impact.
(e) Consultation

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year, a full list can be seen at:
http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/ccm/content/consultations/2011-consultations.en
(f) Community Safety

See text above.

## 4. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Budget files: Revised 2011/12 and Original 2012/13.


## 5. Appendices

In this Report:

- Budget-setting Report Version 1, January 2012 (covering 2011/12 to 2015/16)


## 6. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:
Author's Name: David Horspool
Author's Phone Number:
Author's Email:
01223-457007
David.horspool@cambridge.gov.uk
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## Section 1 Introduction

## Purpose, Scope and Key Dates

## Purpose

At its meeting on 20 October 2011, the Council gave consideration to the budget prospects for the General Fund and Housing Revenue Account (HRA) for 2012/13 and future years in light of local and national policy priorities and the implications for public sector funding of the current economic climate. The approved Medium Term Strategy (MTS) set out the agreed financial strategy for the Council, and confirmed the framework for the detailed budget work to develop proposals for the 2012/13 budget, as part of the corporate decision-making cycle.

At this stage in the process, the range of assumptions on which the MTS was based need to be reviewed in light of the latest information available to determine whether any aspects of the strategy need to be revised. This will then provide the basis for the finalisation of the budget and setting the Council Tax for 2012/13.

This document provides an overview of the review of the key assumptions that has been undertaken, and sets the key parameters for the consideration of detailed recommendations and budget finalisation to be made at the meeting of the Executive on 19 January 2012.

## Scope

The Budget Setting Report is designed to provide an integrated view of the whole of the Council's finances and outlook. It covers both revenue and capital spending by the Council, highlighting the inter-relationships between the two, and the resultant implications. It also considers all of the financial accounts, or Funds, operated by the Council.

As with the MTS, a key aspect of the detailed budget work has been risk assessment and management. In order to ensure that the Council's financial position and risks are
appropriately managed over the medium and longer-term, within the financial projections, the following modelling periods have been adopted :

| For the $\ldots$ | Period | Purpose / Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MTS \& budget | 5 years | Detailed budget \& Council Tax setting |
| Longer-term projections | $25+$ years | Demonstrate long-term effects \& thus <br> sustainability |

The 5-year forecast period includes a review of the current year budget position, a detailed projection for the following year and forward projections for the following three years, to demonstrate the full-year effects of budget proposals and decisions.

The full 25 -year model for the General Fund and 30-year business model for the HRA are not shown in detail within the MTS or this report, however, any significant longer-term implications are highlighted as appropriate.

Sensitivity analysis of key factors is undertaken, as part of both the MTS and budget setting processes to ensure that effective contingency plans are available to the Council and that the appropriate levels of reserves can be maintained.

## Key Dates

The financial planning and budget preparation timetable is shown in detail in Appendix A. The key member decision-making dates are as follows:

| Date | Task |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| September 12 | The Executive recommends MTS to Council |
| October 20 | Council approves Medium Term Strategy 2011/12 to 2015/16 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee considers Budget Setting Report <br> (BSR) |
| January 16 | The Executive recommends Budget Setting Report to Council |
| January 19 | Special Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee considers any budget <br> amendment proposals <br> February 3 |
| February 23 | Council approves the budget and sets the Council Tax for 2012/13 |

## Review of Key Factors

The MTS agreed a base position, for detailed budget work, of the 2011/12 budget inflated to 2012/13 prices and adjusted for known / approved changes.

## For the General Fund the approved budget strategy included:

- The identification of overall savings requirements over the period 2012/13 to 2015/16 of $£ 5,163 \mathrm{~m}$ and projected net savings from service reviews of $£ 1.733 \mathrm{~m}$ giving remaining savings requirements as set out in the table below:

| Factor | $2012 / 13$ <br> $\mathbf{£}$ | $2013 / 14$ <br> $\mathbf{£}$ | $2014 / 15$ <br> $\mathbf{£}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ <br> $\mathbf{£}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Savings Requirement | $1,242,000$ | $1,287,860$ | $1,683,310$ | 950,000 |
| Projected Net savings from Service Reviews | $(1,250,000)$ | $(440,000)$ | $(43,000)$ | 0 |
| Net Savings Requirement - sources still to be <br> identified | $\mathbf{( 8 , 0 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 7 , 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 0 , 3 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

- An objective to seek to reduce the Net Savings Requirement for future years, in addition to meeting the requirement for the budget year, where possible.
- That the MTS assumption of a $2 \%$ per annum increase in Council Tax from 2012/13 would be reconsidered at budget setting stage, in the light of the Local Government Finance Settlement announcement and any Government scheme for 2012/13.
- That the target level of reserves continues at $£ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ with a minimum working balance set at $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$.
- That an annual sum of $£ 1.38 \mathrm{~m}$ of General Fund Reserves continues to be made available to support funding of the Capital and Revenue Projects Plan in 2012/13 and future years.
- A need to identify the potential for additional funding for capital spending, in light of a number of potential pressures; including the refurbishment works to Park Street Car park (on the Hold List).
- Provision of a priority policy fund in 2012/13 and future years at the level of $£ 500,000$.


## For the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) the approved strategy included:

- That initial preparatory work on the 2012/13 budget would be undertaken based on a savings requirement of $3 \%$ of controllable general management expenditure but recognising that this might need to be reviewed in light of the emerging self-financing position.
- That repairs expenditure would be reduced proportionally to reflect anticipated reductions in stock.
- That a sustainable budget position is sought, with anticipated use of $£ 500,000$ per annum of HRA reserves, to support investment in the housing stock, until the target level of reserves is achieved.
- A minimum working balance for reserves of $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$, with a medium-term target of $£ 3 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Provision of a priority policy fund in 2012/13 and future years at the level of £75,000 with any savings identified above target being used to increase this funding.

However, it should be noted that the initial budget strategy for the HRA was based on the old housing subsidy arrangements which are to be replaced by a new HRA Self-Financing regime with effect from 1 April 2012. Work on preparing a detailed 30 -year business plan and detailed asset management strategy, under the new arrangements, is at an advanced stage and these plans together will inform the final budget proposals for the HRA, both revenue and capital.

## Key factors for review

This report reviews the key factors as follows:

| Factor | Section | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Policy Context for budget setting | 2 |  |
| General Fund Resources: | 3 | Based on Provisional Settlement <br> announcement (expected late <br> November/early December) |
| Local Government Finance <br> Settlement - Formula Grant | 3 | Based on latest information available |
| Other Government Grants | 3 | Latest projections of key funds and new <br> items seeking funding approval |
| Earmarked Funds |  |  |


| Factor | Section | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Council Taxbase | 3 | Based on October 2011 actual tax base <br> return and latest housing growth <br> projections |
| General Fund Spending Proposals: |  | 4 |
| $2011 / 12$ Revised Budget | 4 | Based on January 2012 scrutiny <br> committee reports |
| Post MTS Approvals | Bawers and those proposed in the <br> January 2012 cycle of meetings |  |
| $2012 / 13$ Budget Proposals including: | 4 | Based on latest projections / detailed <br> estimates |
| Non-cash limit items | 4 | Based on January 2012 scrutiny <br> committee reports |
| Bids and savings proposals | 4 | Based on January 2012 scrutiny <br> committee reports |
| Overall position against savings <br> targets | 4 | Revised projections of funding available <br> in light of overall General Fund position |
| PPF bids and availability of funding <br> for future years | 5 | Based on January 2012 Housing <br> Management Board |
| Housing Revenue Account |  |  |

# Section 2 <br> Local \& National Policy Context 

## Review of Local Policy Context

As set out in the MTS, the local policy context and priorities for the Council are agreed in May each year through the adoption by Council of an Annual Statement. The Annual Statement approved in May 2011, confirmed a 'Vision for the City' and agreed how the Council would work towards meeting the vision during 2011/12 and beyond. Since then, some changes have been recommended by the Executive to the wording of the Council's Vision for the City. These changes emphasise the Council's desire to protect vulnerable and disadvantaged residents and recognise the broader base of Cambridge's economic growth. The new version is set out below for approval by Council.

## Our Vision

The Council has a clear vision for the future of our city, a vision which we share with Cambridge citizens and with partner organisations.

## Cambridge - where people matter

- A city which celebrates its diversity, unites in its priority for the disadvantaged and strives for shared community wellbeing.
- A city whose citizens feel they can influence public decision making and are equally keen to pursue individual and community initiatives.
- A city where people behave with consideration for others and where harm and nuisance are confronted wherever possible without constraining the lives of all.


## Cambridge - a good place to live, learn and work

- A city which recognises and meets needs for housing of all kinds - close to jobs and neighbourhood facilities.
- A city which draws inspiration from its iconic historic centre and achieves a sense of place in all of its parts with generous urban open spaces and well-designed buildings.
- A city with a thriving local economy that benefits the whole community and builds on its global pre-eminence in learning and discovery.
- A city where getting around is primarily by public transport, bike and on foot.


## Cambridge - caring for the planet

- A city in the forefront of low carbon living and minimising its impact on the environment from waste and pollution.


## Public Budget Consultation and Mapping Disadvantage

There are two key pieces of work which have been undertaken by the Council following the publication of the September 2011 MTS which provide important context for budget decisionmaking, and the latest information is summarised below.

## Public Budget Consultation

For a number of years the Council's budget process has included consultation with the citizens of Cambridge to find out which services were most important to residents and what they thought spending and savings priorities should be for the coming budget year.

We have used a mixture of surveys and workshops to build up trend data on the views of residents about spending and saving priorities and have found that views have been quite consistent over time.

The 2011 survey was undertaken as part of the wider Citizens Survey by BMG Research Ltd on behalf of Cambridge City Council. It was conducted by means of a postal questionnaire with 801 randomly selected residents. Questions covered the level of Council Tax, identification of those service areas that are most important and those that are less important, and those services that it was felt that the Council should not provide at all.

The questions posed and results are summarised below, with further details provided at Appendix Z.

## Summary of Provisional Results (December 2011)

## Mandatory services

Question - Please select three services that are very important and that should be provided to the highest possible standard.

The three most common responses were:

- Collecting rubbish from properties within the City (73\%)
- Cleaning the streets and public places and removing graffiti (36\%)
- Collecting recyclable items from properties within the City (34\%)

Question - Please select three services that are less important and that could be provided at a lower standard to save money.

The three most common responses were:

- Running elections (27\%)
- Enforcing standards in privately rented housing (27\%)
- Administering Council meetings and supporting Councillors so that they can represent local residents (27\%)


## Discretionary services

Question - Please select three services that are very important and that should be provided to the highest possible standard

The three most common responses were:

- Working with the police to tackle anti-social behaviour (35\%)
- Providing community service for older people (31\%)
- Managing and maintaining the City's parks and open spaces (28\%)

Question - Please select three services that are less important and that could be provided at a lower standard to save money.

The three most common responses were:

- Running the Corn Exchange (27\%)
- Providing events such as the Folk Festival, Big Weekend and Bonfire Night (24\%)
- Running the Tourist Information Centre and services for visitors (24\%)

Question - Please select three services that could be stopped altogether if savings have to be found.

The three most common responses were:

- Managing and maintaining some commercial premises which the Council rent to local businesses (34\%)
- Running the Corn Exchange (31\%)
- Providing events such as the Folk Festival, Big Weekend and Bonfire Night (30\%)


## Level of Council Tax

Question - Which of the following statements do you agree with the most? The responses to this question in rank order were as follows:

- Cambridge City Council should charge for more services so they don't have to increase Council Tax by more than inflation (31\%)
- It is important for Cambridge City Council not to increase Council Tax, even if this means a reduction in the levels of service (31\%)
- It is important for Cambridge City Council to maintain current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax (24\%)
- It is important for Cambridge City Council to improve current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax (13 \%)

Question - And which statement do you agree with the least?
The responses to this question in rank order were as follows:

- It is important for Cambridge City Council to improve current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax (31\%)
- It is important for Cambridge City Council not to increase Council Tax, even if this mean a reduction in the levels of service (24\%)
- It is important for Cambridge City Council to maintain current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax (23\%)
- Cambridge City Council should charge for more services so they don't have to increase Council Tax by more than inflation (22\%)

A report on the full results of the Citizen Survey will be published on the consultation pages of the Councils website in January 2012.

## Mapping Disadvantage

Mapping Poverty reports produced by the Council have looked in-depth at the different types of households claiming Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit in Cambridge. This benefit has been used as a proxy indicator of low income.

Mapping Poverty 2011 will be the seventh report to have been prepared. Its findings will be released shortly. The previous reports were provided biannually between 2000 and 2008 and then in 2009, as a special case, near the bottom of the trough of the recession. The reports between 2000 and 2008 showed a relatively stable number of benefit claimants living in the City, of around 8,000 people. The background during this period was of relative economic prosperity for Cambridge, with near full employment. The problem at this time was said to be in matching local people to the jobs that were available.

Our administrative data shows that, since 2009, Cambridge's benefit population (that is a claimant and their dependants living in a household) increased marginally. Of the resident population, $11 \%$ live in households in receipt of Housing Benefit and/or Council Tax Benefit. These proportions are similar to 2009. There continues to be inequality between different geographical areas in Cambridge in terms of household income with just over half of the City's benefit population living in four of the City's 14 wards.

It is anticipated that the Government's reforms to welfare will substantially change the extent and distribution of claimants over the next few years. Changes to the Local Housing Allowance have already impacted on young people living in privately rented housing, many of whom are finding it difficult to sustain their tenancies. Proposed Council Tax Benefit changes could also impact on benefit claimants in an unequal way with working age claimants twice as vulnerable to any changes, given the likely protection to be provided to pensioners.

The Mapping Poverty reports have allowed local service providers over the years to build a robust and up to date view of low-income households. They have made it easier to target local work and armed with this information, many organisations in the City have been able to win grants to help tackle pockets of disadvantage and support communities. The 2011

Mapping Poverty Report will allow the Council to better understand the groups of people who will be affected by the impending welfare reforms. It is expected that it will be published in January 2012.

## Review of National Policy Context

## Public Spending and the Economy

The national economy and global economic climate continue to drive Government policy and decisions on public spending.

## The Autumn Statement

The government published its Autumn Statement on 29 November 2011. This set out the actions the government intends to take in protecting the economy, building a stronger economy for the future and fairness.

The June 2010 budget set out the government's plan to reduce the deficit and re-build the economy. The Autumn Statement identifies that since that time the UK economy has been subject to a series of shocks which have served to significantly weaken the economic and fiscal outlook. The most significant issues have been:

- Higher than expected inflation, driven by a sharp increase in global commodity prices which has resulted in slower than anticipated growth in the economy.
- The increase in the euro area crisis resulting in increased instability and uncertainty which has fed through to household and corporate spending decisions and tighter credit conditions.
- Greater clarity with regard to the full-scale and persistent impact of the 2008/09 financial crises. This is reflected in a significant downward revision, by the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR), of the projection for the trend rate of growth, by a margin consistent with previous financial crises.

Amongst the additional measures announced tin the Autumn Statement are a number which will directly impact local authorities. The most significant for the Council are:

Public Sector Pay - The existing government guidelines for public sector pay affect local government settlements through to 2012/13. The Autumn Statement has announced
guidelines for the following two years with an expectation of an average increase of no more than $1 \%$ in each year.

Government Departmental Spending - In the Statement the Chancellor confirmed that government departmental budget for $2013 / 14$ and $2014 / 15$ will be adjusted to reflect the assumption of $1 \%$ average pay increases, and announced that local government budgets would be adjusted accordingly.

The Chancellor announced new totals for public spending in 2015/16 and 2016/17. These are in line with the spending reductions in the 2010 Spending Review i.e. that Total Managed Expenditure should fall by $0.9 \%$ per annum in real terms.

Right to Buy - The Autumn Statement included a commitment to reinvigorate the Right to Buy scheme in order to support social tenants who aspire to own their own home. Indications are that this could result in discount rates of up to $50 \%$.

## Inflation and Growth

Disappointing global economic data continues to undermine market and consumer confidence and the impact of weak domestic economic indicators has led the Bank of England to lower its forecasts for growth for both this year and next year. Consumer price inflation (CPI) peaked at the expected $5 \%$ in October, whilst RPI reached $5.6 \%$ in September. Both of these measures are now declining but are significantly higher than Government targets.

The table below shows the movement in each of the main measures of inflation in the year to date:

| Period | CPI | CPIY | RPI | RPIY | RPIX |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| April 2011 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| May 2011 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 5.3 |
| June 2011 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| July 2011 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 5.0 |
| August 2011 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| September 2011 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 5.7 |
| October 2011 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 5.6 |


| Period | CPI | CPIY | RPI | RPIY | RPIX |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November 2011 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 5.3 |

## Interest Rates

At it's meeting on 8 December 2011 the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) voted to maintain the official bank rate at $0.5 \%$. The last change in bank rate was a reduction of $0.5 \%$ on 5 March 2009. They also announced the continuation of the programme of asset purchases totalling £275bn financed by the issuance of central bank reserves. The MPC anticipate that it will take another two months to complete the programme and have indicated that the scale of the programme will be kept under review.

The government's actions to deal with the economic downturn have resulted in the reduction in market interest rates to record lows. The Autumn Statement and the associated economic projections from the OBR have confirmed that recovery from the downturn will take longer than had previously been anticipated. The graph below shows latest projections (at December 2011) for Bank Rate plotted against those previously reported:


This clearly shows the degree to which the recovery from the economic downturn has been delayed in comparison with previous market expectations. The degree of delay together with
the lower expected final level of interest rates results in a significant budget pressure for the Council.

Further detail is included in Section 7 on Treasury Management and the associated appendices.

## The Localism Act

The MTS provided an outline of the key measures included in the Localism Bill which was, at that time, the subject of parliamentary debate as it passed through the both the House of Commons and the House of Lords.

Since publication of the MTS the Bill has become an Act, receiving Royal assent on 15 November 2011.

## Main measures of the Localism Act

The main measures of the Act are largely unchanged from those described in the MTS, falling into four main headings:

- New freedoms and flexibilities for local government
- New rights and powers for communities and individuals
- Reform to make the planning system more democratic and more effective, and
- Reform to ensure decisions about housing are taken locally

Different parts of the Act will come into effect at different times. In many cases the Government will need to set out further details, such as, the exact rules on how different community rights will work. In some cases the Government will undertake further consultation. These processes may affect when different parts of the Act come into force.

The Government has stated its aim for bring many major measures into effect in April 2012. These include:

- Reforms to social housing tenure and council housing finance
- The general power of competence for local authorities
- The community right to build
- Planning reforms including the changes to planning enforcement rules
- The right for communities to veto excessive council tax rises

The potential implications of the Localism Act on individual Council services were outlined in Section 4 of the MTS and have been considered throughout the budget setting process.

The introduction of reforms of council housing finance from 1 April 2012, moving from a subsidy regime to one of self-financing, in particular will have far reaching implications for the Council. Further information on the implications and preparations being made for the new system can be found throughout this document.

## Section 3

 General Fund Resources
## Local Government Finance Settlement

## Formula Grant

Details of the final Local Government Settlement for 2011/12, together with provisional settlement figures for 2012/13, were announced on 31 January 2011. This announcement was used for the purposes of financial modelling for the MTS 2011. Although it had been expected that a 4-year settlement would be announced in conjunction with spending review 2010, details of the final two years (2013/14 and 2014/15) were deferred until the completion of the government's Local Government Resource Review.

In the absence of provisional grant entitlements for years after 2012/13, the assumptions made for the purposes of financial modelling for the MTS were unchanged from those adopted for the February 2011 Budget Setting Report. An overall reduction in grant over the four-year period $2011 / 12$ to $2014 / 15$ of $31 \%$ was assumed, with equal percentage reductions over the latter two years, following the cumulative reduction of $23.1 \%$ over $2011 / 12$ and $2012 / 13$. This broadly reflected the profile of the reduction in national control totals contained within the spending review.

## Provisional Settlement 2012/13

On 8 December 2011 an announcement was made to parliament about the Local Government Finance Settlement for 2012/13 and details were provided to individual authorities of the make up of their Formula Grant entitlement. The announcement marked the start of the statutory consultation period which runs until 16 January 2012.

For the City Council, the only changes from the provisional Formula Grant figure first announced in January 2011 are that the breakdown of Formula Grant between Revenue Support Grant and redistributed Business Rates has now been provided and that Council Tax Freeze Compensation (for 2011/12) has now been rolled up into Formula Grant rather than being paid as a separate grant.

The following table shows the Council's provisional grant for 2012/13 compared with that for 2011/12:

| Formula Grant | 2011/12 | 2012/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior year adjusted base (i.e. after adjustments including transfer of Concessionary Fares responsibility) | £10,961,863 | £9,472,251 |
| Formula Grant entitlement |  |  |
| Revenue Support Grant | £2,246,689 | £163,516 |
| Share of Business Rates | £7,268,417 | £8,435,295 |
| Total Formula Grant | £9,515,105 | £8,598,811 |
| Council Tax Freeze Grant | 169,647 | Included above |
| Total Formula Grant + Council Tax Freeze Grant | £9,684,752 | £8,598,811 |
| Reduction (year-on-year excluding Council Tax Freeze Grant) | (13.20\%) | (11.41\%) |
| Reduction from 2010/11 adjusted base |  | (23.10\%) |

## Future Formula Grant Prospects

In the absence of any announcement of provisional grant for years after 2012/13 the assumptions made for the purposes of financial modelling for this MTS are those adopted for the February 2011 Budget-Setting Report. For the purposes of the BSR, an overall reduction in grant over the four-year period 2011/12 to $2014 / 15$ of $31 \%$ was assumed, with equal percentage reductions over the latter two years, following the cumulative reduction of $23.1 \%$. This broadly reflects the profile of the reduction in national control totals contained within the spending review. This is reflected graphically below:


It is anticipated that the announcement of the outcome of the Local Government Resource Review will see the ending of the current Formula Grant system and its replacement with a scheme based on the re-localisation of Business Rates, with effect from 2013/14. On the evidence of the initial consultation on the proposed new scheme, the effect is likely to be a starting point based on current entitlement to support under the Formula Grant process.

Whilst the scheme is projected to include retention of a proportion of the local growth in Business Rates it is not anticipated that this will produce significant amounts of additional income for the Council, at least in the first two years which are covered by the existing spending review. The feedback from the consultation has raised concerns that the proposed scheme does not provide sufficient incentive to encourage actions leading to growth in the Business Rate Base.

Further consultation is expected to be published by the Government in the near future, and will seek to address the concerns raised in the first consultation, including a review of the proportions of additional funding allocated to districts and counties in two-tier areas.

As noted above, the Autumn Statement has set plans for public spending in 2015/16 and 2016/17 in line with the spending reductions over the Spending Review 2010 period.

Future levels of Government support will also be influenced by changes in population. The figures from Census 2011 have yet to be published and we await confirmation as to when they will be used to re-base population figures for Government grant purposes. In the past, the rebasing of population using census data has had significant negative implications in terms of entitlement for the Council, due to high degree of population mobility of the City.

In the interim, the Office of National Statistics has been consulting on a proposed improved methodology for estimating net immigration to local authorities. Whilst seeking to improve the basis for estimation the methodology has resulted in significant \% changes for a number of local authorities which appear hard to reconcile to local knowledge and official data sources. Cambridge is one of these authorities with a projected reduction of 20,200 in the indicative mid-year estimate for 2010. The Council is working together with other similar affected authorities and the LGA in seeking to challenge the proposed new methodology.

These factors highlight the degree of uncertainty that still exists with regard to the level of future Government support. It is intended that more detailed analysis and projections are included in the September 2012 MTS, when it is hoped clearer information is available.

## Council Tax Thresholds

Alongside the settlement announcement, Council Tax referendum thresholds for 2012/13 were announced. These have been set at $3.5 \%$ for single tier, county councils and shire districts, at $4 \%$ for police, fire authorities and the GLA and at $3.5 \%$ for the City of London. These thresholds are all $1 \%$ above the figures for which the new one-year freeze grant for $2012 / 13$ will be paid.

## Other Government Grants

In addition to Formula Grant the Council still receives a number of other revenue grants from central government although these are reduced in number following incorporation of a number of them into Formula Grant. In addition, government has removed ringfencing from the majority of grants.

The table below sets out the anticipated grants that the Council will receive in the period 2011/12 to 2013/14 and which have been incorporated into budget proposals presented in this document. Where applicable, estimated grant entitlements will be updated with any final notifications received prior to budget setting.

| Specific Grants | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Homes Bonus | 786,646 | 1,521,544 | 2,335,544 | 3,456,144 |
| Housing \& Council Tax Benefits Administration Grant | 822,952 | 759,704 | tba | tba |
| 2011/12 Council Tax Freeze Grant (from 2012/13 this is included within Formula Grant | 169,647 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012/13 Council Tax Freeze Grant (Indicative grant if decision is made not to increase Council Tax) | N/A | 171,597 | 0 | 0 |
| Preventing Homelessness Grant | 575,470 | 575,470 | tba | tba |

## New Homes Bonus

The New Homes Bonus (NHB) scheme, introduced from 1 April 2011, was designed to encourage and reward local authorities for the delivery of new homes and the reduction of the number of empty homes in their areas. The NHB is designed so that provision of additional housing in a particular year is recognised through the award of additional funding for a period of six consecutive years, starting in the following year. Entitlement is based on the actual numbers of housing completions and empty homes brought back into use together with an affordable housing component.

NHB for 2011/12, determined on 4 April 2011, was $£ 786,646$ which under the scheme should be paid for a period of six years. This funding is being used to secure the employment of the team of professionals needed to ensure that the new communities that are being created to the south and north west of the city will be successful and located within attractive places to live.

The provisional allocation for 2012/13 of $£ 734,898$ was announced on 1 December 2011 based on data obtained from local authority Council Tax Base forms together with DCLG official statistics on additional affordable housing supply. Representations (if any) on the data used must be submitted by 30 December 2011.

Forward projections of NHB are based on estimated housing completions and are, therefore, dependent on achieving the projected growth rates each year. Nationally, funding for the NHB scheme has only been explicitly provided for the period of the Sending Review, i.e. to $2014 / 15$. However, it remains unclear as to the implications for local authorities resulting from
the funding of NHB after 2012/13. There have been indications that funding for the scheme for future years may be achieved through top-slicing of Formula Grant.

Forward estimates of NHB entitlement, updated since the MTS to reflect the latest housing growth projections, are set out in the following table:

| NHB | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | 2014/15 | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 / 17 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 / 18 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011/12 allocation | $(786,646)$ | $(786,646)$ | $(786,646)$ | $(786,646)$ | $(786,646)$ | $(786,646)$ |  |
| 2012/13 provisional allocation |  | $(734,898)$ | $(734,898)$ | $(734,898)$ | $(734,898)$ | $(734,898)$ | $(734,898)$ |
| New Homes Bonus Total | $(786,646)$ | $(1,521,544)$ | $(1,521,544)$ | $(1,521,544)$ | $(1,521,544)$ | $(1,521,544)$ | $(734,898)$ |
| Funding of Fixed Term Growth Posts | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 |
| Balance after Funding for Growth-related Posts | 31,734 | $(703,164)$ | $(703,164)$ | $(703,164)$ | $(703,164)$ | $(703,164)$ | 83,482 |
| Projection of Future NHB entitlement |  |  | (814,000) | $(1,934,600)$ | (1,934,600) | (1,934,600) | $(1,934,600)$ |
| Potential net NHB funding available | 31,734 | $(703,164)$ | $(1,517,164)$ | $(2,637,764)$ | $(2,637,764)$ | $(2,637,764)$ | $(1,851,118)$ |

At MTS stage, it was considered reasonable to assume that the remaining balance in 2012/13 would not be offset by a reduction in Formula Grant (through a national top-slicing adjustment) as the Government has already announced the level of Formula Grant for that year. On that basis, it was agreed that the Council would consider what one-off costs / projects could be funded using this money as part of the budget setting process this year. As part of the BSR projections the net funding available in 2012/13 (£703,164) has been used to support capital spending.

The additional funding for 2012/13 will be used to support the programme of capital investment in the city, including investing in energy efficiency for owner occupied and rented housing in the city, improving recycling with new bins in our streets and open spaces, refurbishing the Lion Yard toilets, reducing the council's energy bills and making our streets safer with 20 mph speed limits.

However, in light of the scale of the additional funding projected to be available in future years, and the concern as to the degree to which this may be offset by top-slicing of the Council's Formula Grant, it was proposed and agreed that the additional funding be earmarked (rather than added to general reserves) with a view to considering appropriate application at a later date.

When its 2013/14 funding basis is clear the council will be in a position to confirm the degree to which the future years' of New Homes Bonus are needed to support investment plans that are already in place, or whether it will be available for new projects as is hoped.

Future projects under development include investment in more energy-efficient technologies and services (†o deliver our Carbon Management Plan and cut our carbon footprint by $25 \%$ as the city grows), contribution to the Green Deal to help residents and tenants improve the energy efficiency of their homes and improving alternatives to using the car in Cambridge.

## Housing \& Council Tax Benefits Administration Grant

This grant is paid towards the costs of administering the present schemes of Council Tax and Housing Benefit. The 2011/12 grant is made up of two components - £747,664 main administration subsidy plus an additional subsidy of $£ 75,288$ in recognition of the high levels of administration activity as a result of the downturn in the economy.

At the time of the 2012/13 Local Government Finance Settlement announcement on 8 December 2011 the levels of this grant for 2012/13 were also published - £691,279 main administration subsidy plus an additional subsidy of $£ 68,425$ giving a total entitlement of $£ 759,704$. This represents a reduction of $£ 63,248$ in grant entitlement from $2011 / 12$, equivalent to $7.69 \%$.

Entitlements for future years are uncertain and will be impacted by the planned major welfare reforms and scheme for the localisation of Council Tax benefit.

## Council Tax Freeze Grant

This grant was introduced in 2011/12 to recompense local authorities that agreed to freeze the level of their Council Tax to that of the previous year. The compensation took the form of a grant equal to the loss of Council Tax revenue foregone had a $2.5 \%$ increase been made. This grant, relating to the freeze of tax for 2011/12, is to be continued for the four years of the Spending Review period but with no indication that this funding will continue after 2014/15. From 2012/13 the grant has been rolled up into the Formula Grant so is no longer paid as a separate sum.

On 14 November 2011, details were announced of the terms of a further grant scheme to support and encourage local authorities to freeze the level of their Council Tax for a further year in 2012/13. However, unlike the scheme for 2011/12, the Council Tax Freeze grant for 2012/13 will involve a single one-off payment that will not be built into the baseline for future years grants.

Authorities that set their basic amount of Council Tax for $2012 / 13$ at a level that is no more than that for 2011/12 will receive grant equivalent to the following percentage increases:

- For police and single purpose fire and rescue authorities $3 \%$
- For the City of London 2.75\%, and
- For other principal authorities $2.5 \%$

At this stage in the budget setting process the impact of holding the Council Tax for 2012/13 at the same level as for 2011/12 has been incorporated into the budget projections within this document. Members will need to consider the longer term financial implications of this single year support as the effect of doing so will be to increase the Council's savings requirement from 2013/14, although in 2012/13 the Council would benefit from a grant based on a $2.5 \%$ increase rather than the planned $2 \%$ increase in Council Tax included in MTS projections.

## Preventing Homelessness Grant

As part of the Spending Review the Government expressed its commitment to protect homelessness grant for the period of the review, recognising that failure to prevent and tackle homelessness would result in higher costs in the longer term. The allocation of grant to the City Council for 2011/12 and 2012/13 was announced in December 2010 together with an indication from CLG that funding for 2013/14 and 2014/15 is likely to be set at a similar level. At
£575,470 per annum, this grant determination represented a significant increase in grant over previous years; the grant for 2010/11 was $£ 401,050$.

## Earmarked and Specific Funds

In addition to General Reserves, the Council maintains a number of earmarked and specific funds held to meet major expenditure of a non-recurring nature or where the income has been received for a specific purpose but not yet spent. Appendix B provides details of the balances and anticipated use in 2011/12 and 2012/13 for each of the main earmarked and specific funds. The nature/purpose of each fund is described briefly below:

## Repair \& Renewal Funds

These funds are built up to meet the costs of major repairs and periodic replacement of assets such as vehicles, plant and equipment and Council-owned premises. Annual contributions are based on estimated replacement and repair costs, spread over the anticipated life of the assets.

Significant asset portfolios within the Council, such as the vehicle fleet or the ICT infrastructure, have medium and long-term programmes for replacements; which form part of the Council's Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan. Individual items, or schemes, within these programmes are brought forward as capital bids subject to standard project appraisal and review requirements.

## Developer Contributions

These are contributions made by developers towards the costs associated with their developments, for example community infrastructure. Some agreements provide for the return of contributions made, if capital projects are not carried out within a specified period.

The majority of the unspent contributions are held as capital contributions unapplied. Schemes funded from these monies, in part or in whole, will be brought forward as capital bids and subject to the review and scrutiny process applied to all capital schemes.

## Climate Change Fund

A Climate Change Fund with an initial capital investment of $£ 250,000$ was agreed at Council in February 2008, with a further contribution of $£ 250,000$ being added in 2010. A further $£ 129,050$
investment is proposed in the 2012/13 budget. It is used to fund projects that will contribute to the achievement of the Council's vision of caring for the planet through climate change and carbon reduction measures.

Activities to be supported include infrastructure, equipment, feasibility studies or promotional activities that contribute towards energy and fuel efficiency, sustainable transport, waste minimisation or management of climate change risks.

## Development Plan Fund

There is an ongoing need for the Development Plan Fund to enable the City Council to fulfil its statutory plan making function. The Council is required to update its Local Plan by 2014. The major investment required means that it is prudent to accrue an appropriate sum over a period of years to meet the cost.

## Fixed Term Posts Costs

Where the Council appoints staff on fixed-term contracts an obligation to pay redundancy costs can result at the end of that period. To cover this eventuality the Council has established an earmarked reserve to hold contributions based on the potential liability of such posts.

## Council Tax Earmarked for Growth

In recognition of the additional cost pressures that the Council faces as a consequence of significant growth in housing and population over the coming years, the Council has set up an earmarked fund against which appropriate budget bids may be made. This is shown as part of Appendix $B$.

As part of the work on the Growth Agenda, reviews of Council taxbase projections are undertaken, designed to identify the level of growth anticipated in excess of the standard level of $0.5 \%$ per annum, assumed in the Council's base financial model. The identified additional Council Tax yield is transferred to the fund at each year-end. In addition, the Council has budgeted for an additional lump sum contribution of $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ to be made in 2015/16.

As part of the budget setting process, budget bids that are directly attributable to growth can be made against the fund. To date, funds have been allocated to meet the initial and ongoing costs of additional refuse collection rounds.

## Efficiency Fund

Contributions totalling £750,000 were approved to meet bids to support the delivery of savings to the General Fund through an identified and agreed service review. The Chief Executive has delegated authority to consider and approve bids against this funding. Bids must be for oneoff costs that are not funded from alternative sources. Priority is given to bids that offer the greatest level of ongoing savings.

Contributions, over a three-year period, were agreed and budgeted as part of the 2009 MTS as follows:

2010/11: £ 75,000
2011/12: £475,000
2012/13: £ 200,000

## Technology Investment Fund

This Fund was set up to facilitate investment in projects to develop existing, and introduce new, ICT systems and infrastructure funded from the savings made on the last tender for ICT Facilities Management contract. Ongoing contributions were withdrawn from 2010/11, however residual funds remain for projects that meet the appropriate investment criteria and use of this money is currently being considered alongside use of the Efficiency Fund.

## New Homes Bonus Reserve

In light of the scale of the additional funding projected from New Homes Bonus Grant and concern as to the degree to which this may be offset by top-slicing of the Council's Formula Grant in future years, it was proposed and agreed as part of the September 2011 MTS, that the additional uncommitted funding be earmarked (rather than added to general reserves) with a view to considering appropriate application at a later date. It had been anticipated that the position for 2013/14 and 2014/15 might be clarified as part of the Local Government Settlement or the Resource Review announcements but no further information has yet been announced. Therefore amounts from 2013/14 onwards, above the level required to fund existing growth related posts, have been treated as contributions to the reserve.

## Taxbase and Council Tax

## Taxbase

The taxbase is one element in determining both the level of Council Tax to be set and the amount it is estimated will be collected. Council formally agrees the taxbase as part of the budget setting process, although in practice the responsibility is delegated to the Director of Resources to enable notification to be made to the major precepting authorities during January each year.

The taxbase reflects the number of domestic properties in the City expressed as an equivalent number of Band D properties, calculated using the relative weightings for each property band. The calculation of the taxbase takes account of various discounts (for example a $25 \%$ discount for single adult households) exemptions and reliefs. Allowances are also made for the projected growth in the number of dwellings as well as including a deduction assumed for noncollection.

The provisional taxbase is 41,012 and details of its calculation are given in Appendix $C$ (a) and will form the basis of the final approved level for tax setting and precepting purposes. A further review will be undertaken in early January in line with statutory requirements.

For 2012/13 a collection rate of $99 \%$ has been included in the calculations of Council Tax yield. Any sums received above this level would effectively be paid back to Council Tax payers in the following year, through the Collection Fund. If this level of collection were not achieved, the shortfall would have to be recovered from Council Tax payers in the following year, through the Collection Fund.

The Collection Fund for Council Tax is projected to have a deficit balance the end of the current year of £754,017.47, primarily as a consequence of lower levels of housing growth than originally forecast. The City Council's share of this projected year-end deficit is $£ 87,112$ and this will be taken into account in setting the Council's budget for $2012 / 13$. As with the taxbase, this forecast will be formally reviewed in January 2012.

## Growth-related Council Tax Yield

As part of the work on the Growth Agenda, the review of the Council's taxbase projections is designed to identify the level of growth that is anticipated in excess of the standard level of $0.5 \%$ per annum, which has been assumed in the base model. The latest review takes account of anticipated new housing completions over the coming years as forecast in the draft December 2011 Annual Monitoring Report.

This work has identified the amounts of Council Tax yield that relate directly to the increase in projected properties. In line with the approach to resource modelling for Growth that was originally agreed as part of the July 2006 MTS, budget proposals set out in this report assume that these sums will continue to be earmarked to fund growth-related costs.

A bid for funding for an additional refuse collection round was approved for funding from this source as part of the February 2009 Budget Setting Report. A number of further bids have been identified for funding from this source as part of the $2012 / 13$ budget process. The overall position and remaining funding are presented in Appendix $B$.

## Council Tax Level

Financial projections of the Council Tax level made for the MTS included the assumption of a $2 \%$ per annum increase from 2012/13, in line with the government CPI target level for inflation. However, it was agreed that the planned level of increase, and the financial impact for the Council, would be reviewed in light of the Formula Grant settlement announcement and the anticipated announcement, at that time, of the principles against which an excessive proposed increase would be measured.

Following the announcement of an additional one-off Council Tax Freeze Grant to local authorities that agree to hold their Council Tax levels for 2012/13 at no more than their 2011/12 level, the proposed levels of Council Tax for future years have been reviewed. In doing so, the Council is seeking to address the difficulties faced by some members of the community in the current economic conditions.

Projections incorporated in the BSR are based on the Council freezing the level of Council Tax for 2012/13. This will result in a reduction in the level of yield in $2012 / 13$ of around $£ 138,000$. The Government scheme provided for a one-year only grant equivalent to an increase of $2.5 \%$, which for the City will result in receipt of $£ 171,597$.

However this will have the effect of eroding our Council Tax base in real terms, and therefore creates greater pressure on our resources in future years. As a result, the projections reflect an increase in Council Tax in 2013/14 and future years of $2.5 \%$ (in place of the previous assumption of a $2 \%$ increase). In 2013/14, the net savings requirement has been increased by $£ 103,230$, reflecting the net additional pressure resulting from these changes (the reduction in yield resulting from the freeze in 2012/13 net of the additional increase of $0.5 \%$ in 2013/14).

These implications are summarised in the table below:

|  | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2011 MTS Proposed Increase in Council Tax level | 2.0 \% | 2.0 \% | 2.0 \% | 2.0 \% |
| February 2012 BSR Proposed Increase in Council Tax level | 0.0 \% | 2.5 \% | 2.5 \% | 2.5 \% |
| Council Tax Freeze Grant re: 2012/13 | £171,597 |  |  |  |
| Increase / (Decrease) required in future years ongoing Net Savings Requirement |  | £103,230 | $(£ 36,750)$ | $(£ 36,650)$ |

The table below shows the City Council element of Council Tax for 2011/12 for each property band together with the proposed levels for 2012/13.

|  | City Council Tax |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band | $\underset{£}{2011 / 12}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Difference £ |
| A | 111.05 | 111.05 | 0.00 |
| B | 129.55 | 129.55 | 0.00 |
| C | 148.06 | 148.06 | 0.00 |
| D | 166.57 | 166.57 | 0.00 |
| E | 203.59 | 203.59 | 0.00 |
| F | 240.60 | 240.60 | 0.00 |
| G | 277.62 | 277.62 | 0.00 |
| H | 333.14 | 333.14 | 0.00 |

## Section 4 General Fund Revenue Budgets

## Post-MTS Approvals

There were no decisions taken between the publication of the Medium Term Strategy (as part of the October committee cycle) and publication of this document ${ }^{1}$. Such decisions, including any made under urgency arrangements, together with financial implications would be noted here.

There are no Revenue or Capital implications to take into account in reviewing the final budget proposals.

## Revised Budget 2011/12

General Fund (GF) revenue budgets for the current year (2011/12) were initially reviewed as part of the 2011 Medium Term Strategy (MTS) in September 2011. A further review is undertaken as part of the January 2012 committee cycle ${ }^{2}$ and will be updated when Council reviews this report in February 2012. The current overall effects of the revised budget proposals, compared to the Original Budget, are shown in the table below.

| $2011 / 12$ Revised Budget | MIS 2011 | Jan. 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net GF Expenditure | $22,105,570$ | $21,464,340$ |
| Variation on previously reported projection |  | $(641,230)$ |

[^2]It should be noted that the above figures include carry forward approvals from 2010/11, expenditure on posts managing and delivering the Growth Agenda (funded from NHB), significant items together with savings and unavoidable bids in the current year. Direct revenue funding (DRF) of the net re-phasing of capital expenditure from 2011/12 into future years will be incorporated in line with the updated Capital and Revenue Projects Plan and associated funding statement.

Revised Budget items have been considered by each of the scrutiny committees, in the current committee cycle, and are detailed in Appendix D. These can be summarised as follows:

| Revised Budget liems | 2011/12 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Savings | $(1,051,310)$ |
| Bids | 410,080 |
| Net Effect on Current Year Budget | $(641,230)$ |

The items submitted, as part of the revised budget will be analysed to ensure that any appropriate lessons can be learned for future budget management and monitoring.

## Non-Cash Limit Budgets

Non-Cash Limit items are those that do not relate directly to the cost of service provision, including for example Government Grants and investment income. These are summarised in the Table below together with full details given in Appendix E.

| Proposal Type | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Cash Limit items | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |

This reflects the continuing pressure resulting from the prolonged economic downturn which has resulted in further reductions in the external interest yield that the Council can expect from its investments.

## Budget Proposals Summary

The budget proposals contained within this BSR document are detailed in Appendix F, and can be summarised as follows:

| Proposal Type | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unavoidable Revenue Bid | 238,730 | 64,100 | 64,100 | 54,100 |
| Service Reviews | $(753,100)$ | $(913,100)$ | $(990,000)$ | $(990,000)$ |
| Saving | $(460,390)$ | $(458,890)$ | (458,890 | (458,890 |
| Net Effect of General Fund proposals | 267,240 | $(65,890)$ | $(142,790)$ | $(152,790)$ |

## Service Reviews

The Service Reviews process has been developed over recent years and identifies particular service areas for detailed evaluation. Bringing this work forward in the financial planning cycle means that approval for change and implementation thereof can be more readily incorporated within the budget process.

At the time of publication of the September 2011 MTS the projected level of savings in 2012/13 from Service Reviews was identified as $£ 1,250,000$. Subsequently, further work as review work progressed identified the need to reschedule some of these savings into future years, to reflect timing implications of the changes to services being proposed. This resulted in a reduction of $£ 395,160$ in the target level for $2012 / 13$, with corresponding increases of $£ 340,160$ and $£ 55,000$ in the targets for $2013 / 14$ and $2014 / 15$ respectively. At the same time a review which had originally been anticipated as being deliverable in 2013/14 was identified as being able to be delivered as part of the 2012/13 review work.

The following table summarises the level of net savings resulting from the current year's Service Review work, and compares this to the original projected target level.

| Descripion | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Projected Net Savings | $(1,253,250)$ | $(1,253,250)$ | $(1,253,250)$ | $(1,253,250)$ |
| BSR Proposals | $(753,100)$ | $(913,100)$ | $(990,000)$ | $(990,000)$ |
| Underachievement against forecast | $\mathbf{5 0 0 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 3 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 3 , 2 5 0}$ |

This shows that, as last year, a number of reviews will not deliver the full level of cost reductions in the first year, hence the £753,100 delivered in 2012/13 rises to a level of $£ 990,000$ from $2015 / 16$. This increase in the level of net savings in future years reflects part-year effects in the early years as well as initial costs of change.

Although the table shows that the original anticipated level of savings forecast has not been delivered, the following table reconciles the actual figures to the original target.

| Service Review Reconciliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| less | Service Review Target for 2012/13 (per Sept 2011 MTS) | 1,253,250 |
|  | Actual savings included in Sept 2011 MTS | $(87,000)$ |
|  | Revised Service Review Target for 2012/13 | 1,166,250 |
| add | Service Review Targets brought forward from future years | 80,000 |
|  | Revised Service Review Target for 2012/13 | 1,246,250 |
| less | Service Review targets re-profiled to future years | $(395,160)$ |
|  | Revised Service Review Target for 2012/13 | 851,090 |
| less | Ongoing level of savings in Feb 2012 BSR | $(990,000)$ |
|  | Achievement against Revised Service Review Target for 2012/13 | $(138,910)$ |
| Made up of: |  |  |
|  | Over-achievement on 2012/13 targets | $(157,090)$ |
|  | Elements of individual 2012/13 targets not achievable | 18,180 |

This demonstrates that there has been an overachievement against the revised level of target savings for 2012/13, when based on the final ongoing levels.

The contribution of Service Reviews to the overall level of savings has been significant (ranging from $62.1 \%$ in $2012 / 13$ to $68.3 \%$ in $2015 / 16$ ), and is greater than the overall level of net savings in both 2012/13 and 2015/16.

This serves to confirm the significant role of the Service Review process, and the robustness of the methodology. It is planned as part of the related work in 2012/13 to undertake an overview to ensure that all service areas have been appropriately considered as part of the Service Review process. This will feed in as part of the plans in the September 2012 MTS.

## Performance Against Savings Target

As in the 2011/12 budget process, a Cash Limit for the General Fund as a whole has been adopted, rather than Cash Limits for each Portfolio. This reflects the significant contribution to the savings requirements which is being delivered through the Council's Service Review process.

The following table summarises the level of net savings which are being proposed by each of the Portfolios, and compares this to the overall net savings target for the whole General Fund. A more detailed comparison is included at Appendix F.

Cash Limit or budget adjustments have been reported to service scrutiny committees, as appropriate, and are included in the projections.

| Portfolio | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Savings Requirement (MTS Sept 2011) | 1,242,000 | 1,242,000 | 1,242,000 | 1,242,000 |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | 67,130 | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ |
| Community Development \& Health | $(183,910)$ | $(230,910)$ | $(230,910)$ | $(240,910)$ |
| Customer Services \& Resources | $(432,470)$ | $(454,000)$ | $(530,900)$ | $(530,900)$ |
| Environmental \& Waste Services | $(203,500)$ | $(294,000)$ | (294,000 | (294,000 |


| Porffolio | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing | $(71,410)$ | $(71,410)$ | $(71,410)$ | $(71,410)$ |
| Planning \& Sustainable Transport | $(124,350)$ | $(204,350)$ | (204,350 | (204,350 |
| Strategy \& Climate Change | $(26,250)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350$ | (45,350 |
| Net performance against Cash Limit | 267,240 | $(65,890)$ | $(142,790)$ | $(152,790)$ |

This shows that the Cash Limit has been achieved for 2013/14 and subsequent years, with an ongoing overachievement of the net savings requirement from $2015 / 16$ of $£ 152,790$. The inability to meet the Cash Limit for 2012/13 reflects a higher level of pressure from unavoidable revenue bids in that year, together with the part-year effects of savings measures which are proposed. In practice, it will be possible to meet the shortfall against the Cash Limit target from the net underspend projected for 2011/12 (as identified in the Revised Budget section above).

## Bids for External or Earmarked Funds

As set out in Section 3, in addition to General Reserves, the Council maintains a number of earmarked and specific funds held to meet major expenditure of a non-recurring nature or where the income has been received for a specific purpose but not yet spent. Appendix H provides details of the bids against these funds, or to external funding sources, as part of the 2012/13 budget process.

The affordability of these bids is demonstrated in the statement of fund balances contained within Appendix B.

## Overall Budget Position and Priority Policy Fund (PPF)

## Overall Budget Position

The overall impact of the factors considered above in the context of the Cash Limit established in the September 2011 MTS is set out below:

| Proposal Type | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ f \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Savings Requirement (per MTS Sept 2011) |  | 1,242,000 | 1,242,000 | 1,242,000 | 1,242,000 |
| 2011/12 Revised Budget | $(641,230)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Unavoidable Revenue Bid |  | 238,730 | 64,100 | 64,100 | 54,100 |
| Service Reviews |  | $(753,100)$ | $(913,100)$ | $(990,000)$ | $(990,000)$ |
| Saving |  | $(460,390)$ | $(458,890)$ | (458,890 | (458,890 |
| Net Position (Under) / Over Cash Limit | $(641,230)$ | 267,240 | $(65,890)$ | $(142,790)$ | $(152,790)$ |
| Non-Cash Limit items | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |
| Overall Net Position Against Cash Limit (Under) / Over | $(641,230)$ | 397,240 | 314,110 | 287,210 | 277,210 |

This reflects the significant impact on the extended period of the economic downturn which is currently forecast, through the reduction in the levels of external interest receipts that the Council can earn.

The implications of this position are as follows:


This identifies the need for a net increase in the ongoing Net savings Requirement for 2013/14 of $£ 314,110$ in order to offset the adverse effects of the extended period projected for the economic downturn.

## Review of PPF Funding

The General Fund PPF provides an effective means of enabling the redistribution of resources within the overall cash limit, in recognition of priorities identified through the Council's Vision for the City, the medium term programme and public budget consultation.

The September 2011 MTS provided funding for PPF Bids of £500,000 per annum for 2012/13 and future years. Part of this review involves considering the affordability of this assumption in light of the outcome of the review of other factors impacting the overall budget position, detailed above as well as the context of the bids made for this source of funding.

The current list of PPF Bids is shown in Appendix I. In reviewing PPF Bids for approval, the Council's process requires that consideration is given to the relative value PPF Bids compared to the additional Savings that their inclusion would require.

In addition, all bids have been considered in respect of their impact in terms of Climate Change, and the ratings for the PPF Bids have been considered by the Environmental Strategy Group (ESG). The priority ratings for budget proposals as recommended by the ESG are detailed in Appendix I.

| Priority Policy Fund 2012/13 | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funding available | $(500,000)$ | $(500,000)$ | $(500,000)$ | $(500,000)$ |
| Bids into Fund | 378,620 | 300,120 | 195,720 | 179,720 |
| Shortfall / (Unused) Funding | $(121,380)$ | $(199,880)$ | $(304,280)$ | $(320,280)$ |

The relatively modest level of PPF Bids submitted as part of this year's budget process appears to reflect awareness of the need for restraint in the current financial climate and the relative value of additional bids when compared to the further savings that would need to be identified. This would seem to be supported by the fact that only High priority bids have been submitted as part of this year's budget process.

Given the level of PPF Bids received, the following analysis is based on retaining sufficient PPF funding to allow for the potential for all such bids to be approved. The unused level of funding
from 2013/14 onward would be available to reduce the Net Savings Requirement in those years, whilst the unused funding in 2012/13 could be used to support capital spending.

The effects of this would be as follows:

| Priority Policy Fund 2012/13 | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shortfall / (Unused) Funding | $(121,380)$ | $(199,880)$ | $(304,280)$ | $(320,280)$ |
| Applied through: |  |  |  |  |
| Use to reduce ongoing Net Savings Requirement in - 2013/14 |  | 199,880 |  |  |
| - 2014/15 |  |  | 104,400 |  |
| - 2015/16 |  |  |  | 16,000 |
| Use to support capital spending | 121,380 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Review of Future Net Savings Requirements

A key part of the BSR is to update the projection of the requirements for net savings to be achieved ion future years, as the basis for considering their achievability.

The review of factors undertaken above requires a number of adjustments to be made to the projection of future Net Savings Requirements which had been identified in the September 20121 MTS. These are as follows:

| Net Sovings Requirements | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Savings Requirement (per Sept 2011 MTS) | 1,287,860 | 1,683,310 | 950,000 |
| Adjusted for: |  |  |  |
| Adjustment resulting from position against 2012/13 Cash Limit | $(65,890)$ | $(76,900)$ | $(10,000)$ |
| Sub totals | 1,221,970 | 1,606,410 | 940,000 |
| Reduced to reflect unapplied 2012/13 PPF funding provision in: $-2013 / 14$ <br> $(199,880)$ |  |  |  |
| - 2014/15 |  | $(104,400)$ |  |
| - 2015/16 |  |  | $(16,000)$ |
| Sub totals | 1,022,090 | 1,502,010 | 924,000 |
| Increase required to offset net Non-Cash Limit items | 380,000 | 50,000 | 0 |
| Sub totals | 1,402,090 | 1,552,010 | 924,000 |
| Increase required to offset effect of 2012/13 Council Tax freeze | 103,230 | $(36,750)$ | $(36,650)$ |
| Revised Net Savings Requirement | 1,505,320 | 1,515,260 | 887,350 |

This shows that the Cash Limit process has enabled the Council to reduce the ongoing Net Savings Requirement in each of the years from 2013/14 to 2015/16. However, this has been more than offset in 2013/14 by the need to increase the requirement to meet the effects of Non-Cash Limit items (primarily the reduced external interest receipts) and to manage the effects of the 2012/13 Council Tax freeze (given that the Government grant support lasts only for one year).

This leaves the Council with two years at a level of around $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ of ongoing savings to be identified, before the requirement falls back to around $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ (as envisaged in the existing longterm financial strategy).

This can be seen graphically below:


In considering the achievability of these levels of Net Savings Requirement it is important to note that:

- Each year includes provision for $£ 500,000$ of ongoing PPF funding. This could be foregone, in whole or part, to reduce the Net savings Requirement in any year.
- The Service Review process has consistently delivered significant contributions towards savings requirements. The latest projections for savings that will result from the Service Reviews which are scheduled to be undertaken during 2012/13 suggest that those which can currently be quantified should deliver around $£ 700,000$ in savings. It is expected that additional Service Reviews will be identified during the year which will add to this position.

On this basis the resulting Net Savings Requirements are deemed to be realistic and achievable.

# Section 5 HRA Revenue 

## Changes to HRA Funding

Following recent changes in law as part of the Localism Bill, the current system for financing local authority housing, the national Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Subsidy System will be replaced with a new system, known as Self-Financing.

Under the new system, the government will place a value on each local authority's housing business over a 30-year period, resulting in the need for Cambridge City Council to take on a prescribed level of debt in return for being able to retain all HRA revenue streams, to fund local housing services and also service the debt taken on.

Recognising the major change that the Housing Revenue Account will see from April 2012, when self-financing is introduced, a special joint Housing Management Board (HMB) and Community Services meeting will take place on 8th February 2012, to consider the final budget, a 30 -year Business Plan and Asset Management Plan for the Housing Revenue Account, incorporating the financial impact of the final debt settlement figure, which is anticipated by the end of January 2012.

Council will consider the recommendations of the special HMB and Community Services meeting on 23rd February 2012.

## Post MTS Approvals

There were no decisions taken between the publication of the Medium Term Strategy (as part of the October committee cycle) and publication of this document. Such decisions, including any made under urgency arrangements, together with financial implications would be noted here.

There are no Revenue or Capital implications to take into account in reviewing the final budget proposals.

## 2011/12 Revised Budget

Housing Revenue Account revenue budgets for the current year (2011/12) were initially reviewed as part of the 2011 Medium Term Strategy (MTS) in September 2011. A further review is undertaken as part of the January 2012 committee cycle and on this occasion again at a special meeting of HMB and Community Services on $8^{\text {th }}$ February 2012. The budget will be updated when Council reviews this report later in February 2012.

The current overall effects of the revised budget proposals, compared to the Original Budget, are shown in the table below.

| 2011/12 Revised Budget | MTS 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} | Jan. 2012 <br> $£$ |  |
|  | $1,229,100$ | $1,129,730$ |
|  |  | $(99,370)$ |

Major variances have been identified and incorporated in the following areas:

- HRA General $(£ 63,070)$ - Savings in consultant costs where audit fees for the HRA have reduced significantly and in training and office running costs (printing, postage, stationery, bank charges), resulting from a reduction in the number of staff directly employed in the HRA.
- HRA Special $(£ 80,250)$ - Predominantly a reduction in employee costs due to intentionally holding vacancies to meet the reduction in costs required by Supporting People from April 2011. This underspend is directly offset by an underachievement in income from Supporting People. Electricity costs in communal areas are also less than budgeted, with the impact of introducing Smart Meters now apparent.
- HRA Repairs $(£ 5,000)$ - The budget for housing occupational therapy costs is less than anticipated, with a corresponding saving also proposed in this budget going forward.
- HRA Summary Account $£ 48,950$ - Predominantly due to a reduction in the support income from Supporting People and in the income generated by HRA garages due to increased void levels. This reduction in income is partially offset by an overachievement in service charge income form tenants and leaseholders, with the tenanted element due predominantly to a reduction in void sheltered properties as the refurbishment programme nears completion. An over-achievement in rental income in 2011/12 is more than offset by the inclusion of funding to arrange the borrowing required for self-financing.

Further changes to the Housing Revenue Account revised budget for 2011/12 may be included as part of the report to the special meeting of HMB and Community Services on $8^{\text {th }}$ February 2012, when the final self-financing debt settlement is available. Following receipt of specialist financial advice in respect of the borrowing, and notification of the final debt settlement figure, there may be a requirement to incorporate into the revised budget for 2011/12 the impact of any changes in assumptions about the borrowing required on 28th March 2012, in terms of amended arrangements fees, the interest due and the debt itself, al of which will impact the current financial year.

## Review of Charges

Proposals for the review of charges for the Housing Revenue Account will be presented to HMB in January 2012. The effects of any proposed changes have been included in the base budget projections.

The Draft Housing Revenue Account Self-Financing Debt Settlement for April 2012 assumes that local authority guideline rents will increase rents in line with current rent restructuring policies. The level of debt which Cambridge City Council will be required to take on from 28th March 2012 is based upon the assumption that guideline rents at a local level will be increased by an inflation factor of $5.6 \%$ (RPI at September 2011) as the base rate, plus $0.5 \%$, plus a move towards target rent by 2015/16, limited to an additional $£ 2.00$ per property per week.

Taking into account the above rent guidelines, Cambridge City Council would see an overall increase in guideline rent of $7.9 \%$ from April 2012. This in turn drives the increase that local authorities apply to actual rents.

Cambridge City Council has always set rents for tenants in line with government guidelines, to move towards target rents within the government intended timescales. Where the opportunity has arisen, within these guidelines, to limit or minimise rent increases, this has always been acted upon and implemented at a local level.

Taking into account the limit on individual rent increases of inflation (for 2012/13 this is $5.6 \%$ ) plus $0.5 \%$ plus $£ 2.00$ per week, and an intended rent convergence date of April 2015, a significant increase in individual rents from April 2012 will again be necessary. Rent convergence will not be achieved at a local level across the entire housing stock for many years, with approximately 150 dwellings still not having achieved convergence in 15 years time, assuming the existing constraint upon rent increases continues to apply. The average rent increase for Cambridge City Council tenants for 2012/13 will be $8.3 \%$ or approximately $£ 6.73$ per week.

In calculating the level of debt that Cambridge City Council will be required to take on from 28th March 2012 as part of the self-financing valuation, government have made some key assumptions, one of which is:
"Assumed rental income: As described in both the February and July 2011 policy documents, national social rent policy is that rents in the council housing sector should converge with those charged by housing associations by 2015-16, followed by rent rises at RPI $+0.5 \%$ per year after this, in line with housing associations. In valuing each local authority's housing business we have assumed adherence to this rent policy".

Based upon the Draft HRA Self-Financing Debt Settlement Determination, the level of debt that Cambridge City Council will be required to take on, will be $£ 214,384,000$. Government make the assumption that in order to support this level of debt, whilst still managing and maintaining decency in the housing stock, Cambridge City Council will adhere to the national rent setting guidelines. Any local decision to deviate from this assumption, will have no impact on the level of debt that is required to be supported locally, but will instead reduce the resource available to manage, maintain or improve the existing housing stock and limit any opportunity to borrow further to increase the supply of affordable housing in the city.

## Budget Proposals Summary

As in the 2011/12 budget process, a Cash Limit for the Housing Revenue Account as a whole has been adopted.

The net financial impact for the Housing Revenue Account of the bids and savings proposed as part of the budget process to date is summarised below.

| Proposal Type | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2015/16 } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HRA General Savings Requirement (3\%) | 172,130 | 172,130 | 172,130 | 172,130 |
| HRA Repairs Savings Requirement | 15,470 | 15,470 | 15,470 | 15,470 |
| HRA PPF Funding | $(75,000)$ | $(75,000)$ | $(75,000)$ | $(75,000)$ |
| Reduction in Net Expenditure Required HRA Cash Limit | 112,600 | 112,600 | 112,600 | 112,600 |
| HRA Budget Proposals: |  |  |  |  |
| Unavoidable Revenue Bid | 202,890 | 214,890 | 214,890 | 214,890 |
| Revenue Bids | 37,580 | 37,580 | 37,580 | 37,580 |
| Service Review Savings | $(95,600)$ | $(107,600)$ | $(107,600)$ | $(107,600)$ |
| Saving | $(304,270)$ | $(304,270)$ | $(304,270)$ | $(304,270)$ |
| PPF Bids | $(23,720)$ | 129,130 | 48,560 | 48,560 |
| Net Effect of HRA Proposals | $(183,120)$ | $(30,270)$ | $(110,840)$ | $(110,840)$ |
| Under / (Over) Achievement against HRA Cash Limit | $(70,520)$ | 82,330 | 1,760 | 1,760 |

As with the revised budget for 2011/12, there will be further changes to be incorporated in the report presented to a special HMB and Community Services on 8th February 2012. These changes will be in respect of the removal of HRA negative subsidy, the impact of proposed rent increases for 2012/13, the reflection of any principal repayment of debt and amendments to interest paid and received, in light of the final HRA self-financing determination and resulting debt valuation.

## Service Reviews

The service review process is being managed organisationally, with each service review recognising the potential impact of change in respect of both the Housing Revenue Account and General Fund.

Savings delivered to the HRA as a result of service reviews are being incorporated into the longer-term financial planning for the HRA as they are identified by services, and contribute to the savings requirement for the HRA from this point.

# Section 6 Capital 

## Introduction

As outlined in Section 6 of the Medium Term Strategy [MTS September 201 1], the Council has a wide ranging asset portfolio including council housing, substantial areas of common land as well as assets for direct service provision such as swimming pools, community centres, car parks and the Corn Exchange. There are also vehicles and equipment such as waste collection, grounds maintenance and building repairs vehicles.

In addition to the assets used for service provision, the Council has a varied portfolio of commercial property and shops including business units aimed at small and start-up businesses. Each asset needs to provide an appropriate return on the investment made by the Council and also be fit for the purpose for which it is used.

The budget process provides an opportunity for Heads of Service to review their operational asset base and bid for funding for projects planned to be undertaken during the forthcoming financial year ending 31 March 2013.

## Monitoring

The Asset Management Group (AMG) reviews the current asset base, proposals for additional assets and maintains an overview of the agreed capital asset disposal programme. AMG also reviews achievements against targets for the current Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan based on monthly monitoring reports. These are based on a simple 'traffic light' approach which indicates whether schemes are progressing to budget and timetable. Reports are produced monthly for departments with an overview being scrutinised by AMG and Strategic Leadership Team (SLT) enabling early identification of projects which are slipping against the original scrutiny committee approval.

## Making Assets Count

The 'Making Assets Count' (MAC) work stream of 'Making Cambridgeshire Count' has undertaken work to map and analyse the usage of all the assets owned by each partner organisation, of which the City Council is one. This has enabled a far better understanding of the wider public sector estate across the county area, providing the opportunity to identify joint projects which could produce significant savings by combining, sharing and selling assets.

Work through MAC has helped to deliver the scheme for Seymour House, and there is currently joint feasibility work being undertaken to consider the potential for a shared operations centre in the south of the county, which could provide an alternative to the current depot sites for a number of partners.

MAC is looking to develop a shared public sector asset management strategy which will facilitate effective collaborative working. This will enable the identification of further opportunities to rationalise and enhance the public sector estate.

```
"There are ....... many organisations actively working on different ways to use assets and
funding sources, working more collaboratively with public, private and third sector
organisations and generally challenging the traditional approaches to service delivery and
asset management.
Innovation needs to be encouraged if we are to deliver real improvements in these
challenging times."
David Bentley - CIPFA Property
National Asset Management Planning National Conference (November 2011)
```


## Accommodation Strategy

The Council maintains offices throughout the city and an ongoing review is underway to consider the best use of our administrative buildings - whether owned or rented. This review is linked to work to determine the most appropriate working practices for the Council in the future, such as remote working.

Some budget bids reflect the use of accommodation throughout the Council's portfolio, including the Depot at Mill Road and The Guildhall where space is let to both public and private sector organisations.

## Asset Disposal

The Council has a small portfolio of development land that could be sold to generate significant capital sums. This will fulfil two objectives, firstly to provide land for commercial or housing development to meet the growth requirement within the city; secondly to provide funds for reinvestment to ensure that strategic objectives can be met.

Receipts from the disposal of capital assets are only recognised in the Council's reserves when received and after all relevant costs have been provided for.

The Council also maintains a register of strategic acquisitions that could be funded from the sale of capital assets or from other available funds.

| Anticipated <br> disposal | Fund |  | Asset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2012 / 13$ | General | Site K1, Orchard Park | Proposal for self build being <br> investigated |
| $2013 / 14$ | General | Land at Clay Farm, <br> Trumpington | Within Southern Fringe development, <br> subject to Collaboration Agreement <br> with adjoining land owner |
| $2015 / 16$ | General | Former Park \& Ride Site <br> and Golf Driving Range, <br> Cowley Road | Subject to Local Plan Review Process |

## Capital \& Revenue Project Bids

Capital \& Revenue Project bids are shown in detail in Appendix O. Sources of funding include:

- Earmarked \& Specific Funds (e.g. Repairs \& Renewals)
- External funding (e.g. Grants, National Lottery)
- Developer Contributions
- Capital Receipts
- Reserves


## Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan

Project delivery is formally reviewed biannually in September (as part of the MTS) and January (as part of the BSR). Part of the review process is identifying the need to re-phase budgets, and the associated use of resources, into the financial years in which it is anticipated that expenditure will be incurred. Re-phasing requests are formally submitted to Council in October and February each year. The Current Capital and Revenue Projects Plan is shown in detail in Appendix $M$. A review of the current plan has led to the identification of a number of rephasing requests; these are detailed in Appendix $N$.

## Financing

## Availability of Capital \& Revenue Projects Funding

A key aim arising from the September 2011 MTS was to seek to identify a level of funding for the Council to be able to respond appropriately to a number of capital financing pressures, including Park Street Car Park which was on the Hold List.

The review of factors detailed above has served to identify a range of additional sums which could be used to support capital spending. This has had the following impact on the level of available capital funding:

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funding available and unapplied (per Sept 2011 MTS) | (160) | (943) | $(1,098)$ | $(1,093)$ | $(1,380)$ |
| Adjusted for: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Limit underspend 2011/12 and 2012/13 |  | (121) |  |  |  |
| 2012/13 PPF funding not applied |  | (244) |  |  |  |
| Unapplied balance of 2012/13 New Homes Bonus funding |  | (703) |  |  |  |
| Use of GF reserves down to £5m target level |  | (370) | (70) | (954) | 318 |
| Revised Capital funding availability | (160) | $(2,381)$ | $(1,168)$ | $(2,047)$ | $(1,062)$ |

This provides the context for considering the affordability of the Capital bids which have been submitted as part of the 2012/13 budget process, as shown below:

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revised Capital funding availability | (160) | $(2,381)$ | $(1,168)$ | $(2,047)$ | $(1,062)$ |
| Capital bids | 0 | 1,304 | 2,083 | 1,883 | 200 |
| (Surplus) / Shorffall in Funding | (160) | $(1,077)$ | 915 | (164) | (862) |
| Cumulative cashflow effect |  | $(1,237)$ | (322) | (486) | $(1,348)$ |

This demonstrates that, although the spending requirement is very uneven (reflecting, in particular, the projected costs of the refurbishment works to the Park Street Car Park) the funding available is sufficient to allow all of the bids to be approved if they are deemed to be appropriate and necessary.

The projections in the remainder of the BSR assume, at this stage, that all of the capital bids are approved.

## Hold List

The Council maintains a Hold List for Projects that have been approved but are awaiting funding. The single item on the Hold List from 2007 (Park Street Car Park Refurbishment) has now been removed, as it is the subject of a bid into the 2012/13 Budget process (C2958).

Six items have been transferred to the Hold List from the Arts, Sport \& Public Places portfolio's Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan pending final agreement of funding sources.

| Ref. | Proposed Scheme / Porffolio | Cost to CCC |  | ng |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places |  |  | Reserves | R\&R | Other |
| SC453 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Project | £118,000 | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SC455 | Logan's Meadow LNR Extension | £188,000 | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SC465 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Public Art | £29,000 | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SC472 | Cherry Hinton Hall Vending Kiosk | £15,000 | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SC473 | Cherry Hinton Hall Pond \& Lake Restorations | £25,000 | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SC475 | Nightingale Recreation Ground Pavilion Refurbishment | £18,000 | $x$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| TOTAL |  | £393,000 |  |  |  |

## HRA / Housing Capital

In light of the major changes to funding for housing from April 2012, a complete review of the Housing Capital Plan will be presented to the special meeting of HMB and Community Services on $8^{\text {th }}$ February 2012, alongside / as part of the HRA Business Plan and Asset Management Plan. The review will include the impact of any changes investment requirements in the housing stock, any re-phasing of existing capital budgets and will incorporate the impact of any capital bids identified as part of the 2012/13 budget process.

Early consideration will be given to capital bids and savings proposed as part of the 2011/12 revised and 2012/13 original budget process, and are summarised below:

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Bids - Requiring Funding | 0 | 3,634,970 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 0 |
| Capital Bids - Existing Funding | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Capital Savings | $(208,000)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Capital Bids | $(198,000)$ | 3,634.970 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 0 |

## Potential Need for Prudential Borrowing

The Council has been debt-free since 2003, when it took advantage of the then capital controls to repay external debt giving benefits to both the General Fund and HRA. Since changes in the capital finance system the basis for the move to debt-free status has been changed and there is no

Under the Governments draft HRA Self-Financing Debt Settlement Determination, the Council will need to undertake significant borrowing in order to fund the payment of $£ 214,384 \mathrm{~m}$ to the Government on 28 March 2012 as part of the change to the new self-financing system for the HRA.

In addition to borrowing to finance the initial debt take-on as part of self-financing the Council will be able to undertake additional prudential borrowing for the HRA up to a Government determined limit. Based on the figures in the draft determination, this would provide the opportunity to borrow around a further $£ 16 \mathrm{~m}$. Any decisions leading to actual requirements for borrowing would be subject to individual business cases.

In addition, there are a number of other areas where the Council may choose to use prudential borrowing as the most appropriate means of financing new capital requirements:

- Clay Farm Community Facilities - a separate report will be considered in the current scrutiny committee cycle providing an update on the project to provide community facilities as part of the Clay Farm development. It is currently anticipated that the

Council will take-on the lead role in the provision of these facilities, and it is estimated that this may involve the need to borrow around £2.8m initially.

- Clay Farm Collaboration Agreement - under the agreement the City and other development partners, will be required to contribute to the shared cost of providing infrastructure in order to facilitate development of the site. Work is being undertaken to finalise the anticipated amounts and timing of such payments, but it is anticipated that these are likely to precede the point at which the City disposes of its land interest, and so the capital receipt may well not be available to fund these costs. In such a case, consideration would be given to the need for short-term prudential borrowing as a means of financing the collaboration agreement costs until the receipt is achieved.
- Ditchburn Place - The BSR includes an HRA capital bid of $£ 3.075 \mathrm{~m}$ for the potential refurbishment of the extra care housing at Ditchburn place. The bid assumes funding by prudential borrowing against the headroom expected to be available under the self-financing regime.

These items have formed part of the consideration in setting the prudential borrowing limits set out in Appendix T (a) as part of the treasury management strategy. This is considered in more detail in Section 7.

# Section 7 Treasury Management 

## Introduction

Treasury Management is defined as:
" The management of the local authority's deposits and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

It is a statutory requirement for the Council to produce a balanced budget and to calculate its budget requirement for each financial year to include the revenue costs that flow from capital financing decisions. This, therefore, means that increases in capital expenditure must be limited to a level whereby increases in charges to revenue from: -

- Increases in interest charges caused by increased borrowing to finance additional capital expenditure, and
- any increases in running costs from new capital projects are limited to a level which is affordable within the projected income of the Council for the foreseeable future.

These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer-term cash may involve arranging long or short-term loans, or using longer-term cash flow surpluses. On occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

## Statutory Requirements

The Local Government Act 2003 (the Act) and supporting regulations requires the Council to 'have regard to' the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice to set Prudential and Treasury Indicators for the next three years to ensure that the Council's capital deposit plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable.

The Act requires the Council to set out its treasury strategy for borrowing and to prepare an Annual Investment Strategy (as required by Investment Guidance issued subsequent to the Act and included as Appendices to this report). This sets out the Council's policies for managing its deposits and for giving priority to the security and liquidity of those deposits.

The Council has regard to the investment guidance issued by the Department of Communities and Local Government and that set out in the revised CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice 2011.

## CIPFA Requirements

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's (CIPFA) Code of Practice on Treasury Management (revised November 2011) was received by this Council on 15 November 2011.

The primary requirements of the Code are as follows:

- creation and maintenance of a Treasury Management Policy Statement which sets out the policies and objectives of the Council's treasury management activities;
- creation and maintenance of Treasury Management Practices which set out the manner in which the Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives;
- receipt by the full council of an Annual Treasury Management Strategy Statement including the Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy - for the year ahead, a Mid-year Review Report and an Annual Report (stewardship report) covering activities during the previous year;
- delegation by the Council of responsibilities for implementing and monitoring treasury management policies and practices and for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions; and;
- delegation by the Council of the role of scrutiny of treasury management strategy and policies to a specific named body. For this Council the delegated body is Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee.


## Treasury Management Strategy for 2012/13

The suggested strategy for 2012/13 is based upon the treasury officers' views on interest rates, supplemented with leading market forecasts provided by the Council's treasury advisor, SECTOR Treasury Services Ltd. The strategy covers:

- treasury limits in force which will limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prudential and treasury indicators;
- the current treasury portfolio;
- the borrowing requirement;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- the deposit strategy;
- creditworthiness policy;
- policy on use of external service providers; and;
- the MRP policy.


## The Council's Reporting Framework

The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports each year, which incorporate a variety of polices, estimates and actuals. These reports are required to be adequately scrutinised by committee before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by the Strategy and Resources Scrutiny Committee.

## Prudential and Treasury Indicators and Treasury Management Strategy

The first, and most important report covers the capital plans (including prudential indicators); a Minimum Revenue Provision Policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time); the Treasury Management Strategy (how the deposits and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and an investment strategy (the parameters on how deposits are to be managed).

These elements of the overall strategy are attached to this report as Appendices $T(a), T(b) \&$ T(c) and together constitute this report.

An explanatory note on Prudential and Treasury Indicators is included in Appendix T(a) with Annex 1

## A Mid-Year Treasury Management Report

This updates members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, reports whether the treasury practices are meeting the strategy and indicates if any policies require revision.

## An Annual Treasury Management Report

This provides details of a range of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations, for the previous financial year, compared to the estimates within the strategy.

## Monthly updates

In addition, the Leader and Executive Councillor for Customer Services and Resources receive a monthly update on treasury activity.

It is recommended that the Council note the Prudential Indicators as set out in Appendix T(a) and approve the Annual Borrowing and Investment Strategies in Appendices $T(b)$ and $T(c)$

## Section 8 Summary and Overview

## Equalities Impact Assessment, Uncertainties and Risk Assessment

In meeting the adopted principles of prudence and sustainability, a key consideration is the level of risk and uncertainty faced by the Council. This is particularly an issue in light of the current economic environment.

## Equalities Impact Assessment

Under equality legislation, local authorities have legal duties to pay 'due regard' to the need to eliminate discrimination and promote equality with regard to race, disability and gender, including gender reassignment, as well as to promote good race relations. The Equality Act 2010 introduced a new public sector duty that extends this coverage to age, sexual orientation, pregnancy and maternity, and religion or belief.

The law requires that this duty to pay 'due regard' be demonstrated in the decision making process. Assessing the potential equality impact of proposed changes to policies, procedures and practices is one of the key ways in which public authorities can show 'due regard'.

As a key element of considering the changes proposed in this Budget Setting Report, an Equality Impact Assessment will be undertaken covering all of the Budget 2012/13 proposals. This will be included in version 2 of this report in Appendix W.

The assessment will identify the impact that financial proposals could have on equality groups, together with mitigation arrangements. It will also include an action plan identifying how disadvantage or negative impact can be addressed, together with timescales and details of lead officers.

## Section 25 Report

Section 25 (s. 25) of the Local Government Act 2003 requires that the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) must report to the authority, when it is making the statutory calculations required to determine its Council Tax or precept, on the following:

- The robustness of the estimates made for the purposes of the calculations, and
- The adequacy of the proposed levels of financial reserves.

The majority of the material required to meet the requirements of the Act has been built into the key reports prepared throughout the corporate budget cycle, in particular:

- The Medium Term Strategy 2011
- The budget reports to the January cycle of meetings (including revised budgets for 2011/12) and Portfolio Plans also to be reported in the January 2012 cycle.

This reflects the fact that the requirements of the Act incorporate issues that the Council has, for many years, adopted as key principles in its financial strategy and planning; and which have therefore been incorporated in the key elements of the corporate decision-making cycle.

This also reflects the work in terms of risk assessment and management that is built into all of the key aspects of the Council's work.

The Section 25 report will be included in the final version of this document at Appendix $X$.

## Overall Spending Plans, Funding and Reserves

In considering the sustainability of the Council's expenditure plans a key factor is the level of reserves which are likely to be available to the Council and their ability to support the underlying level of expenditure in the long term.

## General Fund

Based on the projections within this report, a revised anticipated need to use reserves and the resultant reserves profile has been calculated for the full 25 -year model. This is shown for the 5 year MTS period in Appendix G (c).

The projection through to 2016/17 is shown graphically below:


This reflects a planned use of reserves to support capital spending, in order to bring the reserves level down to the Target level of $£ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ over the medium and long-term.

The longer-term projection is important, as it demonstrates the sustainability of the Council's financial strategy and the fact that uneven cost pressures faced by the Council in the short and medium-term can be effectively managed.

General Fund reserves are also used to support the Council's Capital Plan, and this effect also has to be taken into account when considering the long-term impact on the reserves position; and hence the ability to ensure the sustainability of the Council's policies and services.

As part of the work to develop the BSR consideration has been given to the appropriateness of the Minimum and target levels set for General Fund reserves. At this time no changes are proposed to these levels.

The reserves projections are based on the expectation that the Council will be able to achieve the Net Savings Requirements identified in each of the years from 2013/14.

## Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

Based on the projections in Section 5 of this report, a revised anticipated need to use reserves in 2011/12 and the resultant reserves profile has been calculated for the HRA. This is shown graphically below:


The current HRA forecast contains the assumption that balances will be reduced, by making additional direct revenue financing (DRF) of capital contributions, down to the target of $£ 3 \mathrm{~m}$ over the medium-term. The position, however, may look very different in the forthcoming business plan, with the financial impact of self-financing incorporated.

As part of the work to develop the new Business Plan which is required to support the new SelfFinancing HRA consideration has been given to the appropriateness of the Minimum and Target levels set for HRA reserves in light of the significant risk transfer from central to local government which the new system implies. This will result in a formal proposal to revise the levels as part of the Business Plan report which will be considered at the special joint Housing Management Board (HMB) and Community Services meeting will take place on 8th February 2012.

## Future Issues and Prospects

The Council's corporate planning and decision-making cycle, and the adoption of a MTS, reflect the need for continuity of approach in order to deliver against the Council's visions and objectives.

The next stage in this ongoing process will be the production of the September 2012 MTS. This will provide an opportunity to identify and consider the implications of any new or developing issues and projects.

Key areas are currently anticipated to include:
a) Growth Agenda - work is continuing to review timescales in light of the impact of the current economic recession and in the wake of the substantial downturn in the housing market. The Council is continuing to work with partner organisations to plan and bring forward key elements of the Growth Agenda. A resource model has been developed, and is reflected in the taxbase and Council Tax yield calculations included in this document. The model will be updated for the outcome of key consultants reports on infrastructure and other investments requirements, as well as the updated housing trajectory projections. This work will be a key element in projecting future cost pressures and changes in grant entitlement over the medium and long-term, and an update will feature in the 2012 MTS. New Homes Bonus funding has been used to provide a commitment to retain the posts working on the planning and delivery of growth in order to ensure that a quality built environment can be delivered.
b) County Pension Fund - as part of the September 2010 MTS the Council had already anticipated an increase in the employer contribution rate resulting from the 2010 triennial revaluation of the Pension Fund by providing for an additional $0.75 \%$ in each of the 6 years from 2011/12.

|  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 Valuation Rates | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% |
| Provision for outcome of 2010 Valuation | 19.35\% | 20.10\% | 20.85\% | 21.60\% | 22.35\% | 23.10\% |
| Increase / (Decrease) | 0.75\% | 1.50\% | 2.25\% | 3.00\% | 3.75\% | 4.50\% |
| Budget Impact (in year) | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| - GF | 164,250 | 328,500 | 492,750 | 657,000 | 821,250 | 985,500 |
| - HRA | 63,820 | 127,640 | 191,460 | 255,280 | 319,100 | 382,920 |
| Total | 228,070 | 456,140 | 684,210 | 912,280 | 1,140,350 | 1,368,420 |

Based on the information available to date this provision is still felt to be appropriate. Negotiations are still ongoing to seek to agree a revised Local Government Pension Scheme in light of the recommendations of the Independent Public Services Pensions Commission, Chaired by Lord Hutton.
C) New Capital Receipts - the Council has a number of asset holdings which may be the subject of disposals over the next few years, as outlined in Section 6. This is an area which continues to be closely monitored given the volatility of asset values and market interest as a consequence of the economic downturn. The Council has adopted a policy of not treating capital receipts as funding available for new spending until they have actually been received. This has proved an important discipline in the current uncertain climate, and has helped ensure the integrity of the Council's capital spending plans.
d) Benefit Realisation - the Council has approved a number of schemes which are based on payback models funded initially from temporary use of reserves (the most prominent of these being the Customer Access Strategy project). As a result, a clear focus and robust approach to the realisation of the benefits which underpin the repayment of the initial investment is essential, in order to ensure the viability of the Council's medium-term financial plans. The position on these schemes has been regularly monitored to ensure that projections are being achieved in practice.
e) Introduction of Self-Financing for the HRA - following recent changes in law as part of the Localism Bill, the current system for financing local authority housing, the national Housing

Revenue Account (HRA) Subsidy System will be replaced with a new system, known as SelfFinancing, with effect from 1 April 2012. Recognising the major change that the Housing Revenue Account will see from that point, a special joint Housing Management Board (HMB) and Community Services meeting will take place on 8th February 2012, to consider the final budget, a 30 -year Business Plan and Asset Management Plan for the Housing Revenue Account, incorporating the financial impact of the final debt settlement figure, which is anticipated by the end of January 2012.
f) Icelandic Bank Investments - the Council is basing its financial planning on the latest information provided by the LGA, respective administrators and based on the advice issued by CIPFA's Local Authority Accounting Panel. The September 2010 MTS made provision for the projected shortfall in the capital sum which can be recovered, and this has been subsequently updated to take account of latest announcements. Whilst the November 2011 Icelandic Supreme Court judgement formally applied only to the test cases, it is felt that this provides a high degree of certainty for non-test case authorities such as the City that preferential creditor status will be maintained; with associated higher expected rates of return. Developments and updates continue to be carefully monitored, and Members will be updated in the case of any significant changes.
g) The Future of Local Government Finance - it is anticipated that the announcement of the outcome of the Local Government Resource Review will see the ending of the current Formula Grant system and its replacement with a scheme based on the re-localisation of Business Rates, with effect from 2013/14. On the evidence of the initial consultation on the proposed new scheme, the effect is likely to be a starting point based on current entitlement to support under the Formula Grant process. The Council's financial projections do not assume there will be a material increase in funding as a result of these changes, however, this will clearly be a crucial factor for effective long-term financial planning for the General Fund, and details of the implications of the review will be reported to Members once they are published.
h) Population Changes - the existing basis for Government funding is very heavily based on the level of resident population. There are a number of changes which might impact on the population figures which are used as the basis for future funding determination. The figures from Census 2011 have yet to be published and we await confirmation as to when they will be used to re-base population figures for Government grant purposes. In the past, the re-basing of population using census data has had significant negative implications in terms of entitlement for the Council, due to high degree of population mobility of the City.

In the interim, the Office of National Statistics has been consulting on a proposed improved methodology for estimating net immigration to local authorities. Whilst seeking to improve the basis for estimation the methodology has resulted in significant \% changes for a number of local authorities which appear hard to reconcile to local knowledge and official data sources. Cambridge is one of these authorities with a projected reduction of 20,200 in the indicative mid-year estimate for 2010. The Council is working together with other similar affected authorities and the LGA in seeking to challenge the proposed new methodology.
i) Welfare Reform - Government's plans to reform the country's system of welfare payments have considerable implications for the Council and for the work of this service area. As yet the implications of these proposed changes are not fully clear. Key ongoing changes and issues are:

- changes to Local Housing Allowance (LHA);
- the formation of a Single Fraud Investigation Service;
- the localisation of Council Tax Benefits;
- the Introduction of Universal Credit (UC);
- changes to the DWP Admin Grant (ongoing reductions in overall funding); and
- potential impact to the HRA rent account of the introduction of direct payments of UC to tenants.

The service will need to work closely with organisations such as the Department for Work \& Pensions [DWP] plus advocacy and support agencies to ensure that the Council makes the necessary changes to its own benefits systems and meets the Government timetable for doing this. We also need to ensure that support, information and advice about the changes and their implications for individuals are in place, in particular for pensioners and those who are most vulnerable and in need. As a consequence of the current economic downturn, the service has already experienced an increase in its benefit assessment workloads and this is expected to continue into 2012/13 and beyond.

Updates will be provided to Members, in advance of the September 2012 MTS, where there are announcements which have significant implications for the Council's financial strategy and plans.

## Options and Conclusions

## Options

The work undertaken as part of the 2012/13 budget process, to date, has resulted in the development of proposals for base budgets for each Portfolio.

The January cycle of scrutiny committee meetings will be considering the options available. Their deliberations will be considered by the Executive in considering cross-portfolio issues and recommending a final package of budget measures to Council.

This version of the BSR recommends :

- approval of the revenue bids and funding proposals presented

In respect of the affordability Capital Bids, this report recommends :

- approval of the capital bids and funding proposals presented

The meeting of Council on 23 February 2012 will consider the final proposed Budget, as identified in this report, for approval.

## Conclusions

The review of key factors undertaken and presented in this report outlines an approach for finalising the budget for 2012/13.

The work to develop the 2012/13 Budget has highlighted significant pressures from Unavoidable Revenue Bids and the impact of changes to non-cash budgets. In addition to containing these pressures and meeting the Cash Limit target for 2012/13, it has been possible to identify future ongoing savings which have served to reduce the level of net savings identified as required for $2014 / 15$ and $2015 / 16$. The increased net savings requirement for $2013 / 14$ results from the new pressures resulting from the prolonged economic downturn together wit the need to address the reduction in Council Tax yield due to the freeze in 2012/13.

The Council's adoption of long-term budget modelling and prudent financial strategies has been instrumental in enabling it to meet the current significant financial challenges, not least
from the economic downturn and reductions in Formula Grant, with the least adverse impact on service provision.

The adoption of a process of Service Reviews has provided an important contribution towards meeting the 'Net Savings Requirement' for 2012/13, realising ongoing savings in the region of £990k from 2014/15. The Council is seeking to build on this approach, in identifying the most appropriate ways to meet the net savings challenge identified in future years.

## Appendix A

## Outline Budget Preparation Timetable

Timetable for the remaining key stages in the 2012/13 Corporate Planning and Decision Making Cycle and Budget Preparation process

| Date |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Major Stage |  |
| 3-16 Jan 2012 | Portfolio Plans taken to Scrutiny Committees during the January cycle |
| 16 Jan 2012 | Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee |
| 19 Jan 2012 | Executive Budget meeting to consider Budget-Setting Report and Council <br> requirements |
| 3 Feb 2012 | Special Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee considers any budget <br> amendment proposals |
| 9 Feb 2012 | Police Authority - precepting meeting |
| 16 Feb 2012 | Fire Authority - precepting meeting |
| 21 Feb 2012 | County Council - precepting meeting |
| 23 Feb 2012 | Council sets budget, Council Tax demand, precepts and overall Council Tax level |
| March 2012 | Final approved budget reports to be sent to Cost Centre Managers by Finance |
| March 2012 | 2012/13 Budget Book published by Finance |

## Appendix B

## Earmarked \& Specific Funds (£ ‘000s)

## Repairs \& Renewals

| General Fund | Opening <br> Balance | Contributions | Expenditure to Nov 2011 | Closing <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | (872.4) | (428.5) | 106.9 | $(1,194.0)$ |
| Community Development \& Health | $(1,588.4)$ | (351.4) | 69.2 | $(1,870.6)$ |
| Customer Services \& Resources | $(4,774.5)$ | (925.7) | 37.8 | $(5,662.4)$ |
| Environmental \& Waste Services | $(4,485.1)$ | (368.5) | 47.0 | $(4,806.6)$ |
| Housing - General Fund | (325.1) | (38.9) | 3.7 | (360.2) |
| Planning \& Sustainable Transport | (966.4) | (390.0) | 43.3 | $(1,313.1)$ |
| Strategy \& Climate Change | (180.4) | (20.7) | 3.2 | (198.0) |
| Totals | $(13,192.4)$ | $(2,523.7)$ | 311.2 | $(15,404.9)$ |
| Housing Revenue Account | Opening <br> Balance | Contributions | Expenditure to Nov 2011 | Closing <br> Balance |
| Housing - HRA | $(1,826.2)$ | (263.6) | 37.9 | (2,051.9) |

Climate Change Fund

| Description | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Surplus) / Deficit b/f | $\mathbf{( 3 8 3 . 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 7 7 . 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ |
| Contributions | $(184.8)$ | $(129.1)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Surplus available | $(567.9)$ | $\mathbf{( 5 0 6 . 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ |
| Approvals | 190.4 | 32.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (Surplus) / Deficit c/f | $\mathbf{( 3 7 7 . 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 3 . 9 )}$ |

Development Plan Fund

| Description | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Surplus) / Deficit b/f | (272.0) | (283.3) | (300.3) | (22.9) |
| Contributions | (161.3) | (167.0) | (167.0) | (167.0) |
| Surplus available | (433.3) | (450.3 | (467.3) | (184.3) |
| Forecast Expenditure | 150.0 | 150.0 | 450.0 | 150.0 |
| (Surplus) / Deficit c/f | (283.3) | (300.3) | (17.3) | (34.3) |

## Fixed Term Posts Costs

| Description | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Surplus) / Deficit b/f | $(30.0)$ | $(30.0)$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ |
| Contributions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Surplus available | $(30.0)$ | $(30.0)$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ |
| Approvals | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (Surplus) / Deficit c/f | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 . 0 )}$ |

## Council Tax Earmarked for Growth

| Description | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Surplus) / Deficit b/f | (167.6) | (276.9) | (363.6) | (565.6) |
| Contributions | (249.3) | (271.7) | (448.3) | (700.7) |
| Surplus available | (416.9) | (548.6) | (811.9) | $(1,266.3)$ |
| Approvals | 140.0 | 185.0 | 246.4 | 246.4 |
| (Surplus) / Deficit c/f | (276.9) | (363.6) | (565.6) | $(1,019.9)$ |

## Efficiency Fund

Description

| (Surplus) / Deficit b/f | (75.0) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Contributions | (475.0) |
| Surplus available | $\mathbf{( 5 3 5 . 0}$ |
| Approvals | 116.6 |
| (Surplus) / Deficit c/f | $\mathbf{( 4 1 8 . 4 )}$ |

```
                2012/13
(418.4)
(200.0)
(618.4)
169.0
(449.4)
```

| $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(449.4)$ | $(426.9)$ |
| 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $(449.4)$ | $(426.9)$ |
| 22.5 | 0.0 |
| $(426.9)$ | $(426.9)$ |

## Technology Investment Fund

| Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2011/12 } \\ £ ‘ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { £ '000 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ ' 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ ‘ 000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Surplus) / Deficit b/f | (241.3) | (98.3) | (76.3) | (76.3) |
| Existing Commitments | 143.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| New commitments | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| (Surplus) / Deficit c/f | (98.3) | (76.3) | (76.3) | (76.3) |

## Developer Contributions at November 2011 - (Provisional)

| Category | Completed agreements |  | Future Forecast ${ }^{1}$ |  | Approvals ${ }^{3}$ | Projected Balance Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance at <br> 1 April 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr-Nov } \\ & 2011 \\ & \text { (Actual) } \end{aligned}$ | Non Growth Sites | Growth Sites ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Affordable Housing | (382.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 331.0 | (51.0) |
| Community Facilities | $(1,542.1)$ | (176.7) | (645.5) | (276.9) | 1,058.0 | $(1,583.2)$ |
| Formal Open Space / Outdoor Sport | $(1,135.0)$ | (97.0) | (542.6) | (697.1) | 1,196.0 | $(1,275.7)$ |
| Informal Open Spaces | $(1,280.9)$ | (87.9) | (413.2) | (409.6) | 798.0 | $(1,393.6)$ |
| Children / Teenagers Play Provision | (330.0) | (92.8) | (240.8) | (286.9) | 470.0 | (480.4) |
| Indoor Sports Facilities | (2.7) | (14.3) | (397.2) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (414.2) |
| Public Art | (541.2) | (154.6) | (384.8) | 0.0 | 326.0 | (754.6) |
| Public Realm | (303.2) | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 27.0 | (276.2) |
| Miscellaneous | (33.1) | (19.6) | (19.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (71.6) |
| Funds held for Cambridgeshire CC | (716.3) | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | (704.0) |
| Total | $(6,266.5)$ | (630.5) | $(2,643.0)$ | $(1,670.4)$ | 4,206.0 | $(7,004.5)$ |

[^3]Developer Contributions Forecast - Major Growth Sites (memorandum only)

| Category | Cambridge City Council | Cambridgeshire CC | South Cambs DC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordable Housing ${ }^{1}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Community Facilities 23 | $(6,606.8)$ | $(2,155.9)$ | 0.0 | $(8,762.7)$ |
| Formal Open Space ${ }^{3}$ | (983.7) | (745.8) | 0.0 | $(1,729.5)$ |
| Informal Open Space 23 | $(3,810.2)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(3,810.2)$ |
| Children \& Teenagers ${ }^{2} 4$ | $(2,093.2)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(2,093.2)$ |
| Allotments ${ }^{4}$ | (221.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (221.0) |
| Indoor Sport 3 | $(1,454.0)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1,454.0)$ |
| Public Art ${ }^{1}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Public Realm ${ }^{12}$ | (82.5) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (82.5) |
| Waste \& Recycling 24 | (25.6) | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1,540.0)$ |
| Ecology ${ }^{3}$ | (564.3) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (564.3) |
| Miscellaneous ${ }^{3}$ | (398.0) | 0.0 | (35.9) | (433.9) |
| Section 106 monitoring ${ }^{2}$ | (375.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (375.0) |
| Community development \& other revenue contributions | $(1,040.0)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $(1,040.0)$ |
| Education \& Lifelong learning | 0.0 | $(52,014.4)$ | 0.0 | $(52,014.4)$ |
| Household Waste Recycling Facility | 0.0 | $(1,514.4)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Transport | 0.0 | $(35,698.1)$ | 0.0 | $(35,698.1)$ |
| TOTAL | $(17,654.3)$ | $(92,128.7)$ | (35.9) | $(109,818.9)$ |

[^4]
## Appendix C (a)

## Calculation of Council Tax Base 2012/13

|  | Council Tax Bands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | Total |
| Dwellings on the valuation list | 2,842 | 9,484 | 17,554 | 8,543 | 4,865 | 3,070 | 2,834 | 448 | 49,640 |
| Dwellings treated as exempt | 204 | 562 | 845 | 586 | 285 | 236 | 348 | 170 | 3,236 |
| Adjustments for disabled reductions (i.e. reduced by one band) | 0 | 13 | 46 | 23 | 22 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 130 |
|  | 13 | 46 | 23 | 22 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 130 |
| Total chargeable dwellings | 2,651 | 8,955 | 16,686 | 7,956 | 4,567 | 2,835 | 2,483 | 271 | 46,404 |
| Number of dwellings included in the in the above totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entitled to a $25 \%$ discount (single adult household) | 1,753 | 4851 | 5,313 | 2,109 | 1,084 | 564 | 411 | 21 | 16,106 |
| Entitled to a $25 \%$ discount (all but one adult disregarded) | 22 | 169 | 291 | 103 | 66 | 30 | 17 | 2 | 700 |
| Entitled to a $50 \%$ discount (all residents disregarded) | 0 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 46 |
| Classed as second homes and treated for Formula Grant purposes as entitled to 50\% discount | 120 | 248 | 362 | 246 | 141 | 82 | 53 | 3 | 1,255 |
| Classed as long term empty and receiving $50 \%$ discount | 106 | 38 | 97 | 41 | 35 | 13 | 24 | 12 | 366 |
| Where there is a liability to pay $100 \%$ council tax | 650 | 3,639 | 10,621 | 5,449 | 3,235 | 2,140 | 1,970 | 227 | 27,931 |
| Total number of equivalent dwellings after discounts, exemptions and disabled relief | 2,094.25 | 7,552.00 | 15,054.50 | 7,255.50 | 4,188.50 | 2,636.00 | 2,333.50 | 254.75 | 41,369 |
| Ratio to Band D | 6/9 | 7/9 | 8/9 | 1 | 11/9 | 13/9 | 15/9 | 18/9 |  |
| Band D equivalents | 1,396.2 | 5,873.8 | 13,381.8 | 7,255.5 | 5,119.3 | 3,807.6 | 3,889.2 | 509.5 | 41,232.9 |
| Band D equivalent contributions for Government properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| Tax base for Formula Grant purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,233.9 |
|  | Add | Estimated | net growth | in tax ba |  |  |  |  | 600.7 |
|  | Add | Additiona | l second hom | omes inco | me |  |  |  | 490.0 |
|  | Less | Adjustmen | nt for stude | nt exemp | tions |  |  |  | -898.7 |
|  | Less | Assumed | loss on coll | ection at |  |  |  |  | -414.3 |
| Total Band D Equivalents - Tax base for Council Tax and Precept Setting Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,012 |
| (rounded to nearest integer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## 2012/13 Budget GF Revised Budget Items
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|  | $2011 / 12$ <br> Revised <br> Budget | Ongoing <br> Reference | Anticipated <br> 2012/13 <br> Effect | Contact <br> Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## Revised Budget

| RB2786 | Revised Budget - Salary underspend for Community Development Administration and Grants Administration | $(45,000)$ | No | 0 | Trevor Woollams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  ${ }^{\prime}$ Community Development Service's Phase 'on-going support services review. Savings £17,000 and Code 06151 @ $£ 28,000$. Both 'March 2012. | ̄̄̀ cēn̄̄re tructuring 45k for ws are d | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prin } \\ & \text { s lin } \\ & \text { it ac } \\ & \text { bop } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| RB2787 | Revised Budget - Salary underspend for ChYpPS | $(4,500)$ |  | 0 | Trevor Woollams |
|  |  l been filled plus some staff not taking up pen | $\text { Eies }{ }^{-} \text {acrō }$ |  |  |  |
| RB2788 | Revised Budget - Salary underspend for neighbourhood community development | $(4,000)$ | No | 0 | Trevor Woollams |


|  | es |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revised Budget | $(53,500)$ | 0 |
| Total Community Services - Community Development \& Health | $(53,500)$ | 0 |
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## 2012/13 Budget GF Revised Budget Items
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ <br> Revised <br> Budget | Ongoing <br> Effect | Anticipated <br> 2012/13 <br> Effect |
| $£$ | Contact |  |  |  |

## Community Services - Housing

Revised Budget

| RB2864 | Reduction in recharge from the HRA to the <br> General Fund in respect of shared amenities | $(27,000)$ | Yes | $(29,620)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Julia Hovells

 'Cambridge City Council, South Cambridgeshire District Council and Huntingdonshire' District Council. There are anticipated set up costs in 2011/12 to facilitate the new iservice, with anticipated long term financial benefits [See also SR2912]

Total Community Services - Housing
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|  | $2011 / 12$ <br> Revised <br> Budget | Ongoing <br> Reference | Anticipated <br> 2012/13 <br> Effect | Contact <br> Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## Revised Budget


 'Analysis is required to be carried out in order to establish what materials are not beingl 'recycled and which groups of people are not recycling to the full or at all. This work will' enable decisions to be made about the next steps to be taken in order to increase 'recycling to $50-55 \%$ by $2015 / 16$. It is not known at this stage exactly how much this' 'analysis will cost but it is anticipated that it will be approximately $£ 38,000$ and therefore a' ${ }^{\text {I }}$ revised budget bid is being made.

Materials Recycling Facility Gate Fee
$(95,000)$
No
0
Rebecca Weymouth
 'on the value of the recyclate materials from the blue bin. This makes predicting budgets ${ }^{1}$ 'difficult and has meant that provision needs to be made within budgets for a drop in the' |value of materials. The next price review is due in December but it is predicted that the ivalue will remain high and, based on predicted tonnage for the remainder of the year, । one off savings can be made.
 ${ }^{\prime}$ there is an expected saving of $£ 47 \mathrm{k}$. This is due to turnover of staff and a maternity leave , vacancy that has been difficult to recruit into.
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## 2012/13 Budget GF Revised Budget Items
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | $2011 / 12$ <br> Revised <br> Budget <br> $£$ | Ongoing <br> Effect | Anticipated <br> 2012/13 <br> Effect <br> $£$ | Contact <br> Name |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

| RB2851 | Additions to pay (overtime) budgets no longer required | $(3,000)$ | Yes | $(3,000)$ | Selwyn Anderson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2855 | Review of Repair and Renewal (R\&R) budgets within Refuse and Environment | $(80,000)$ | No | 0 | Yvonne <br> O'Donnell |
|  |  IEnvironment. This review identified savings in the current year totalling $£ 80,000.1$ |  |  |  |  |
| RB2975 | Apportionment of costs for taxilicensing work at the garage | $(10,000)$ | Yes | $(10,000)$ | Michael Parsons |
|  |  Igarage. [See also S2978] |  |  |  |  |

Total Revised Budget
Total Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services
$(335,000)$
$(2,000)$
$(335,000)$

## Appendix [ D ]

## 2012/13 Budget GF Revised Budget Items

| Reference | Description / Justification | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ \text { Revised } \\ \text { Budget } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Ongoing Effect | Anticipate 2012/13 Effect £ | Contact Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revised Budget |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2772 | GIS Digital Mapping - Maintenance Costs | $(10,000)$ | Yes | $(2,000)$ | Paul Boucher |
|  |  'SC368. The annual maintenance costs do not commence until 2012/13, therefore there is' la one off saving in 2011/12. There is a small ongoing reduction of $£ 2,000$ - see linked iproposal. No impact for service delivery. [See also RB2774, S2778, S2779]। |  |  |  |  |
| RB2774 | GIS Digital Mapping - Mapping Services Agreement | $(11,510)$ | Yes | $(11,510)$ | Paul Boucher |
|  |  'Mapping Agreement is now funded by central government for a period of 10 years. No' limpact upon service delivery. [See also S2778 <br> 'S2779 <br> , RB2773] |  |  |  |  |
| RB2775 | Greater Cambridge Partnership (GCP) subscription termination. | $(5,470)$ | Yes | $(5,620)$ | Patsy Dell |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2776 | Corporate contribution saving arising from the wind-up of Cambridgeshire Horizons | $(15,470)$ | Yes | $(15,470)$ | Patsy Dell |
|  |  'existing budget has been re-assigned as a contribution to the Greater Cambridge, \|Greater Peterborough LEP with the residue available to be put forward as a saving. [See| ialso S2781] |  |  |  |  |
| RB2782 | Pre-application charging <br> $(10,000)$ <br> Yes <br> $(24,000)$ <br> Patsy Dell <br>  lestimated first part year income contribution. [See also SR2751] |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2937 | Reduction in Repairs and Renewals contribution for one year only | $(50,000)$ | No | 0 | Paul Boucher |
|  |  \| 50,000 can be offered in 2011/12. |  |  |  |  |
| RB2938 | Shorffall in Operating Budget for Shopmobility | 7,400 | No | 0 | Paul Necus |
|  |  Ireduced income to fund Shopmobility services. |  |  |  |  |



## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport



## Appendix [ D ]

| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 Revised Budget £ | Ongoing Effect | Anticipate 2012/13 Effect £ | Contact Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revised Budget |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2743 | Saving from Customer Service Centre Training Budget | $(20,000)$ | No | 0 | Chris Bolton |
|  |  'upcoming welfare benefit changes. Delay in implementing changes nationally and' \|subsequent training course unavailability means that the funds cannot be committed this | syear. |  |  |  |  |
| RB2744 | Customer Service Pension Underspend | $(30,000)$ | No | 0 | Chris Bolton |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2758 | Internal Audit - Employee Cost Savings | $(31,400)$ | Yes | $(7,000)$ | Bridget Bishop |
|  |  lidentified ( $£ 24,400$ one-off savings due to a post being vacant for the bulk of the , financial year and ongoing savings of $£ 7,000$ ). [See also S2757] |  |  |  |  |
| RB2759 | Risk Manager post - part year vacancy saving | $(19,300)$ | Yes | $(13,000)$ | Julia Minns |
|  |  'of a review of the Council's risk management arrangements. The review has now been' 'concluded and it is anticipated that additional resources to support risk management 'and related insurance work will now be engaged early in the new calendar year. [See। , also SR2819] |  |  |  |  |
| RB2762 | Lion House - One-off Increase in Premises Costs | 17,000 | No | 0 | Jim Stocker |
|  |  lessential repairs. |  |  |  |  |
| RB2764 | Property Services - Employee Cost Savings | $(15,000)$ | No | 0 | Philip Doggett |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2765 | Grand Arcade - Additional Head Rent (estimate) | $(55,000)$ | No | 0 | Philip Doggett |
|  |  IMarch 2010. |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix [ D ]

| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 <br> Revised Budget £ | Ongoing Effect | Anticipated 2012/13 Effect £ | Contact Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2773 | Photocopier/Multi-Functional Device Contract extension | $(9,000)$ | No | 0 | Paul Boucher |

 'extended until January 2012, this was to allow flexibility whilst a new contract was ${ }^{1}$ 'procured in line with the Council's Printer Strategy. The extension has resulted in reduced' monthly rental costs for a number of devices across the council for the 2011/12 financial year. This is a one-off saving.
 'The positions have not been filled pending the outcome of the Council's review of ${ }^{\text {I }}$ 'departmental support services. Cover is being provided through a combination of ${ }^{1}$ 'reallocation and re-prioritisation of work and the implementation of a new IT system 'interface.

## Appendix [ D ]

## 2012/13 Budget GF Revised Budget Items
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## Appendix [ D ]



## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Revised Budget

| RB2741 | In year savings from Corporate Marketing <br> cost centre | $(6,000)$ | Yes | $(4,500) \quad$ Ashley Perry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { RB2825 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Salary savings on Strategy Officer and } \\ \text { savings on consultants and professional fees }\end{array} & (20,000) & \text { Yes } & (3,000) \quad \text { David Kidston }\end{array}$

RB2742

RB2826

RB2827

One off reduction in Corporate Strategy
Administration salary and operating costs in
2011
 [See also S2748]
 'salary and associated pension and National Insurance costs resulting from a vacancy in' ${ }^{\prime}$ the Strategy Officer post in Strategy and Partnerships for a number of months; and an' 'underspend on consultants and professional fees associated with monitoring corporatel |performance. This has no implications for service delivery, as the Strategy Officer post| ,was filled in July 2011 and a corporate performance management system is being, , developed and implemented using existing staff resources in the Strategy and ${ }_{\text {,Partnerships team. [See also S2839] }}$

Savings on Corporate Policy budget
$(26,500)$
Yes
$(11,880)$
David Kidston
 land associated pension and National Insurance costs resulting from a vacancy in the ${ }^{1}$ 'Strategy and Partnerships Manager post; a reduction in the Corporate Policy Books and' 'Publications budget to reflect decreasing expenditure over the past 5 years; underspend' 'on the corporate consultation programme; and a reduced contribution to the Citizen' I Surevy holding account (01079). This will' not impact on service delivery, as a new IStrategy and Partnerships Manager is in post, an increasing number of policy publications ' 'and documents that the team needs are available free of charge online, and no majorl 'corporate consultations are anticipated in the remainder of 2011/12 [See also S2840]' $\stackrel{1}{ }$

Savings on employers pensions contributions
 'proposed to revise the budget to reflect the resultant underspend on employers pensions' , contributions under cost code 27700.

|  |  | $2011 / 12$ <br> Revised <br> Budget | Anticipated <br> 2012/13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Ongoing | Effect | Contact |  |
|  | Description $/$ Justification | $£$ | Effect | Name |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

| RB2828 | Saving on core funding for Travel for Work partnership | $(3,250)$ | Yes | $(5,750)$ | David Kidston |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revised Budget |  | $(75,250)$ |  | $(25,130)$ |  |
| Total Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change |  | $(75,250)$ |  | $(25,130)$ |  |

## Appendix [ E]

| 2012/13 Budget - Non Cash-Limit - GF |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 1 of 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Contact | Priority |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Non-Cash Limit Items

| NCL2812 | Reduction in DWP Admin Subsidy Grant | 0 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  I (Subsidy Circular HB/CTB S9/2011). |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCL2994 | Revisions to projected external interest income | 0 | $111,000$ | 361,000 | $411,000$ | 411,000 |
|  |  \|reflect the latest market projections for future interest rates, this has identified a reduction in , the level of income reflecting the continuation of the economic downturn. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Cash | it Items | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |
| Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources |  | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |
| Report Total |  | 0 | 130,000 | 380,000 | 430,000 | 430,000 |

## Appendix [ F ]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |  |

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

Savings

| S2928 | Reduction in Leisure Grants Funding | 0 | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | Jackie Hanson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings |  | 0 | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ |  |

## Appendix [ F ]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

| UR2790 | Olympic Torch Relay <br> Evening Celebration | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 0Elaine <br> Midgley |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 lis to support the necessary infrastructure relating to the extension of the Big Weekend and lincludes items such as additional staffing costs, community engagement, fencing, stewards, security, traffic management, toilets and waste collection and recycling. The City Councill and County Councils both identified an estimated cost of approximately $£ 25,000$, and each agreed to underwrite costs of up to $£ 75,000$. The amount requested reflects anticpated costs 'shared equally with the County Council and a worst scenario regarding sponsorship.

| 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 67,130 | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ | $(7,870)$ |

## Appendix [ F ]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## Service Reviews

## Appendix [ F ]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## Savings

| S2789 | Cash limit Community Development Grants | 0 | $(23,280)$ | $(23,280)$ | $(23,280)$ | $(23,280)$ | Jackie Hanson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2856 | Price Review - Cremations | 0 | $(26,600)$ | $(26,600)$ | $(26,600)$ | $(26,600)$ | Paul |
|  |  loff peak rate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2860 | Operational savings from the Community Safety budget | 0 | $(3,030)$ | $(3,030)$ | $(3,030)$ | $(3,030)$ | Lynda |
|  |  'numbers, has faciliatetd a reduction in operational budgets (travel, training, consultants, 'special projects). This, coupled with cash limiting payments to the pooled fund for the 'Community Safety Partnership, Street Pastors and the Police Community Support Officers, results in the delivery of a saving. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Savings
$0 \quad(52,910) \quad(52,910) \quad(52,910) \quad(52,910)$

## Appendix [ F ]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

| UR2941Upgrade IT software - <br> Epilog Sequel and three <br> year support contract | 0 | 15,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | O Tracy <br> Lawrence | U |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 securiity, financial and statistical information relating in particular to the Commemorations project and support the overall Bereavement Services Business Plan - this will meet ${ }^{1}$ risks/objectives identified in recent internal auditors and external consultants reports. Software package $(£ 5,000)$ to be funded from $R \& R$.
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

## Service Reviews

SR2912 Saving to be delivered $\quad 0 \quad(36,940) \quad(36,940) \quad(36,940) \quad(36,940)$ Alan Carter through restructure of Strategic Housing Services
$(36,940) \quad(36,940) \quad(36,940) \quad(36,940)$ Alan Carter
 the Home Improvement Agency, Housing Options, CBL, Homelessness and Housing Strategy, , and will result in some staffing reductions overall [See also RB2979]

# Appendix [ F ] 

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

## Savings

Sharging for service of
Statutory housing notices $\quad 0 \quad$ (900) (900) (900)
 'proposal sees a change in policy (if approved by members) whereby if informal action does' Inot result in action, the council will charge where a statutory notice is served to obtain icompliance.

S2867
Increase in Development $0 \quad(1,500) \quad(1,500) \quad(1,500) \quad(1,500)$ Alan Carter Team fee income

, Development Team will be in a position to capitalise a greater degree of their time in the ,form of fee recharges General Fund in respect of shared amenities
 lactual costs incurred in 2010/11

[^5]
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

| S2911 | Saving delivered through <br> budgeting for actual cost <br> of existing Housing <br> General Fund employees | 0 | $(1,640)$ | $(1,640)$ | $(1,640)$ | $(1,640)$ Julia Hovells |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SStaff rētained or recruited results in small saving or unavoidable revenue bids in any one ,year. This saving matches the actual costs incurred to those initially assumed in budget working papers where inflation is applied at an average rate.

Savings
Community Services - Housing

| 0 | $(34,470)$ | $(34,470)$ | $(34,470)$ | $(34,470)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $(71,410)$ | $(71,410)$ | $(71,410)$ | $(71,410)$ |
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | 2015/16 | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## Service Reviews

| $S R 2847$ | Deletion of Environmental <br> Health Manager post | 0 | $(42,000)$ | $(42,000)$ | $(42,000)$ | $(42,000)$ Jas Lally |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 'comes from the deletion of the post and the revaluation of current posts to reflect additional responsibilities

SR2945 Review of Building $0 \quad(38,000) \quad(76,000) \quad(76,000) \quad(76,000)$ Toni Ainley Cleaning


Route Optimisation
$0(100,000)(100,000)(100,000)(100,000)$ Jas Lally
Project Phase 2
 discussed and agreed with the Exec Cllr for Refuse and Environment.

## Service Reviews

$0(180,000)(218,000)(218,000)(218,000)$
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## Savings


S2953 Trade Waste additional $\quad 0 \quad(25,000) \quad(75,000) \quad(75,000) \quad(75,000)$ Jas Lally
 Iwhich is dependant on the joint trade waste venture going forward.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { S2978 } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Apportionment of costs } \\
\text { for taxi licensing work at }
\end{array} & 0 & (10,000) & (10,000) & (10,000) & (10,000)
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Michael } \\
& \text { Parsons }
\end{aligned}
$$ the garage

 [See also RB2975]

## Savings

## Appendix [ F ]

2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

UR2796 Street/Zip car usage and
$0 \quad 11,000$
11,000
11,000
11,000 Jas Lally
U

UR280

Reduction in Recycling bring bank income
 , collections at schools and colleges to commingled collections. (There will also be a saving , related to vehicles which will be quantified as part of the route optimisation work.)

Unavoidable Revenue Bids

Environment - Environmental \&
Waste Services

| 0 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $(203,500)$ | $(294,000)$ | $(294,000)$ | $(294,000)$ |
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

## Service Reviews



SR2857 Savings from marketing $\quad 0 \quad(50,000)(100,000)(100,000) \quad(100,000)$ Paul Necus initiatives to better use spare capacity on car parks
 Itimes of day when spare capacity exists.

| SR2939 | Amalgamating <br> ShopMobility into overall <br> management of car <br> parks. | 0 | $(20,000)$ | $(50,000)$ | $(50,000)$ | $(50,000)$ Paul Necus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 'allow more flexible services to be delivered by the Parking Team from single office bases at ${ }^{\text {I }}$ IGrand Arcade and Grafton East car parks, including the potential for extended operating ihours.

## Service Reviews

$0 \quad(94,000)(174,000)(174,000)(174,000)$
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

## Savings

Miscellaneous Savings
0
$(3,210)$
$3,210)$
$(3,210)$
$(3,210)$ Paul Boucher
 service delivery.
GIS Digital Mapping -
Maintenance Costs
$0 \quad(2,000) \quad(2,000) \quad(2,000)$
$(2,000)$ Paul Boucher
 |RB2774, S2779]

GIS Digital Mapping - $0 \quad(11,820) \quad(11,820) \quad(11,820) \quad(11,820)$ Paul Boucher Mapping Services Agreement
 Mapping Agreement is now funded by central government for a period of 10 years. No impact upon service delivery. [See also RB2772, RB2774, S2778]
Greater Cambridge

Partnership (GCP) subscription termination.
 ceased to operate. [See also RB2775]
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Corporate contribution } & 0 & (16,100) & (16,100) & (16,100) \\ (16,100) & \text { Patsy Dell }\end{array}$ saving arising from the wind-down of
Cambridgeshire Horizons
 Idown [See also RB2776]

## Saving

## Appendix [ F ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 14 of 22 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

| UR2791Increased maintenance 0 8,400 8,400 8,400 <br> and R\&R provision     <br> required for ten new bus     <br> shelters delivered by the     <br> Bus Shelters Capital     <br> Programme.     |  |  | 8,400 Andy Preston | U |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 'and provide 10 new shelters, which was approved at Environment Scrutiny on 4th October,' Ihas led to this revenue bid for an increase in maintenance and $R \& R$ funding associated with ıthe proposed 10 new shelters.

| Unavoidable Revenue Bids | 0 | 8,400 | 8,400 | 8,400 | 8,400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport | 0 | $(124,350)$ | $(204,350)$ | $(204,350)$ | $(204,350)$ |

# Appendix [ F ] 

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Service Reviews


 been identified. [See also RB2758]
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## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SR2924 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Savings from a range of } \\ \text { Human Resources }\end{array}\end{array}$ account codes
$0 \quad(35,800)(35,800) \quad(35,800) \quad(35,800)$ Sue Dawson
 lincluding consultancy.
$0 \quad(80,000)(80,000) \quad(80,000) \quad(80,000)$ Julia Minns
 Iwith the anticipation of savings being identifed by 2012/13. [See also SR2951]

## Service Reviews

# Appendix [ F ] 

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Savings

S2747 | Funding for Trade Union |
| :--- |
| Branch Secretary posts |

$0 \quad(32,940)$
$(32,940)$
$(32,940)$
$(32,940)$ Sue Dawson


| S2760 | Telephone R\&R one off saving | 0 | $(54,600)$ | 0 | 0 |  | James Nightingale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  Ideferred. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2761 | Reduction in Telephone Costs | 0 | $(2,800)$ | $(2,800)$ | $(2,800)$ | $(2,800)$ | James Nightingale |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2763 | Copyright Licensing <br> Authority Fee Saving | 0 | $(2,500)$ | $(2,500)$ | $(2,500)$ | $(2,500)$ | James Nightingale |

 [See also RB2922]

| $S 2813$ | Deletion of a Fraud <br> Prevention Officer Post | 0 | $(37,800)$ | $(37,800)$ | $(37,800)$ | $(37,800)$ John Frost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 saving. [See also RB2814]

| S2817 | IT Supplies and Services <br> Savings | 0 | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ John Frost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

'Following a review of Revenue and Benefit services' supplies and services budgets, ongoing IT Isavings of $£ 20,000$ have been identified. [See also RB2816] electricity on the Market.
 the market. This proposal will deliver part-year savings in 2012/13 of $£ 7,500$ (full year's savings of $£ 15,000$ pa from 2013/14). [See also C2808]

# Appendix [ F ] 

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF

Appendix: Page 18 of 22

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

Savings delivered through the increased commercial approach developed by the Visit Cambridge service
$0 \quad(40,000) \quad(40,000) \quad(40,000)$
$(40,000)$ Emma Thornton
 'tours, increased revenue on commercial tickets sales (based on current year perfromance)' and Visit Cambridge membership. In addition $£ 1,500$ savings have been identified through a thorough review of expenditure. This additional revenue takes into account an increase in online revenue through the new website.
Freeze the Members' $0 \quad(26,000)$
$0 \quad 0$
0 Gary Clift Allowances budget for 2012/13
 IRB2830]
Miscellaneous savings in
$0 \quad(1,000) \quad(1,000) \quad(1,000)$
$(1,000)$ Gary Clift Members Support
 IMembers Support cost centre.

| Telephone Savings - | 0 | $(5,800)$ | $(5,800)$ | $(5,800)$ | $(5,800)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Members' Centrex |  |  |  | James <br> Nightingale |  | embers Centrex Telephone Lines



## Appendix [ F ]

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids


 from Mill Road Depot in April 2012. This will result in a loss of rental income until a replacement external tenant can be identified.

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

| 0 | 94,630 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $(432,470)$ | $(454,000)$ | $(530,900)$ | $(530,900)$ |

# Appendix [ F ] 

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Savings

| S2745 | Corporate Management <br> - Review of corporate subscriptions | 0 | $(11,000)$ | $(11,000)$ | $(11,000)$ | $(11,000)$ | Antoinette Jackson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2746 | Corporate Marketing ongoing savings | 0 | $(4,500)$ | $(4,500)$ | $(4,500)$ | $(4,500)$ | Ashley Perry |


|Cambridge Matters magazine and reductions in photography and publicity budgets| costs for corporate strategy |RB2742]
$0 \quad(1,870) \quad(1,870) \quad(1,870)$
$(1,870)$
Frances
Barratt

Savings on consultants and professional fees
$0(3,000)$
$(3,000) \quad(3,000)$
$(3,000) \begin{aligned} & \text { David } \\ & \text { Kidston }\end{aligned}$
 'expenditure on consultants and professional fees associated with monitoring corporate ${ }^{1}$ performance. This will not have a negative impact on service delivery, as a corporate 'performance management system is being developed and implemented using existing staff' resources in the Strategy and Partnerships team and an online performance benchmarking 'system is being developed by the Local Government Group which the Council will be able to' luse free of charge. [See also RB2825]
${ }^{1}$ Publications budget to reflect decreasing expenditure over the past 5 years; a reduction in ${ }^{1}$
'demand for corporate interpreting services over the past 5 years; and anticipated reduced'
'expenditure on the corporate consultation programme. This will not impact on service
|delivery because: an increasing number of policy publications and documents are available,
, free of charge online; the total budget for corporate interpreting services will still be higher,
,than total expenditure for the past 3 years; and the revised budget for corporate consultation,
is sufficient to meet expected activity. [See also RB2826]

# Appendix [ F ] 

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

Ongoing Saving on core
funding for Travel for Work
partnership

0
$(5,750)$
$(5,750)$
$(5,750)$
$(5,750)$ David

Voltage Optimisation Roll
0
$0 \quad(4,100)$
$(4,100)$
$(4,100)$
David
Kidston
Out - Non-Housing Properties

'to supply and install the technology on a further 2 non-housing sites. Through reduced' lelectricity use, the project is expected to achieve annual savings from the General Fund of ı£4,100 pa. [See also C2736]

> Savings from installation of solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency measures on non-housing Council properties.
 'thermal panels on 3 non housing Council properties (C2966) to reduce the Council's carbon' 'footprint. Savings have been calculated net of maintenance costs and are anticipated for 'the full 20 year lifetime of the feed in tariff scheme and would increase with rising gas prices.' , [See also C2966]

Employee costs reduced
$0 \quad(5,600)$
$(5,600)$
$(5,600)$
$(5,600)$ Frances
Barratt


Cash limit Sustainable City Grants
$0 \quad(1,350) \quad(1,350)-(1,350)$
$(1,350)$
$(1,350)$
Jackie Hanson

$0 \quad(44,950)(64,050) \quad(64,050) \quad(64,050)$

## Appendix [ F ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - GF |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 22 of 22 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

| UR2984 | Loss of savings (income) <br> expected from cancelled <br> installation of solar PV <br> panels | 0 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 Andrew Limb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 Following a reduction in the return from the Feed In Tariff scheme, this project has now been lcancelled. (see bids C281, C2736, C2807 and C2966, and S2964 and S2967, for new ırenewables and energy efficiency projects and savings) [See also C2982]

Unavoidable Revenue Bids

Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

| 0 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 | 18,700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(26,250)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350)$ | $(45,350)$ |
| 0 | $(974,760)(1,307,890)$ | $(1,384,790)(1,394,790)$ |  |  |

## Appendix G (a)

## General Fund Projection 2011/12 to 2015/16

Description
Net spending - Committee totals
Capital Adjustment Account
Minimum Revenue Provision
Adjustment

Sub-Total

Contribution to Efficiency Fund
Revenue Contribution to Capital
Sub-Total
$2011 / 12$
$£$
2012/13
$£$
2013/14
$£$

| 2014/15 <br> $\boldsymbol{£}$ | 2015/16 <br> $£$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $15,490,280$ | $15,403,600$ |
| 839,230 | 839,230 |
| $(3,972,040)$ | $(3,972,040)$ |
| $12,357,470$ | $12,270,790$ |


| 475,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,511,000$ | $1,381,000$ | $1,380,000$ | $1,380,000$ | $1,380,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 0 6 , 8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 2 4 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 2 8 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 7 3 7 , 4 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 5 0 , 7 9 0}$ |

Council Tax Earmarked for Growth
Future Years Priority Policy Fund
MTS 2011 proposals
BSR Proposals (See table below)
Net Savings Requirement $\quad$ Sub-Total

## Net Spending Requirement to Appendix G (b) below

## Budget Setting Report Proposals

| Description |
| :--- |
| Revised Budget (See Appendix D) |
| Net savings (2012/13 requirement |
| adjusted for New Revenue Budget |
| Proposals shown in Appendices E \& F) |
| Capital Funding from - |
| - 2011/12 and 2012/13 net savings |
| - 2012/13 unallocated PPF |
| - Use of New Homes Bonus 2012/13 |
| - Use GF Reserves above £5m |
| Bids from Growth Funding |
| Growth Posts Funded from New |
| Homes Bonus |
| TOTAL |


| 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(641,230)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $(844,760)$ | 314,110 | 287,210 | 277,210 |
| 0 | 243,990 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 121,380 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 703,160 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 369,890 | 70,170 | 954,320 | $(318,230)$ |
| 0 | 45,000 | 106,350 | 106,350 | 96,350 |
| 786,650 | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 | 818,380 |
| 145,420 | 1,457,040 | 1,309,010 | 2,166,260 | 873,710 |
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## Appendix G (b)

## General Fund - Funding Statement 2011/12 to 2015/16

| Name |
| :--- |
| Total Net Spending Requirement |
| From Appendix G (a) above |

## 2011/12 $£$

$21,464,340 \quad 17,637,700$

## 2013/14 <br> £ <br> 16,885,190

2014/15
2015/16
£

From Appendix G (a) above


16,920,740
17,214,530
less External Support
Formula Grant including
Council Tax Compensation
$(9,515,100) \quad(8,598,810)$
$(8,161,400)$
$(7,740,670)$
$(7,571,020)$
Grant (2012/13 to 2014/15)
Council Tax Compensation Grant (2011/12, see
Formula Grant)
Council Tax Compensation Grant (new for 2012/13)
New Homes Bonus
2011/12 and 2012/13
announcements
Council Tax Collection
Fund deficit
Income from Council Tax
$(6,785,900)$
$(6,831,370)$
$(7,202,250) \quad(7,658,530)$
$(8,121,970)$

| Contribution (to) / from Reserves <br> to Appendix G (c) below | $\mathbf{4 , 2 4 8 , 6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 1 , 4 9 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Appendix G (c)

## General Fund - Reserves Projection 2011/12 to 2015/16

| Name | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | 2015/16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance at 1 April brought forward | $9,850,110$ | $5,601,490$ | $5,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ |
| Contribution (to) / from Reserves <br> from Appendix G (b) above | $4,248,620$ | 601,490 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Balance at 31 March carried <br> forward | $5,601,490$ | $5,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ | $5,000,000$ | $50,000,000$ |

## 2012/13 Budget - External or Existing Funding

Appendix: Page 1 of 4

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

## External Bids

| X2768 | Growth Sites - Provision of <br> Parks and Open Space/ <br> Play/ Allotments and <br> Nature Conservation | 0 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 Alistair Wilson | H |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 Nature Conservation to include; checking quantities; checking specifications; checking 'methods of working; signing off completion and providing on site supervision/ monitoring of works relating to onsite provision on growth sites. This will be funded from the Council Tax Earmarked for Growth Fund.

External Bids
Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

| 0 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |

## 2012/13 Budget - External or Existing Funding

Appendix: Page 2 of 4

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## External Bids

| X2783 | Community Development <br> Grant fund for new <br> communities | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | O Jackie <br> Hanson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 fringe sites to flourish and to support the integration with neighbouring parts of the city. (to be, funded from Council Tax Earmarked for Growth Fund).

External Bids

Community Services - Community Development \& Health

| 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 |

## 2012/13 Budget - External or Existing Funding

Appendix: Page 3 of 4

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## External Bids

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { X2803 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { New part time post to } \\ \text { meet the need of } \\ \text { increased planning work } \\ \text { as a result of growth }\end{array} & 0 & 20,000 & 20,000 & 20,000 & 20,000 \text { Selwyn } \\ \text { Anderson }\end{array}\right]$

## Appendix [ H ]

## 2012/13 Budget - External or Existing Funding

Appendix: Page 4 of 4

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

## External Bids



| X2770 | Corporate Growth <br> Programme <br> Management Lead <br> Officer | 0 | 0 | 46,350 | 46,350 | 46,350 Patsy Dell | H |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 and management of the council wide programmes of activities supporting the delivery of new neighbourhoods across the City. This person will work with the wider group of heads of 'service and managers who all have growth as a part of their service responsibilities, to ensurel that the overall growth programme is delivered. The funding for 2012/13 can be met from ipart of the residual Horizons funding set aside for project management support for the , delivery of growth (£60k) see X2756. (to be funded from Council Tax Earmarked for Growth ${ }_{\text {IFund). }}$ [See also X2756]

| External Bids | 91,000 | 0 | 46,350 | 46,350 | 46,350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport | 91,000 | 0 | 46,350 | 46,350 | 46,350 |
| Report Total | 91,000 | 45,000 | 106,350 | 106,350 | 96,350 |


| Ref | Description | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | 2015/16 | Climate <br> Change <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## General Fund

| PPF2735 | Head of Corporate Strategy | 27,500 | 7,000 |  |  | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPF2766 | Folk Festival - business development options | 25,000 |  |  |  | +L |
| PPF2767 | Provide greater range of more culturally diverse activity at The Big Weekend | 20,000 |  |  |  | Nil |
| PPF2769 | Cambridge City 20 mph Zones Project | 29,900 | 29,900 |  |  | +M |
| PPF2771 | Sports development project for young people at risk of exclusion | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  | Nil |
| PPF2784 | Community Engagement Officer | 47,000 | 47,000 | 47,000 | 47,000 | +L |
| PPF2785 | Youth Officer-13+ age-group | 41,000 | 41,000 | 41,000 | 41,000 | Nil |
| PPF2793 | Mill Road Street Co-ordinator | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 |  | Nil |
| PPF2798 | In-cab technology for the trade waste service | 9,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | +M |
| PPF2799 | Targeted waste communications campaign for rented property | 7,000 | 7,000 |  |  | +L |
| PPF2800 | To continue the Recycling Champions Scheme | 16,000 | 16,000 |  |  | +M |
| PPF2821 | Street Champion Scheme | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  | Nil |
| PPF2861 | Funding for a 0.5 FTE post to set up a Restorative Justice system within the city | 17,000 | 17,000 |  |  | Nil |
| PPF2862 | Increase in funding for Safer City Revenue Grants | 25,350 | 25,350 | 25,350 | 25,350 | Nil |
| PPF2866 | Funding to secure consultancy support in respect of the Housing Strategy | 10,000 |  |  |  | Nil |
| PPF2909 | Contribution towards a post in the Independent Living Service to allow community based support to vulnerable older residents | 13,230 | 13,230 | 13,230 | 13,230 | Nil |
| PPF2949 | Electronic Market Management System | 6,640 | 6,640 | 6,640 | 6,640 | Nil |
| PPF2957 | Additional Senior Property Surveyor post in Property Services | 38,000 | 38,000 | 38,000 | 38,000 | Nil |
| PPF2962 | Funding for a FREEPOST licence for electoral services | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | Nil |
| Total Funding Required from PPF : General Fund |  | 378,620 | 300,120 | 195,720 | 179,720 |  |

## HRA

| PPF2877 | Provision of Landlord/Premises and Support Services to Sheltered Housing Tenants | $(113,140)$ | 39,710 | 39,710 | 39,710 | Nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPF2879 | Support and maintenance and potential future replacement costs for a new Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) database with an IT Case Management System | 8,850 | 8,850 | 8,850 | 8,850 | Nil |
| PPF2881 | Funding for continuation of the Family Intervention Project within the city | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  | Nil |
| PPF2904 | Funding to increase capacity in the area housing offices for a period of two years | 55,570 | 55,570 |  |  | Nil |
| Total Funding Required from PPF : HRA |  | $(23,720)$ | 129,130 | 48,560 | 48,560 |  |

## Appendix [ I (b) ]

2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF
Appendix: Page 1 of 9

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

## PPF Bids

| PPF2766 | Folk Festival - business development options | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 |  | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  \|opportunities. This will include an analysis of 'invest to save' options, a review of pricing and ,marketing strategy, and the approach taken towards securing and maximising sponsorship., |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPF2767 | Provide greater range of more culturally diverse activity at The Big Weekend | 0 | $20,000$ | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 Elaine Midgley <br>  ups to offer a wider range of cultural |  |  |  |
|  |  recent years has begun work with community groups to offer a wider range of cultural , activity that reflects the diverse nature of the City. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPF2771 | Sports development project for young people at risk of exclusion | 0 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 | H |
|  |  'young people at risk of exclusion from education an opportunity to engage in sport and Irecreation. The proposal is to create diversionally and development opportunities in ipartnership with specialist providers in the third sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPF Bids |  | 0 | 57,500 | 12,500 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places |  | 0 | 57,500 | 12,500 | $0 \quad 0$ |  |  |


| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF |  | Appendix: Page 2 of 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## PPF Bids

PPF2784

PPF2785

PPF2861 Funding for a 0.5 FTE post to set up a Restorative Justice system within the city
 'partnership organisations and volunteers, to faciliate bringing together offenders and victims,' lin an attempt to allow the offender to address the impact of their crime, reduce the impactl Iof repeat offending and give the victim a greater sense of justice.

## Appendix [ I (b) ]



## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

| PPF2862 | Increase in funding for Safer City Revenue Grants <br>  <br> 'With the devolution and <br> ${ }^{1}$ the distinction betwe decision making. The lalso be used as capita 'the capital programme Ifor citywide or strategic | 0 | $25,350$ <br> - āwā $\bar{r} \bar{d}$ grant bud revenue will now offset by £8,350 en 2959] | 25,350 <br> $\overline{\text { for }}{ }^{-}$both et into sm will introd be budge he elimin ables the | $25,350$ <br> revēnue aller sums e an un d only as ion of £17 ention of | 25,350 <br> cāp̄̄ital Area Co pful con venue 0 per an mall fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPF Bids |  | 0 | 130,350 | 130,350 | 113,350 | 113,350 |
| Commu Develop | Services - Community nt \& Health | 0 | 130,350 | 130,350 | 113,350 | 113,350 |

## Appendix [ I (b) ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 4 of 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

## PPF Bids



| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## PPF Bids

PPF2798

PPF2799
 'submitted for a targeted communications campaign designed to raise recycling rates' lamongst demographic groups less likely to recycle such as short-term residents and those in ${ }^{1}$ HMO's. The proposal is to target these groups through landlords and letting agents by' 'providing annual recycling information in a form that can be easily accessible eg in different ${ }^{1}$ I'anguages supported by pictural information and in poster size, for display in communal areas 'within HMO's. This would also include targeted doorknocking at these properties to distributel linformation and arrange for posters to be displayed/updated. This work would be supported I Iby volunteer Recycling Champions [See also PPF2800]
 'collection issues live on a tablet device in the cab which is then linked to a web based' 'software system. The system will provide an up to date round showing all customers'| 'collections for each day on a display in the collection vehicle. This will help prevent missed' 'calls, the need for return visits and reduce fuel spend for the section. Also information' Icollected will be directly available at the Customer Service Centre enabling officers tol 'answer customer queries more effectively. The bid is to cover the system set up and annuall Irevenue costs of server hosting, warranty, annual support plus data transfer via phone netl 'work. [See also C2929]

Targeted waste communications campaign for rented property

7,000
7,000
0
0 Jen Robertson
 'the coordinator, support existing volunteers (90 Champions so far) and the recruitment of new ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ 'volunteers.The scheme was designed in response to research that recognises the value of ${ }^{1}$ 'face to face contact in bringing about behavioural change by delivering leaflets and to help' 'reduce contamination at flats. Since April 2011 the coordinator has also attended 36 events ${ }^{\prime}$ |which, with the involvement of recycling champions, has enabled the direct engagement of , around 1,500 city residents. For the next two years the bid would enable involvement of ,recycling champions in issues around contamination, participation monitoring and waste, |prevention. This bid is linked to the Targeted Waste Communications Campaign as Recycling, ,Champions will support the communications campaign. [See also PPF2799]

## Appendix [ I (b) ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF |  | Appendix: Page 6 of 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

| PPF2821 | Street Champion Scheme | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 Toni Ainley |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | H

## Appendix [ I (b) ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF |  | Appendix: Page 7 of 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Ctree |  |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

## PPF Bids

| PPF2769 | Cambridge City 20mph Zones Project | 0 | 29,900 | 29,900 | 0 |  | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  'resource and there is a separate linked capital bid for the physical works involved (signing, llining etc). Bid is for 2 years funding, which would provide a City-wide approach. [See also iC2755] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPF Bids |  | 0 | 29,900 | 29,900 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Environm Transpor | - Planning \& Sustainab | 0 | 29,900 | 29,900 | 0 | 0 |  |


| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 8 of 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## PPF Bids



## Appendix [ I (b) ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - GF |  |  |  |  | Appendix: Page 9 of 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  | Cttee |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Conta | Priority |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## PPF Bids

PPF2735

| Head of Corporate <br> Strategy | 0 | 27,500 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Antoinette <br> Jackson | $H$ |  |  |  |  |

 'due to end in November 2012. The post manages the Strategy and Partnerships team,' 'Democratic Services and Corporate Marketing and supports the Stategic Leadership team tol |deliver on corporate projects and strategy development. Given the extent of change the ıorganisation still needs to manage over the next two years this bid seeks to extend the post , until the end of April 2013.

PPF Bids

Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

| 0 | 27,500 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 27,500 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 378,620 | 300,120 | 195,720 | 179,720 |

## Appendix [ I (c)]

## 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund - HRA


£
£
Budget


£

Cttee Priority
(Bids)

## Housing Revenue Account

## PPF Bids

| PPF2877 | Provision of Landlord/Premises and Support Services to Sheltered Housing Tenants |  | $(113,140)$ | 39,710 | 39,710 | 39,710 Sally-Jane | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TThis ${ }^{-}$bid ${ }^{\text {is }}$ to $\overline{\text { sup }}$ suplement 'housing management serv reductions. The bid will e prevent social isolation, sheltered housing to avoid | ght | the ${ }^{-}$Shèltè of continued vation of neighbou n. | Housin County ular te disputes | Service uncil Su hts mee ssisting | $\overline{v e r i n g} \bar{e} n \overline{n h} \bar{n} \bar{c} \bar{e} d$ ting People Grant and activities to erable tenants in, |  |
| PPF2879 | Support and maintenance and potential future replacement costs for a new Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) database with an IT Case Management System | 0 | 8,850 | 8,850 | 8,850 | 8,850 Lynda Kilkelly | H |

 'Orchard system used by ASB and housing officers. It is highly deficient as a reporting tool. A' 'new system can be expected to lead to service delivery improvements as case monitoring ${ }^{1}$ land management, and higher level performance management and reporting information, is | isubstantially improved. A capital bid is included for the purchase of the system, with this, ırevenue bid to meet the ongoing operational costs. [See also C2907, S2867, RB2882, UR2880] ।

PPF2881 Funding for continuation
0 25,000 25,000
0
0 Liz Bisset
H of the Family Intervention Project within the city
 'Council, to allow continued delivery of enhanced specialist support to vulnerable residents: , with large families in Council tenancies in the city (2 years only)

## Appendix [ I (c) ]

| 2012/13 Budget - Priority Policy Fund | - HRA |  |  | Appendix: Page 2 of 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

| PPF2904 | Funding to increase capacity in the area housing offices for a period of two years <br> 「A combination ō th 'Customer Service C limpact on the ability ian increase in housin | 0 | $55,570$ <br> fing ${ }^{-}$struc sequent proactive to addre | $55,570$ <br> res às a conomic recover a the impa | 0 <br> ult $\overline{\text { of }}$ the ownturn ars, with of the we | trōdūctio had a resulting e benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPF Bids |  | 0 | $(23,720)$ | 129,130 | 48,560 | 48,560 |
| Housing | enue Account | 0 | $(23,720)$ | 129,130 | 48,560 | 48,560 |
| Report To |  | 0 | $(23,720)$ | 129,130 | 48,560 | 48,560 |



## Housing Revenue Account

## Revised Budget

| RB2868 | Reduction in repairs administration operational budgets | $(5,000)$ | Yes | $(5,000)$ | Bob Hadfield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  Itherapists across the repairs administration service, in line with prior year expenditurel |  |  |  |  |
| RB2870 | Reduction in staffing budget in Leasehold Services | $(5,210)$ |  | $(5,210)$ | Andrew Latchem |
|  | ${ }^{\prime} A^{-}$sāving $\bar{i}$ is $\overline{\text { an }}$ 'Manager to reflect the 25 hours per week that the current post holder works. Any future' Idecision to increase the hours, would be anticipated to be offset by recharges tol ileaseholders |  |  |  |  |
| RB2873 | Removal of inflationary element of area office costs budget | $(1,870)$ | Yes | $(2,690)$ | Julia Hovells |
|  |  lapplied in the interim can be treated as a saving, recognising the need to bid for al ,greater increase in budget at the 5 year review point if the office lease is renewed, |  |  |  |  |
| RB2876 | Reduction in staffing in the Independent Living and Floating Support Services in line with savings agreed with Supporting People for 2011/12 onwards | $(68,270)$ | Yes | $(68,240)$ | Sally-Jane Williams |

 'the services funded through Supporting People grant. To meet the reduction in' 'anticipated income, the HRA deleted 2.19 FTE Independent Living Service posts during ${ }^{1}$ |2011/12, when staff were either due to retire or fixed term contracts came to an end and| । agreed not to fill vacant hours in the Floating Support Team while awaiting transfer to a inew provider

RB2882 Reduction in operational cost budgets for $\quad(4,680) \quad$ Yes $\quad$ (8,880) Lynda Kilkelly the Anti-Social Behaviour Team
 'annum. In addition to this a reduction in the legal cost budget is proposed, based upon' 'a reduced call on the budget in the last three years, recognising the responsive nature of 'this activity and the potential need to bid for resources in future years if the need arises.' |'[See also C2907, S2867, PPF2879]

|  |  | 2011/12 <br> Revised Budget £ | Ongoing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Anticipated } \\ \text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { Effect } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Contact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | Description / Justification |  | Effect |  |  |

## Housing Revenue Account

| RB2885 | Net additional service charge income | $(47,750)$ | Yes | $(10,180)$ | Julia Hovells |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  'budgets, particularly as a result of further installations of gas central heating and a' 'reduction in the level of void sheltered properties as the refurbishment programme nears completion. The saving is partially offset by under-achievement in income in respect of Ditchburn Place |  |  |  |  |
| RB2887 | Reduction in garage rental income | 21,250 | Yes | 23,430 | Julia Hovells |
|  |  'void garages. The waiting list for garages is far less than the number of vacant garages' lavailable for letting, with those on the list waiting for garages in specific areas, adjacent\| Ito their homes [See also UR2888] |  |  |  |  |
| RB2890 | Reduction in income to the HRA from the General Fund in respect of shared amenities | 27,000 | Yes | 29,620 | Julia Hovells |

 Iupon actual costs incurred in 2010/11 [See also RB2864]

| RB2893 | Reduction in Supporting People income in <br> respect of sheltered and supported housing <br> services, in line with savings agreed with <br> Supporting People for 2011/12 onwards and <br> an increase in void levels | 69,040 | Yes | 69,290 | Sally-Jane <br> Williams |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

 'the services funded through Supporting People grant. To meet this reduction in income,' ${ }^{1}$ the HRA deleted 2.19 FTE Independent Living Service posts during 2011/12, when staff1 |were either due to retire or fixed term contracts came to an end and agreed not to fill| ivacant hours in the Floating Support Service. This bid also includes the impact of an, lincrease in void levels in supported housing, also impacting support income for the year.।

Yes
$(30,030)$

Ireadings, with the confidence this gives indicating that the budgets held are greater! ,than required

| Reference | Description / Justification | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ \text { Revised } \\ \text { Budget } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | Ongoing Effect | Anticipated 2012/13 Effect £ | Contact Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing Revenue Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2905 | Savings in City Homes Operational Costs | $(29,400)$ | Yes | $(29,400)$ | Robert <br> Hollingsworth |
|  |  as training, stationery and printing, where expenditure in prior years has been less than, ,budgeted. |  |  |  |  |
| RB2973 | Increase in day to day repairs budget in 2011/12 | 250,000 |  | 0 | Bob Hadfield |
|  |  '2011/12, in recognition of additonal response maintenance input from staff transferring to 'Apollo as part of the externalisation of the planned maintenance contract. Staff were 'deployed between April and mid July in delivering a reduced planned maintenance ${ }^{1}$ Iprogramme, with a greater degree of responsive works being delivered, ensuring a\| ।smoother transition to the new provider and a minimised amount of work in progress. This, ,increase in budget is directly offset by a reduction in the planned revenue repairs, ,budget for 2011/12. [See also RB2974] |  |  |  |  |
|  | I _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ . | - - - | - - - | - _ - |  |
| RB2974 | Reduction in planned revenue repairs budget in 2011/12 | $(250,000)$ | No | 0 | Bob Hadfield |
|  |  'recognition of the reduced planned programme undertaken internally between April' 'and mid July 2011, pending the transfer of staff to Apollo, as part of the externalisation of ' 'planned maintenance.Staff were re-deployed between April and mid July in delivering a' \|reduced planned programme, with a greater degree of responsive works being| , delivered, ensuring a smoother transiiton to the new provider and a minimised amount of ,work in progress. This reduction in budget is directly offset by an increase in the ,responsive repairs budget for 2011/12. [See also RB2973] |  |  |  |  |
|  | I- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | - - - - | - - - - | - - - - |  |
| RB2988 | Funding in 2011/12 to meet the anticipated cost of arranging borrowing for HRA Self-Financing <br>  '£214,384,000 to meet the requirements of HRA Self-Financing on 28th March 2012. The bid' ' is based upon an assumption that funding is secured through PWLB at the level that is' incorporated in the draft self-financing determination.The authority expects to be 'recompensed for the interest incurred in 2011/12 via the existing HRA Subsidy System. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RB2989 | Over-achievement in rent income in <br> $(73,480)$ <br> No <br> 0 <br> Julia Hovells 2011/12 |  |  |  |  |

 lin the level of void properties in the year.
$(99,370)$
$(63,290)$

Total Housing Revenue Account
$(99,370)$
$(63,290)$

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA

Appendix: Page 1 of 10

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

## Service Reviews

| SR2913 | Saving to be delivered through restructure of Strategic Housing Services | 0 | $(6,760)$ | $(6,760)$ | $(6,760)$ | $(6,760)$ | Alan Carter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  the Home Improvement A land will result in some sta isome of these areas |  | wing $\overline{\text { the }}$ g Options ns overa | tructure CBL, Ho with an | $\overline{a n d} \bar{s} \overline{r v i}$ elessne mpact for | livēry Housing HRA in | $\bar{m} \overline{t h} \bar{o} \bar{d}{ }^{-}{ }^{-} \overline{o f}$ Strategy, respect of |
| SR2926 | Customer service centre budget efficiencies. | $0$ | $(23,000)$ | $(23,000)$ | $(23,000)$ | $(23,000)$ | Jonathan James |
|  | 「Sāvinḡs $\overline{f r o} \bar{m}^{-}$the $\overline{\text { Custóme }}$ lpayment kiosk and se limplementation of channel \|Further savings will be real ,(Anticipated HRA share.) [ ,R2749] |  | e budge anges and inc the imple | made throu guildha me from mentation | $\bar{u} \bar{h} \bar{e} \overline{f f i c}$ recept partnersh of e-ben | ies in intr and sw ork with and e-c | rō̄ūcinḡ $\bar{a}$ witchboard, St Albans. council tax. |
| SR2927 | Legal Staff Saving | 0 | $(11,760)$ | $(11,760)$ | $(11,760)$ | $(11,760)$ | Simon Pugh |

 'days a week. This equates to 0.8 fte . We believe that we can cope with present workloads' lwith this reduced staffing, although we will have reduced capacity to respond if workloadl increases. (Anticipated HRA share.) [See also SR2854]

SR2932 Savings from a range of $0 \quad(10,700) \quad(10,700) \quad(10,700) \quad(10,700)$ Sue Dawson Human Resources account codes
 lincluding consultancy - anticipated HRA share

SR2933 Proposed savings from HR $\quad 0 \quad(5,520) \quad(5,520) \quad(5,520) \quad(5,520)$ Sue Dawson Operations
 lanticipated HRA share.

SR2935

[^6]Page 229

## Housing Revenue Account

| SR2946 | Review of Building Cleaning | 0 | $(12,000)$ | $(24,000)$ | $(24,000)$ | $(24,000)$ | Toni Ainley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> IUR2956] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR2951 | Savings arising from Support Services review | 0 | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | ulia Hovells |
|  |  Iwith the anticipation of savings being identifed by 2012/13 [See also SR2952] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Reviews |  |  | $(95,600)$ | $(107,600)$ | $(107,600)$ | $(107,600)$ |  |

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA

Appendix: Page 3 of 10

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

## Savings

| S2869 | Reduction in repairs <br> administration <br> operational budgets | 0 | $(10,000)$ | $(10,000)$ | $(10,000)$ | $(10,000)$ Bob Hadfield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | budget in Leasehold Services

 Ito reflect the 25 hours per week that the current post holder works. Any future decision to increase the hours, would be anticipated to be offset by recharges to leaseholders,
Net savings in Temporary Housing Operational Costs

0 (240)
(240)
(240)
(240) Robert
 lexpenditure in prior years has been less than budgeted
$(2,690)$ Julia Hovells element of area office costs budget
 lapplied in the interim can be treated as a saving, recognising the need to bid for a greater , increase in budget at the 5 year review point if the office lease is renewed,
 in-house, it is proposed to reduce the Open Door publication budget in line with anticipated future costs

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA

Appendix: Page 4 of 10

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account


#### Abstract

Reduction in staffing in the Independent Living Service in line with savings agreed with Supporting People for 2011/12 onwards $0(68,240)$ $(68,240)$ $(68,240)$ $(68,240)$ Sally-Jane  services funded through Supporting People grant. To meet the reduction in anticipated' income, the HRA deleted 2.19 FTE Independent Living Service posts during 2011/12, when' |staff were either due to retire or fixed term contracts came to an end. This saving represents| ,the full year impact of the changes that have already been made in practice [See also। UR2892] Reduction in operational $0 \quad(8,880)$ $(8,880) \quad(8,880)$ $(8,880)$ Lynda Kilkelly cost budgets for the Anti-Social Behaviour Team


S2878
 'annum. In addition to this a reduction in the legal cost budget is proposed, based upon a' Ireduced call on the budget in the last three years, recognising the responsive nature of thisl lactivity and the potential need to bid for resources in future years if the need arises.I
Deletion of Customer
0
$(21,640)$
$(21,640)$
$(21,640)$
$(21,640)$ Sally-Jane
Williams Care and Project Manager and replacement with part time Administrator post in the Independent Living Service
 ${ }_{\mid}^{\mid}$part time Administrator, in line with trial that has taken place during 2011/12 that has proven! successful new caretakers
 'wards, will be fully met by service charge income, following consultation with residents before ,the introduction of the service [See also B2896]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA

Appendix: Page 5 of 10

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

| S2889 | Additional service charge <br> income | 0 | $(10,180)$ | $(10,180)$ | $(10,180)$ | $(10,180)$ Julia Hovells |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 Itraining, stationery, consultants, recruitment and bank charges, where expenditure in prior ,years has been less than budgeted

| S2899 | Reduction in electricity <br> budgets in housing | 0 | $(30,030)$ | $(30,030)$ | $(30,030)$ | $(30,030)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Robert |
| :--- |
| Hollingsworth |

 Ireadings, with the confidence this gives indicating that the budgets held are greater than! , required

S2902 Reduction in responsive $0 \quad(15,470) \quad(15,470) \quad(15,470) \quad(15,470)$ Bob Hadfield and void repair budgets in line with anticipated stock reductions
 lin a formulaic way in recognition of the anticipated reduction in stock numbers through right ${ }^{1}$ Ito buy and other disposals. Any further efficiencies achievable or pressures requiring address| iwill be identified as separate bids or savings

S2903 Removal of budget for $0 \quad(32,500)(32,500)(32,500) \quad(32,500)$ Julia Hovells premises related costs at Seymour Court
 any residual ongoing premises related costs will be met from the capital re-development ,budget

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA

Appendix: Page 6 of 10

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

S2986
Savings from installation of solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency measures on housing properties.
$0 \quad(3,800)$
$(3,800)$
 ${ }^{1} 1$ housing property to reduce the Council's carbon footprint. Savings have been calculated ${ }^{\prime}$ net of maintenance costs and are anticipated for the full 20 year lifetime of the feed in tariff |scheme and would increase with rising gas prices. The panels will also reduce the gas bill for ıthis property by approximately $£ 2,700$ pa. Tenants within this property will receive this savings ,through a reduction in their Service Charge. [See also C2965]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

UR2880 | Reduction in recharge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| from the HRA in respect |
| of the General Fund |
| share of ASB costs |$~\left(\begin{array}{llll}\end{array}\right.$

A nèt decrease in the General Fund contribution towards the costs of $\bar{A} S \bar{B}$ is anticipated, due 'to the impact of reduced operational costs (reduction in legal fees budget), more than offset' Iby the icreased costs associated with a new ASB Case Management System [See also C2907,I IRB2882, PPF2879]

UR2888 Reduction in garage and $0 \quad 29,300$ 29,300 $29,300 \quad 29,300$ Julia Hovells U commercial property rental income
 'garages. The waiting list for garages is far less than the number of vacant garages available' Ifor letting, with those on the list waiting for garages in specific areas, adjacent to their homes.I I[See also RB2887]

Reduction in income to
$0 \quad 27,000$
27,000
27,000
27,000 Julia Hovells the HRA from the General Fund in respect of shared amenities
 lactual costs incurred in 2010/11

Reduction in Supporting People income in respect of the sheltered housing service, in line with savings agreed with Supporting People for 2011/12 onwards
 'services funded through Supporting People grant. To meet this reduction in income, the HRA' Ideleted 2.19 FTE Independent Living Service posts during 2011/12, when staff were either due 'to retire or fixed term contracts came to an end. This bid represents the full year impact of the ${ }^{1}$ Ioss of income through Supporting People [See also S2878]

## 2012/13 Budget - Bids \& Savings - HRA
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

| UR2897 | Bid to address removal of staffing turnover allowance in caretaking budgets and to budget fully for additional hours delivered in the general housing at Ditchburn Place | 0 | 7,750 | 7,750 | 7,750 | 7,750 | Robert Hollingsworth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TInc̄lūding à à stäff tứnōver Istandards require that we 'hours delivered in the ge charges, but have alway 'been incorporated in the | y | gē̄ing ancies Ditch ugh s get | cāēta temp Place working | is not <br> staff. <br> e bee <br> ddition | priāte ost of vered urs tha | as sērvice caretaking in service have not |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { UR2900 } & \text { Increase in the costs of } & 0 & 40,000 & 40,000 & 40,000 & 40,000 & \text { Chris Brown U }\end{array}$ the Gas Maintenance Contract
 lcontract sum, coupled with the addiitonal costs of operating a 10 month programmel

Ongoing costs associated
$0 \quad 1,000$
1,000
1,000
1,000 Chris Brown with the installation of dry risers at Kingsway
 Ineed for a budget for the ongoing inspection of the installations

| Bid to fund anticipated | 0 | 820 | 820 | 820 | 820 Julia Hovells |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| additional costs of |  |  |  |  |  |
| existing HRA employees |  |  |  |  |  |

 'pay bands, results in small savings or unavoidable revenue bids in any one year, to match the ' lactual costs that will be incurred to those assumed in budget working papers where inflationl is applied at an average rate

Reduction in Communal $0 \quad 12,000 \quad 24,000 \quad 24,000 \quad$ 24,000 Julia Hovells
U Cleaning and Premises
Service Charges
 the Housing Revenue Account, will result in the passing on of these reduced costs in the form , of lower service charges for tenants and leaseholders [See also SR2946]

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

| UR2983 | Loss of savings (income) <br> expected from cancelled <br> installation of solar PV <br> panels | 0 | 14,920 | 14,920 | 14,920 | 14,920 Sam Griggs | U |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^7]| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | 2015/16 | Cttee |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

## Bids

| B2896 | Funding for two <br> additional caretaker <br> posts across housing <br> estates | 0 | 37,580 | 37,580 | 37,580 | $37,580$Andrew <br> Latchem | H |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 where caretaking services have not previously been provided. This new service will be funded' Ithrough service charges to tenants and leaseholders, following full consultation. [See also IS2886]

Bids
Housing Revenue Account

Report Total

| 0 | 37,580 | 37,580 | 37,580 | 37,580 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(159,400)$ | $(159,400)$ | $(159,400)$ | $(159,400)$ |
| 0 | $(159,400)$ | $(159,400)$ | $(159,400)$ | $(159,400)$ |

## Appendix M

## Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan

| Capital Ref Cost Centre | Description | Lead Officer | Capital <br> Scheme <br> Approval <br> (£000's) | Spend to Date | Current Year Budgeł (£000's) | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 / 13 \\ & (£ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 / 14 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 15 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 16 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC033B | CCTV Street Lighting | A Preston | 47 | 7 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC072 | Poster Boards | N Jones | 33 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC106 | Enhance Existing Community Facilities - East Chesterton | T Woollams | 145 | 118 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC163 | Compulsory Purchase Orders (CPOs) | S Anderson | 411 | 11 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC192 | Development Land on the North Side of Kings Hedges Road | P Doggett | 5,568 | 5,167 | 182 | 178 | 74 | 0 | 0 |
| SC210 | Hard Surface Cherry Hinton Hall Car Park | 1 Ross | 68 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC215 | Christs Piece - Trees/Landscaping (S106) | A French | 11 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC221b | Lion Yard - Contribution to Works Phase 2 | P Doggett | 641 | 44 | 931 | 942 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC221c | Lion Yard - Contribution to Works Phase 2 | P Doggett | 942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC234 | Histon Road Cemetery Landscaping (S106) | A Wison | 31 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC282 | Kettle's Yard | G Saxby | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC283 | City Centre Youth Venue (S106) | T Woollams | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC312 | Automated Energy Monitoring System | j Stocker | 35 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC329 | Corporate Document Management (DIP \& EDRM) | J Nightingale | 978 | 533 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC335 | Customer Access Strategy - IT Workstream | C Bolton | 773 | 533 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC338 | Customer Access Strategy - Web Development | A Perry | 35 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC347 | Histon Road - Refurbishment of play area (S106) | A Preston | 75 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC348 | Allotment Improvements (S106) | A Wilson | 34 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC349 | Fencing and Security at Jesus Green Pool | IRoss | 30 | 8 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC350 | Improvements to pump out facility at Jesus Green | A Wilson | 60 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC351 | Memorial Choice | T Lawrence | 110 | 110 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC361 | Disabled Access and Facilities Guildhall Halls | G Saxby | 80 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC362 | Lighting and Power in Committee Rooms | j Stocker | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC366 | Green Parking Bays | s Cleary | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC368 | GIS Phase 2 \& 3 | P Boucher | 57 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC379 | Mercury Abatement | T Lawrence | 2,023 | 537 | 1,995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC385 | Energy Efficiency Programme Meadows | T Woollams | 62 | 43 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC386 | HMOs - Management Orders | S Anderson | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC391 | La Mimosa Punting Station | P Doggett | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC396 | Ravensworth Gardens - Remedial \& Improvement Work | D Kaye | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC405 | Improvements to play areas \& open space at land behind St Matthews Street (S106) | 1 Ross | 120 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC406 | The Junction Development Programme (S106) | T Woollams | 130 | 128 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC410 | Mill Road Cemetery | A Wilson | 50 | 23 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC414 | Property Accreditation Scheme | J Dicks | 100 | 69 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC416 | UNIform e-consultee Access Module | P Boucher | 15 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC417 | Development of UNIform System | P Boucher | 15 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC420 | Corrosion Monitoring System at Park Street | S Cleary | 47 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC421 | E\&P Server Replacements | P Boucher | 55 | 50 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Capital Ref Cost Centre | Description | Lead Officer | Capital <br> Scheme <br> Approval <br> (£000's) | Spend to Date | Current Year Budget (£000's) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012/13 } \\ & (£ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 / 14 \\ & (\varepsilon 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2014/15 } \\ & \text { ( } £ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 16 \\ & (E 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC423 | Recycling Bins for Flats | J Robertson | 185 | 63 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC427 | Oracle Financials Server | $J$ Minns | 67 | 39 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC429 | Telephony System Upgrade | J Nightingale | 70 | 19 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC432 | Mill Road Cemetery Memorial Artwork (S106) | A Preston | 62 | 8 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC433 | Snowy Farr Memorial Artwork (S106) | A Preston | 70 | 13 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC434 | Floodlit Astroturf - Chesterton Community College and Netherhall School (S106) | 1 Ross | 270 | 70 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC435 | Biodiversity Projects Year 2\&3 | G Belcher | 99 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC436 | Pye's Pitch Rec Facilities (S106) | IRoss | 100 | 15 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC439 | LED Lighting - Grand Arcade Annex Car Park | S Cleary | 120 | 6 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC440 | King George V Rec Ground (consolidated) (S106) | T Woollams | 458 | 426 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC441 | Sheeps Green Canoe Clubhouse Extension (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 195 | 190 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC445 | Monitors for use with Document Management System | P Boucher | 30 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC448 | Rebuild Grafton West Car Park Wall at Salmon Lane | S Cleary | 100 | 82 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC449 | Holy Trinity War Memorial Shelter | J Preston | 24 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC450 | Changing Facilities at Cherry Hinton Village Centre (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 70 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC452 | Climbing Wall at Kelsey Kerridge Sports Centre (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 60 | 95 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC453 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Project (S106) | G Belcher | 130 | 12 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC454 | Logans Meadow Swift Tower (S106) | G Belcher | 35 | 40 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC455 | Logans Meadow LNR Extension (S106) | G Belcher | 190 | 2 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC456 | Coldhams Common LNR Extension (S106) | G Belcher | 62 | 3 | 48 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| SC458 | Capita Re-Licence | ${ }^{\text {J James }}$ | 61 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC460 | Kings Hedges Learners Pool Electricity | Ross | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC461 | Jesus Green Skatepark Upgrade (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 65 | 57 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC465 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Public Art (S106) | A Preston | 29 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC466 | Air Monitoring Equipment | J Dicks | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC468 | Vie Play Area | IRoss | 30 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC469 | Vie Public Open Space (S106) | IRoss | 175 | 134 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC471 | Parkside Changing Rooms | D Kaye | 350 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC472 | Cherry Hinton Hall Vending Kiosk (S106) | A Preston | 150 | 0 | 15 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC473 | Cherry Hinton Hall Pond \& Lake Restorations (S106) | A Preston | 250 | 0 | 25 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC474 | Cherry Hinton Hall Tree Planting (S106) | ${ }^{\text {IRoss }}$ | 75 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC475 | Nightingale Rec Pavilion Refurbishment (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 228 | 0 | 18 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC476 | Water Play Area Abbey Paddling Pool (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 130 | 0 | 125 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC477 | Coleridge Paddling Pool Enhancement (S106) | ${ }^{1}$ Ross | 165 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC478 | Water Play Area Kings Hedges "Pulley" (S 106) | IRoss | 130 | 0 | 125 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC479 | Abbey Pool Play Area Facilities (S106) | A Preston | 114 | 0 | 110 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC480 | Alexander Gardens Play Area (S106) | A Preston | 75 | 0 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC481 | Climbing Boulders at Cherry Hinton Hall (S106) | A Preston | 32 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Capital Ref Cost Centre | Description | Lead Officer | Capital <br> Scheme <br> Approval <br> ( E 000 's | Spend to Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { Budget } \\ & \text { (£000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 / 13 \\ & (£ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 / 14 \\ & (£ 000 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 15 \\ & \text { (£000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 16 \\ & (£ 000 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC482 | Cherry Hinton Hall Tennis Court (S106) | A Preston | 67 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC483 | Coldhams Common BMX Track Enhancement (S106) | A Preston | 22 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC484 | Coldhams Common Climbing Facility (S106) | A Preston | 62 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC485 | Coldhams Common Skate Park $(S 106)$ | A Preston | 140 | 0 | 135 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC486 | Coleridge Rec Skateboarding \& BMX Trail (S106) | A Preston | 52 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC487 | Colderidge Rec Landscaping \& High Wire Climbing (S106) | A Preston | 40 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC488 | Coleridge Rec Informal Games Area (S106) | A Preston | 55 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC489 | Coleridge Rec Tennis Court (S106) | A Preston | 67 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC490 | Dundee Close Play Area (\$106) | A Preston | 48 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC491 | Public Information in Play \& Recreation Areas (S106) | A Preston | 125 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC492 | Jesus Green Play Area (S106) | A Preston | 178 | 0 | 175 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC493 | Jesus Green Tennis Court (S106) | A Preston | 92 | 1 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC494 | Kings Hedges "Pulley" Play Area (S106) | A Preston | 75 | 0 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC495 | The Meadows Outdoor Rec Area (S106) | A Preston | 62 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC496 | Petersfield Play Area (S106) | A Preston | 78 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC497 | Peveral Road Play Area (S106) | A Preston | 88 | 0 | 85 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC498 | Picnic \& BBQ Facilities in City Parks $(S 106)$ | A Wison | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC499 | Outdoor Fitness Equipment in Parks $(S 106)$ | A Preston | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC500 | Trumpington Rec Outdoor Space (S106) | A Preston | 48 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC501 | Woodhead Drive Play Area (S106) | A Preston | 50 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC503 | CCTV Technology Upgrade | M Beaumont | 150 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC504 | Solar PV Panel Installation | B Hadfield | 224 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC505 | Land Explorer Software | G Richardson | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC506 | Replacement Grand Arcade Car Park Pay on Foot Machines | S Cleary | 400 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC507 | Visit Cambridge Website | E Thornton | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC508 | E-Benefits | $J$ frost | 47 | 38 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC509 | Electric Courier Van | JJames | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC510 | Chip \& Pin Upgrade in Car Parks | s Cleary | 80 | 77 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC511 | Route Optimisation Software | C Hipwood | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC512 | Hobbs Pavilion Refurbishment (S106) | 1 Ross | 240 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC513 | Crematory Refurbishment | T Lawrence | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC514 | Petersfield Area Play Equipment | T Woollams | 55 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC515 | Replacement CCTV Cameras | M Beaumont | 70 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC516 | Relocation Grand Arcade Car Park Control Room | S Cleary | 70 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC517 | Reline Fuel Tanks | D Cox | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC518 | Corn Exchange Lighting Improvement | D Kaye | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC519 | Wulfstan Way Art Project (S106) | N Black | 45 | 0 | 9 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC520 | Community Olympic Public Art Commission (S106) | N Black | 129 | 0 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Capital-GF Projects |  | 20,990 | 9,552 | 10,384 | 2,468 | 79 | 0 | 0 |

## Capital-Programmes



| Capital Ref Cost Centre | Description | Lead Officer | Capital <br> Scheme <br> Approval <br> (£000's) | Spend to Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { Budget } \\ & \text { ( } £ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012/13 } \\ & (£ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 / 14 \\ & (\mathrm{E} 000 \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 / 15 \\ & (E 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2015/16 } \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR006 | Safer City Programme | L Kikelly | 302 | 504 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| PR007 | Cycleways | C Rankin |  | 1,224 | 245 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| PRO10 | Environmental Improvements Programme | D FoleyNorman |  | 1,078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR010a | Environmental Improvements Programme - North Area | D FoleyNorman |  | 551 | 125 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 0 |
| PRO10b | Environmental Improvements Programme - South Area | D FoleyNorman |  | 273 | 126 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 0 |
| PR010c | Environmental Improvements Programme - West/Central Area | D FoleyNorman |  | 250 | 156 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 0 |
| PRO10d | Environmental Improvements Programme - East Area | D FoleyNorman |  | 250 | 298 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 0 |
| PR010di | Environmental Improvements Programme - Riverside/Abbey Road Junction | D FoleyNorman |  | 62 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROIOj | Environmental Improvements Programme - Fitzroy/Burleigh Street | D FoleyNorman |  | 1 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR010k | Environmental Improvements Programme - Wulfstan Way Local Centre (S106) | A Preston | 174 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PRO14 | Environmental Safety Fund | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { D Foley- } \\ \text { Norman } \end{array}$ |  | 83 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR016 | Public Conveniences | B Carter |  | 2,291 | 363 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR017 | Vehicle Replacement Programme | D Cox |  | 7,962 | 611 | 540 | 960 | 750 | 0 |
| PR018 | Bus Shelters | A Preston | 300 | 158 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR019 | Car Parks Infrastructure and Equipment Replacement Programme | S Cleary | 1,748 | 819 | 726 | 269 | 244 | 789 | 0 |
| PR020 | ICT Infrastructure Programme | ${ }^{\text {J Nightingale }}$ | 2,470 | 812 | 929 | 475 | 211 | 300 | 220 |
| PR023 | Admin Buildings Asset Replacement Programme | J Stocker | 427 | 307 | 68 | 88 | 40 | 70 | 48 |
| PR024 | Commercial Properties Asset Replacement Programme | J Stocker | 581 | 85 | 63 | 77 | 347 | 20 | 230 |
| PR025 | New Town Community Development Capital Grants Programme (S106) | T Woollams | 130 | 6 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR026 | Community Development Grants Programme (S106) | T Woollams | 800 | 27 | 150 | 350 | 300 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Capital-Programmes |  | 7,106 | 17,068 | 25,545 | 10,319 | 10,371 | 10,300 | 7,295 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL CAPITAL PLAN |  | 28,096 | 26,619 | 35,929 | 12,787 | 10,450 | 10,300 | 7,295 |

## Appendix $N$

## Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan - Variances



Arts, Sport \& Public Places

| SC072 | Poster Boards | 4 | 0 | 4 | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ) earmarked for spend before end of financial year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC210 | Hard Surface Cherry Hinton Hall Car Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Project complete. |
| SC215 | Christs Piece - <br> Trees/Landscaping (S106) | 7 | 0 | 6 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | Project complete. |
| SC234 | Histon Road Cemetery Landscaping (S106) | 9 | 0 | 5 | (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  <br> landscaping to be complete by March 2012. |
| SC282 | Kettle's Yard | 40 | 0 | 0 | (40) | 40 | 0 | 0 |  | Awaiting timetable from Kettles Yard. |
| SC347 | Histon Road - <br> Refurbishment of play area (S106) | 12 | 0 | 0 | (12) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (12) | ) Project complete. |
| SC348 | Allotment Improvements (S106) | 17 | 0 | 0 | (17) | 17 | 0 | 0 |  | Funds held pending 0 requests from Allotment Societies. |
| SC349 | Fencing and Security at Jesus Green Pool | 22 | 0 | 0 | (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (22) | ) Project complete. |
| SC350 | Improvements to pump out facility at Jesus Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Project complete. |
| SC396 | Ravensworth Gardens Remedial \& Improvement Work | 25 | 0 | 0 | (25) | 25 | 0 | 0 |  | Project now being developed in consultation with RGRA \& their agents. Rephase to 2012/13 |
| SC405 | Improvements to play areas \& open space at land behind St Matthews Street (S106) | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 Project complete. |
| SC410 | Mill Road Cemetery | 35 | 0 | 27 | (8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (8) | Works to be completed by Mar 2012 (overspend 2010/11). |
| SC432 | Mill Road Cemetery Memorial Artwork (S106) | 57 | 0 | 6 | (51) | 51 | 0 | 0 |  | DAC and Planning Approval currently being 0 sort. Project expected to be complete by Summer 2012. |
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|  |  | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| SC433 | Snowy Farr Memorial Artwork (S 106) | 64 | 0 | 24 | (40) | 40 | 0 | 0 |  | Planning application has been submitted and 0 subject to its approval the artwork will be installed by Spring 2012 |
| SC434 | Floodlit Astroturf Chesterton Community College and Netherhall School (S106) | 200 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Project completed. <br> 0 Community use agreement now signed. |
| SC435 | Biodiversity Projects Year 2\&3 | 0 | 0 | (1) | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | ) Project complete. |
| SC436 | Pye's Pitch Rec Facilities (S106) | 85 | 0 | 45 | (40) | 40 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Ongoing works. |
| SC441 | Sheeps Green Canoe Clubhouse Extension (S106) | 165 | 0 | 175 | 10 | (5) | 0 | 0 |  | Project complete, subjec $\dagger$ 5 to retention monies to be paid in next financial year. |
| SC450 | Changing Facilities at Cherry Hinton Village Centre (S106) | 70 | 0 | 0 | (70) | 70 | 0 | 0 |  | O Project deferred to 2012. |
| SC452 | Climbing Wall at Kelsey Kerridge Sports Centre (S106) | 47 | 0 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 Project complete. |
| SC453 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Project (S106) | 118 | 0 | 0 | (118) | 0 | 0 | 118 |  | Project fully developed. Loss of original S106 funding for habitat creation requires either a 0 bid to reserves or alternative S 106 funding streams. Project transferred to the Hold List until resolved. |
| SC454 | Logans Meadow Swift Tower (S106) | 27 | 0 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 Project complete. |
| SC455 | Logans Meadow LNR Extension (S106) | 188 | 0 | 0 | (188) | 0 | 0 | 188 |  | Project still in development phase. Loss of original S 106 funding for habitat creation requires 0 either a bid to reserves or alternative S106 funding streams. Project transferred to the Hold List until resolved. |
| SC456 | Coldhams Common LNR Extension (S106) | 48 | 0 | 0 | (48) | 48 | 0 | 0 |  | Awaiting S38 permission. Operations awaiting instruction to undertake works. |
| SC460 | Kings Hedges Learners Pool Electricity | 25 | 0 | 0 | (25) | 25 | 0 | 0 |  | Project on hold pending 0 carbon reduction improvments. |
| SC461 | Jesus Green Skatepark Upgrade (S106) | 65 | 0 | 60 | (5) | 5 | 0 | 0 | (0) | Project Complete. Retention monies to be paid in next financial year. |
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| SC465 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Public Art (S106) | 29 | 0 | 0 | (29) | 0 | 0 | 29 |  | Project has been put on hold whilst the funding issues for the wider Local Nature Reserve Biodiversity Project are resolved. |
| SC469 | Vie Public Open Space (S106) | 130 | 0 | 114 | (16) | 16 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Ongoing works. |
| SC471 | Parkside Changing Rooms | 350 | 0 | 390 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Works under way completion due January 2012 |
| SC472 | Cherry Hinton Hall Vending Kiosk (S106) | 15 | 0 | 0 | (15) | 0 | 0 | 15 |  | Project is incorporated within the Heritage Lottery Fund bid which is due to be submitted in August 2012 and determined by December 2012. It is therefore recommended to be put on the Hold List until the outcome of this bid is known. |
| SC473 | Cherry Hinton Hall Pond \& Lake Restorations (S106) | 25 | 0 | 0 | (25) | 0 | 0 | 25 |  | Project is incorporated within the Heritage Lottery Fund bid which is due to be submitted in August 2012 and determined by December 2012. It is therefore recommended to be put on the Hold List until the outcome of this bid is known. |
| SC474 | Cherry Hinton Hall Tree Planting (S106) | 5 | 0 | 0 | (5) | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | Project appraisal submitted for Phase 1 of Cherry Hinton Hall Grounds Improvements. Project to be undertaken in 2011/12 |
| SC475 | Nightingale Rec Pavilion Refurbishment (S106) | 18 | 0 | 0 | (18) | 0 | 0 | 18 |  | Transferred to Hold List pending approval of funding source |
| SC476 | Water Play Area Abbey Paddling Pool (S106) | 125 | 0 | 75 | (50) | 50 | 0 | 0 |  | Project completion estimated May 2012. |
| SC477 | Coleridge Paddling Pool Enhancement (S106) | 160 | (75) | 50 | (35) | 35 | 0 | 0 |  | January 2012 procurement. Tfr £75k to new Coleridge Recreation Ground improvements project. |
| SC478 | Water Play Area Kings Hedges "Pulley" (S106) | 125 | 0 | 50 | (75) | 75 | 0 | 0 |  | January 2012 procurement. |
| SC479 | Abbey Pool Play Area Facilities (S 106) | 110 | 0 | 0 | (110) | 85 | 0 | 0 | (25) | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. Revised scheme costs £89k - £4k budget in 2012/13. |
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| SC480 | Alexander Gardens Play Area (S106) | 72 | 0 | 0 | (72) | 0 | 72 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC481 | Climbing Boulders at Cherry Hinton Hall (S106) | 32 | 0 | 0 | (32) | 0 | 32 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC482 | Cherry Hinton Hall Tennis Court (S106) | 65 | 0 | 0 | (65) | 0 | 65 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC483 | Coldhams Common BMX Track Enhancement (S106) | 20 | 0 | 0 | (20) | 0 | 20 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC484 | Coldhams Common Climbing Facility (S 106) | 60 | 0 | 0 | (60) | 0 | 60 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC485 | Coldhams Common Skate Park (S 106) | 135 | 0 | 0 | (135) | 0 | 135 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC486 | Coleridge Rec Skateboarding \& BMX Trail (S106) | 50 | (50) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. Tfr £50k to new Coleridge Recreation ground Improvements project. |
| SC487 | Colderidge Rec Landscaping \& High Wire Climbing (S106) | 38 | (38) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. Tfr £38k to new Coleridge Recreation ground Improvements project. |
| SC488 | Coleridge Rec Informal Games Area (S106) | 53 | (53) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. Tfr £53k to new Coleridge Recreation ground Improvements project. |
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| SC489 | Coleridge Rec Tennis Court (S106) | 65 | (65) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. Tfr £65k to new Coleridge Recreation ground Improvements project. |
| SC490 | Dundee Close Play Area (S106) | 46 | 0 | 0 | (46) | 0 | 46 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC491 | Public Information in Play \& Recreation Areas (S106) | 125 | 0 | 0 | (125) | 0 | 125 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC492 | Jesus Green Play Area (S106) | 175 | 0 | 0 | (175) | 149 | 0 | 0 | (26) | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. Revised scheme costs £152k - £3k budget in 2012/13. |
| SC493 | Jesus Green Tennis Court (S106) | 90 | 0 | 1 | (89) | 89 | 0 | 0 | (0) | Planning permission has been granted and procurement of the construction services are underway. Completion expected by Spring 2012 for the start of the tennis season. |
| SC494 | Kings Hedges "Pulley" Play Area (S106) | 73 | 0 | 0 | (73) | 73 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. |
| SC495 | The Meadows Outdoor Rec Area (S 106) | 60 | 0 | 0 | (60) | 0 | 60 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC496 | Petersfield Play Area (S106) | 76 | 0 | 0 | (76) | 76 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. |
| SC497 | Peveral Road Play Area (S106) | 85 | 0 | 0 | (85) | 85 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> 0 Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. |
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| SC498 | Picnic \& BBQ Facilities in City Parks (S 106) | 54 | 0 | 0 | (54) | 0 | 54 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC499 | Outdoor Fitness Equipment in Parks (S106) | 120 | 0 | 0 | (120) | 120 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> 0 Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. |
| SC500 | Trumpington Rec Outdoor Space (S106) | 46 | 0 | 0 | (46) | 46 | 0 | 0 |  | Project is about to enter its procurement stage. <br> 0 Delivery is expected to be complete by Summer 2012. |
| SC501 | Woodhead Drive Play Area (S106) | 48 | 0 | 0 | (48) | 0 | 48 | 0 |  | Project proposed to be removed from the capital plan and devolved to Area Committees to consider as part of their area needs assessments. |
| SC512 | Hobbs Pavilion Refurbishment (S106) | 240 | 0 | 100 | (140) | 140 | 0 | 0 |  | Mai Thai restaurant carrying out their works independently Jan - Mar 2012. |
| SC518 | Corn Exchange Lighting Improvement | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Lighting approved at 0 CSSC 13/10/2011. <br> Awaiting procurement. |
| SC519 | Wulfstan Way Art Project (S106) | 9 | 0 | 0 | (9) | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | Project just approved. 0 Artist brief being developed for Tender |
| SC520 | Community Olympic Public Art Commission (S106) | 29 | 0 | 0 | (29) | 29 | 0 | 0 |  | Project just approved. 0 Invitation to Tender being drafted. |
| New | Coleridge Recreation Ground Improvements (S106) | 0 | 281 | 0 | (281) | 281 | 0 | 0 |  | New project from SC477 (part), SC486, SC487, SC488, SC489 - revised project appraisal to 0 January 2012 scrutiny committee (including 2012/13 budget implications). See Appendix K(2) |
|  | Total Projects | 4,314 | 0 | 1,442 | $(2,872)$ | 1,719 | 717 | 393 | (43) |  |
| PR010a | Environmental Improvements Programme - North Area | 125 | 0 | 86 | (39) | 39 | 0 | 0 | (0) | North Area have now allocated the majority of their funding to projects. Delayed start due to lack ) of clarity whether a new EIP Programme would be introduced. Next years proposed schemes will shortly be requested. |
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Community Development \& Health

Enhance Existing
SC106 Community Facilities -
East Chesterton

27
0
(27) 0

No further applications received. Funding no
(27) longer required. Budget to be returned to reserves fund.
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| SC283 | City Centre Youth Venue (S106) | 100 | 0 | 0 | (100) | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | Report planned for Com Serv Scrutiny in March 2012. Rephase remaining budget. |
| SC351 | Memorial Choice | 5 | 0 | 5 | (0) |  | 0 | 0 | (0) | ) Project complete |
| SC379 | Mercury Abatement | 1,995 | 0 | 1,995 | (0) |  | 0 | 0 |  | Project due to be completed by 31st March 2012 |
| SC385 | Energy Efficiency <br> Programme - Meadows | 19 | 0 | 6 | (13) | 13 | 0 | 0 |  | Programme delayed as Asset Manager not 0 appointed until recently. Rephase remaining budget. |
| SC406 | The Junction Development Programme (S106) | 2 | 0 | 0 | (2) | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 R <br> Retention held. Re-phase remaining budget |
| SC440 | King George V Rec Ground (consolidated) (S106) | 32 | 0 | 0 | (32) | 32 | 0 | 0 |  | Additional works to be specified with <br> 0 Trumpington Residents Association. Re-phase remaining budget |
| SC503 | CCTV Technology Upgrade | 150 | 0 | 160 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Project due to be 0 completed by 31st March 2012 |
| SC513 | Crematory Refurbishment | 206 | 0 | 206 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Project due to be 0 completed by 31st March 2012 |
| SC515 | Replacement CCTV Cameras | 70 | 0 | 70 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Project due to be 0 completed by 31st March 2012 |
|  | Total Projects | 2,606 | 0 | 2,441 | (165) | 147 | 0 | 0 | (18) |  |
| PR006 | Safer City Programme | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Anticipating that the 0 grants will be allocated in the current year |
| PR025 | New Town Community Development Capital Grants Programme (S106) | 124 | 0 | 10 | (114) | 114 | 0 | 0 |  | £20k allocated to Centre at St Paul's (subject to approval 12/1/12). Working with Newtown forum to bring forward projects from their programme. Rephase remaining budget. |
| PR026 | Community Development Grants Programme (S106) | 150 | 0 | 127 | (23) | 23 | 0 | 0 | (0) | Spend dependent upon grant applicants meeting their construction programme. Rephase remaining budget. |
|  | Total Programmes | 291 | 0 | 154 | (137) | 137 | 0 | 0 | (0) | ) |
| Com | munity Development \& Health | 2,897 | 0 | 2,595 | (302) | 284 | 0 | 0 | (18) |  |



Environmental \& Waste Services
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|  |  | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  | $£ 000$ | £000 |  |
| PR016 | Public Conveniences | 363 |  | 6 | (357) | 357 |  | 0 | 0 |  | The Silver Street conveniences project will not commence this year and it is requested that the budget be rephased to 2012/13. |
| PR017 | City Services - Vehicle Replacement Programme | 611 |  | 450 | (161) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | (161) | The total spend is expected to be no more than $£ 450 \mathrm{k}$ based on the purchase and replacement of life expired vehicles during the current year. |
|  | Total Programmes | 974 |  | 456 | (518) | 357 |  | 0 | 0 | (161) |  |
| Environ | nment \& Waste Services | 1,236 |  | 634 | (602) | 406 |  | 0 | 0 | (196) |  |

Planning \& Sustainable Transport

| SC033B | CCTV Street Lighting | 40 | 0 | 0 | (40) | 40 | 0 | 0 | that arise from the County Council's PFI lighting contract over the next two years, particularly in the historic core. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC366 | Green Parking Bays | 2 | 0 | 0 | (2) | 2 | 0 | 0 | Is currently on hold and will be incoporated into 0 the services signage and Traffic Regulation Order review. |
| SC368 | GIS Phase 2 \& 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Project completed. 0 Training cost to be charged. |
| SC417 | Development of UNIform System | 14 | 0 | 0 | (14) | 14 | 0 | 0 | Potential project list in place e.g Conditions Monitoring Module, BC Submission of online applications etc. To be reviewed by Head of Planning as part of service planning process. |
| SC420 | Corrosion Monitoring System at Park Street | 2 | 0 | 1 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Appointment of contractor completed. <br> (1) Results of exercise to be delivered over the next 9 months. Invoice paid. |
| SC421 | E\&P Server Replacements | 5 | 0 | 0 | (5) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (5) Project complete. |
| SC439 | LED Lighting - Grand Arcade Annex Car Park | 115 | 0 | 115 | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Works delayed due to <br> (0) contractual difficulties. Works anticipated to be completed Jan/Feb 2012. |
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|  |  |  | Cust | mer | rvice | \& Re | urces |  |  |  |
| SC361 | Disabled Access and Facilities - Guildhall Halls | 80 | 0 | 0 | (80) | 80 | 0 | 0 |  | This is work to provide disabled access to the Guildhall Street entrance to the Guildhall and to provide wheelchair 0 access to the Guildhall stage. Work is continuing to identify the most appropriate solutions. Anticipated completion is now Summer 2012. |
| SC335 | Customer Access Strategy - IT Workstream | 122 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Outstanding IT web self serve integration from the Customer Access Strategy (CAS) project ongoing 0 and expected to be on budget by year end. Any remaining funds will be given back to the CAS business case. |
| SC517 | Re-Line Fuel Tanks | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | On target for completion in January 2012. |
| SC192 | Development Land on the North Side of Kings Hedges Road | 182 | 0 | 178 | (4) | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | The variance relates to expenditure profiling differences from the managing agent's original estimates for the 2011/12 financial year. Budget of £4k needs to be rephased to the 2012/13 financial year to correspond with the managing agent's revised estimates. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SC221 } \\ b \end{gathered}$ | Lion Yard - Contribution to Works - Phase 2 | 931 | 0 | 80 | (851) | 851 | 0 | 0 |  | The majority of the 2011/12 scheme costs are likely to be for professional 0 fees. The construction costs are likely to be incurred in the 2012/13 financial year. |
| SC391 | La Mimosa Punting Station | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Investigating possible 0 match funding by punt operators. |
| SC508 | E-Benefits | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Project is on schedule. |
| SC458 | Capita Re-Licence | 5 | 0 | 1 | (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ) Scheme completed. |
| SC509 | Electric Courier Van | 14 | 0 | 0 | (14) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (14) | The capital scheme needs to be deleted from the Capital plan. The ) expenditure that has been incurred is of a Revenue nature. Scheme has been completed. |
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| SC427 | Oracle Financials Server | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | The new server has been sucessfully deployed and testing of Oracle 0 Financials and Business Objects, prior to going live, is currently in progress. |
| SC329 | Corporate Document Management (DIP \& EDRM) | 454 | 0 | 104 | (350) | 350 | 0 | 0 |  | The programme of work is approaching the end of its first major phase, with the completion of customer access related services. It had always been planned to reexamine the project timetable at this time, and to determine the priority services for the next phases. Work on the remainder of the programme is therefore being re-planned, and will lead to spend later in the programme than originally forecast. |
| SC429 | Telephony System Upgrade | 20 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Minor overspend on the Replacement of Power <br> 3 Cabinets project. The overspend will be funded from the Telephones repairs and renewals fund |
| SC338 | Customer Access Strategy - Web Development | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 Scheme completed. |
| SC312 | Automated Energy Monitoring System | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Currently investigating the introduction of new 0 technology with regard to recording gas consumption. |
| SC362 | Lighting and Power in Committee Rooms | 15 | 0 | 0 | (15) | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | Design being prepared following completion of 0 the audio visual equipment capital scheme. |
| SC507 | Visit Cambridge Website | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | The tender for the new website will be awarded by the end November. The new website is due to be launched March/April 2012 and there is no anticipated underpsend at year end. |
|  | Total Projects | 1,983 | 0 | 668 | $(1,315)$ | 1,300 | 0 | 0 | (15) |  |
| PR020 | ICT Infrastructure Programme | 929 | 0 | 879 | (50) | 50 | 0 | 0 |  | The programme is dependent on delivery of 0 key projects from external suppliers, and approval of project appraisals. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  | £000 | $£ 000$ |  |
| PR023 | Admin Buildings Asse $\dagger$ Replacement Programme | 68 | 0 | 58 | (10) | 10 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Budget of £10k needs to be re-phased to the 2012/13 financial year to correspond with the current work programme. |
| PR024 | Commercial Properties Asset Replacement Programme | 63 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | Programme is on schedule |
| PR003 | City Centre <br> Management <br> Programme | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Schemes to the value of £9k have been approved. The programme is being actively promoted and historically applications have come during the second half of the year. |
|  | Total Programmes | 1,080 | 0 | 1,020 | (60) | 60 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Custom | mer Services \& Resources | 3,063 | 0 | 1,688 | $(1,375)$ | 1,360 |  | 0 | 0 | (15) |  |

## Strategy \& Climate Change

| SC504 | Solar PV Panel Installation | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (224) | Following a reduction in the return from the Feed In Tariff scheme this project is now cancelled (see budget proposal C2982) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Projects | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (224) |  |
| Strate | gy \& Climate Change | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (224) |  |

## Summary

| Arts Sport \& Public Places | 5,587 | 0 | 2,584 | $(3,003)$ | 1,833 | 717 | 393 | (60) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Development \& Health | 2,897 | 0 | 2,595 | (302) | 284 | 0 | 0 | (18) |
| Housing | 522 | 0 | 72 | (450) | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental \& Waste Services | 1,236 | 0 | 634 | (602) | 406 | 0 | 0 | (196) |
| Planning \& Sustainable Transport | 1,731 | 0 | 448 | $(1,283)$ | 1,256 | 0 | 0 | (27) |
| Customer Services \& Resources | 3,063 | 0 | 1,688 | $(1,375)$ | 1,360 | 0 | 0 | (15) |
| Strategy \& Climate Change | 224 | 0 | 0 | (224) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (224) |
| Total | 15,260 | 0 | 8,022 | $(7,238)$ | 5,589 | 717 | 393 | (539) |
| Housing Capital Investment Programme | 20,669 | 0 | 19,519 | $(1,150)$ | 1,150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding

C2752
Creation of New Allotment Site
$0 \quad 15,000$
0
00
0 Alistair Wilson



Programme of

$$
0 \quad 75,000 \quad 75,000 \quad 75,000
$$

75,000 Alistair Wilson
H
replacement of Parks and Open Space Waste/ Litter Bins

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$0 \quad 75,000 \quad 75,000 \quad 75,000 \quad 75,000$
 'Space, and to create opportunities for recycling. An additional bid has been included for a' lifter bin replacement programme in the Environmental and Waste Services portfolio. [See also iC2806]


[^8]2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

| Total Bids requiring funding | 0 | 290,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding | 0 | 180,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| Total Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places | 0 | 290,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| Requirement for Funding : Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places | 0 | 180,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding


| C2959 | Release of Capital Funding for Safer City Grants | 0 | $(17,000)$ | $(17,000)$ | $(17,000)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) <br> $0(17,000)(17,000)(17,000)$ |  |  |  |  |


IGrants is proposed to be released, as a direct result of a bid for additional ongoing revenue ,grant funding, which better meets the requirements of grant recipients. [See also PPF2862]

Total Bids requiring funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring
funding funding

| 0 | 103,000 | $(17,000)$ | $(17,000)$ | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 53,000 | $(17,000)$ | $(17,000)$ | 0 |

# 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF 
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

Bids to existing funding

C2942

C2944

C2990

## Cambridge Crematorium Chapels \& Public Areas Refurbishment

$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 120,000 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
0
0 Tracy Lawrence

 Imeet current customer needs. This work will be undertaken once the mercury abatement ${ }^{1}$ lproject is complete. Works may include carpets, flooring and seating in addition to iredecoration. This will be funded from existing R\&R funds.

Refurbishment of Staff room to incorporate garage conversion

030,000
0
0
0 Tracy Lawrence

H

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
 Ifollowing the conversionof existing staff rest room into offices for the commemorations team. IThis will create an area where staff are able to take their breaks which includes space for a ı'quiet' area. This will be funded from existing $R \& R$ funds.

Clay Farm Community
Centre - Phase 1

0 420,000 230,000
0
0 Alan Carter
H

## Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) <br> $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$


${ }^{\prime}$ Clay Farm. Other partners include the Primary Care Trust, the County Council, South' 'Cambridgeshire District Council and Cambridgeshire Partnerships Limited.
${ }^{\prime}$ Facilities will include a community centre \& café, a youth wing with games area, band \& DJ' 'room, a public library, a health centre, flexible spaces for primary care support services and' |touchdown facilities \& accommodation for Police and social services. In addition, up to 20 , affordable flats will be incorporated into the design. This initial bid covers design fees. The total ,project cost, including fees, being $£ 8.2 \mathrm{~m}$ which will be provided by developer contributions, , and other sources. A full bid during the 2013/14 budget round will address the need for, ,prudential borrowing to 2029/30.

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

 Total Bids to existing funding Requirement for Funding : Bids to existing fundingTotal Community Services - Community Development \& Health
Requirement for Funding : Community Services - Community Development \& Health

| 0 | 570,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 673,000 | 213,000 | $(17,000)$ | 0 |
| 0 | 53,000 | $(17,000)$ | $(17,000)$ | 0 |
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding


#### Abstract

C2810 Grant funding bid for Cambridge Property Accreditation Scheme (CPAS) $0 \quad 50,000$ 0 0 0 Jo Dicks H  'Scheme) was supported by external funding from the East of England Regional Assembly' ${ }^{\prime}(E E R A)$ to assist landords to improve their property and to meet higher standards. This funding Ihas now ceased. This has benefited 51 properties over the last two years. The bid is for City 'Council grant funding for individual properties of up to $£ 3,000$ to assist them to reach higher' 'standards of energy performance required to meet the standard for accreditation.' IThe aim of the accreditation scheme is to raise the standard of private rented laccommodation in a number of areas. The grant although an incentive for landlords to bring Itheir properties up to the necessary standards is aimed at improving the energy efficiency of the property which is of primary benefit to the tenant, not the landlord, who will gain through Ilower energy bills. $\qquad$


C2811 Funding to increase the uptake of energy efficiency improvements to private sector housing.
$0 \quad 150,000$
0
0
0 Jo Dicks
H

## Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)

$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 150,000 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

[^9]
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

Bid to allow for changes to internal office layout for Housing Options / Choice Based Lettings
$0 \quad 10,000$
0
0
0 Alan Carter

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 10,000 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

'Based Lettings Team in Hobson House, to better facilitate proposed new working practices as! ,part of a service review, and resulting restructure of the teams, from April 2012.

Total Bids requiring funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding

| 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Community Services - Housing

Bids to existing funding


Total Bids to existing funding
Requirement for Funding: Bids to existing funding

| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 310,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding

| C2804Extension of current Public <br> Conveniences <br> programme <br> (Refurbishment of Lion <br> Yard toilets) | $0 \quad 300,000$ | 0 | 0 | 0 Toni Ainley |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) <br> 

 'Council. Please note that this figure is a preliminary estimate and may change when a' ,building surveyor's report has been received.

0
0
0 Toni Ainley
H

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 15,000 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
 Iservices. This would improve efficiency and assist in meeting the reduction of our carbon ,footprint.

| 0 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |

 'highways across the City. It is proposed to do this a joint procurment exercise (if sucessful) with' the capital bid for Parks bins. The duration of the procurement would be over 4 years. An 'additional bid has been included for a litter bin replacement programme in the Arts, Sport and ' 'Public Places portfolio. [See also C2753]
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

In cab technology for trade waste service


'collection issues live on a tablet device in the cab which is then linked to a web based'
'software system. The system will provide an up to date round showing all customers' collections'
'for each day on a display in the collection vehicle. This will help prevent missed calls, the Ineed for return visits and reduce fuel spend for the section. Also information collected will be 'directly available at the Customer Service Centre enabling officers to answer customer 'queries more effectively. [See also PPF2798]

| Total Bids requiring funding | 0 | 456,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding | 0 | 456,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Total Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services | 0 | 456,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Requirement for Funding : Environment Environmental \& Waste Services | 0 | 456,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

Capital Bids
Bids requiring funding

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 60,000 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

Istreet lighting provision be funded by the district authority. It is proposed that the streetlights'
${ }^{\prime}$ from the railway bridge to Perne Road be upgraded to the same standard as those from East ${ }^{\prime}$
'Road to the Railway Bridge, in line with the recent extension of the conservation area and in' lorder to unify the two sections of Mill Road. The cost is based on the increased capital for the
'heritage style column and lantern as well as a 40 year commuted sum for the assessed' lincrease in maintenance liability.
\|
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

C2958
Refurbishment of Park Street Car Park

0
0 1,700,000 1,700,000
0 Paul Necus
H

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) } \\
0 & 0 & 1,700,000 \quad 1,700,000
\end{array}
$$


'will maintain this car park and protect its revenve stream for approximately 15 years. An' Iassociated bid for income reduction was incorporated into the Medium Term Strategy 2011.
${ }_{1}$ This replaces item H28 on the Capital Hold List.

Total Bids requiring funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding

| $\mathbf{0}$ | 260,000 | $1,900,000$ | $1,700,000$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 260,000 | $1,900,000$ | $1,700,000$ | 0 |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - GF
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

Bids to existing funding

| C2970 | Repairs to Grafton West Car Park | 0 | 150,000 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  'resurfacing and lining repairs together with directional signage and redecoration of walls and' Iceilings at the Grafton West Car Park. This bid will be met from existing Repairs \& Renewals ıFunds. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding


#### Abstract

C2739 Additional Two Desks and Interview Room in Customer Service Centre 

0 Chris Bolton ${ }^{\text {CCusto }}$ Iinstallation will allow capacity for face to face visitors to be increased by approximately $30 \%$ | , at a time of increasing demand for Cambridge City council services.


H

0
0
0 Chris Bolton

> Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
> $0 \quad 25,000$ 0
 linformation regarding Cambridge City services to be accessed by residents in their locality. 'This proposal will allow residents to self serve and free resources to meet an increasing' Idemand for customer services resulting from the economic downturn. [See also SR2749 ISR2926]
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

C2808

The introduction of a metered system for the supply of electricity on the Market

| 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Omma

Total Bids requiring funding
Requirement for Funding : Bids requiring funding

| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Priority |  |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

Bids to existing funding

C2809 | Electronic Market |
| :--- |
| Management System |

| 0 | 22,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

 'administration, improve the accuracy of invoicing and assist in the control of rent arrears. The ${ }^{1}$ 'new computer system will improve the efficiency of the administrative management of the 'market including stall allocations, traders attendance recording, billing for additional items' 'and the accuracy of rent cards. The aim is for it to interface with the council's financial 'systems and to provide timely management information. The new system will allow the Markets' Iteam to increase the time spent on daily operational issues, improve their visibility on the IMarket and to move forward the various projects identified in the Markets Improvement Plan. IThis in turn provides the opportunity to increase the focus on maximising occupancy and Itherefore revenue from the market. This is to be funded from a bid to the Technology IInvestment Fund (TIF). [See also PPF2949] I
$\qquad$

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
 'services have delayed the replacement of PC's whilst the Council has explored the 'opportunity to deploy virtual desktop infrastructure (dumb terminals) and as a result there are 'now over 250 PC's which are more than 7 years old. These are past their useful operational life' 'as the normal replacement cycle is between 3-5 years. The replacement programme would 'be funded totally from R\&R. It is likely that the replacement programme will be a mix of virtual 'desktops and physical PC devices.

Total Bids to existing funding Requirement for Funding : Bids to existing funding

| 0 | 372,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 472,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding


#### Abstract

C2966 Installation of solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency measures on non-housing properties.

0 140,000 0 0 0 David

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) $0 \begin{array}{lllll}0 & 140,000 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$  'footprint and generate a 20 year financial return on investment from Government's Clean' 'Energy Cash Back scheme. This investment will generate income from feed in tariffs and' 'energy bill savings from installation of solar thermal panels on 3 non housing Council properties ${ }^{1}$ Ito reduce the Council's carbon footprint. Savings have been calculated net of maintenance lcosts and are anticipated for the full 20 year lifetime of the feed in tariff scheme and would lincrease with rising gas prices. [See also S2967] $\qquad$


H

C2982 Cancellation of Solar PV panel installation
$0 \quad(224,000)$
0
0
0 Andrew Limb
H

## Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) <br> 

 '2011/12 budget (C2656). Following a proposed reduction in the Government's Feed in Tariff,' 'the expected internal rate of return on this project fell to $2 \%$ - the Council can achieve a' 'significantly higher rate of return by investing in solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency' Imeasures, hence the cancellation of this project.
I See bids C281, C2736, C2807 and C2966, and S2964 and S2967, for new renewables and lenergy efficiency projects and savings. [See also UR2984] I
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Appendix: Page 18 of 18

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

## Bids to existing funding



## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - HRA

Appendix: Page 1 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

## Capital Bids

Bids requiring funding

| C2907 | Purchase of Anti-Social <br> Behaviour (ASB) Database <br> with a Case Management <br> System and Associated <br> Server | 0 | 27,020 | 0 | 0 | Lynda Kilkelly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

> Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
> $0 \quad 27,020$
 'Orchard system used by ASB and housing officers. The service is currently at risk of challenge, 'in that it does not adequately capture equalities data. It is highly deficient as a reporting ${ }^{\prime}$ |tool.A new system will to lead to service delivery improvements, with better case monitoring| land management and performance management and reporting information available.It will ıalso allow improved targeting of our services. [See also S2867, UR2880, RB2882, PPF 2879]

Bid to convert and

 llease [See also C2943]

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - HRA

Appendix: Page 2 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

Bid to fully refurbish the Extra Care Housing at Ditchburn Place
$03,075,000$
0
0
0 Robert Hollingsworth

## Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)

$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 3,075,000 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
 'Programme to facilitate a full refurbishment of the extra care housing at Ditchburn Place. This' 'funding will allow major works to the scheme to re-provide 36 units of extra care housing to ' Imodern and desirable standards, allowing fully independent living, which would also easily bel Ire-configured for use as alternative accommodation if the County Council were not to require the scheme for extra care in years to come. This bid assumes the borrowing of resource 'through the headroom anticipated under HRA Self-Financing.

$$
0 \begin{aligned}
& \text { David } \\
& \text { Kidston }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$ efficiency measures on housing properties.

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 60,000 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$


Ifootprint and generate a 20 year financial return on investment from Government's Clean'
'Energy Cash Back scheme. 1 property has been assessed as potentially financially viable, and ' |the budget would be used to assess technical viability, purchase and install solar panels and | associated structural and electrical works. If at the site assesment stage the site is found Iunsuitable, this money will be spent on energy effciency measures instead. [See also C2966]

[^10]
## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - HRA

Appendix: Page 3 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

Capital bid to allow re-purchase of ex-council homes under the right of first refusal
$03330,000330,000 \quad 330,000$ Sarah Lyons
H

Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above)
$03330,000330,000330,000$ 0
 'owner occupiers before they are openly marketed. There are clear circumstances where this' 'might be of benefit to the Council - to meet a specific housing need or on a potential' ldevelopment site. The time frame to enact the Council's right is short, and this capital ıallocation, for the period of the 3 year affordable housing programme, will allow the „re-purchase of up to 2 dwellings per year, if appropriate.

 '2011/12 budget (C2655). Following a proposed reduction in the Government's Feed in Tariff, ' 'the expected internal rate of return on this project fell to $2 \%$ - the Council can achieve a' |significantly higher rate of return by investing in solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency ımeasures, hence the cancellation of this project.
I(See C2965 for new renewable energy project) [See also UR2983]

 Ito supply and install the technology at Ditchburn Place.Through reduced electricity usage, the Iproject is expected to achieve savings, which will in turn be passed on to residents of the ischeme through reductions in service charges. [See also C2807]


\section*{| 2012/13 Budget - Capital |
| :--- |
| Reference Description / Justification |
| Housing Revenue Account |}



| C2993 | Energy efficiency measures at Ditchburn Place | $0 \quad 75,000$ |  |  | 0 | 0 David Kidston |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Requirement for Capital Funding (included Above) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  'include improved heating and lighting systems and controls, with the benefit of any reduced' lenergy costs being passed on to residents in the form of lower service charges. [See also iC2807] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Bids requiring funding |  | $(208,000)$ | 3,634,970 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 0 |
| Requirement for Funding: Bids requiring funding |  | $(208,000)$ | $3,634,970$ | 330,000 | 330,000 | 0 |

## 2012/13 Budget - Capital Bids \& Funding - HRA

Appendix: Page 5 of 5

| Reference | Description / Justification | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |  | Cttee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget |  | Priority |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | Contact | (Bids) |

## Housing Revenue Account

Bids to existing funding

| C2931 | Bid to replace Orchard Business Objects Server and upgrade the associated reporting software | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Requirement for Capital } & \text { Funding (included Above) } \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  Icontinued reporting from the Orchard Housing Management Information System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Bids to existing funding Requirement for Funding : Bids to existing funding |  | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Housing Revenue Account <br> Requirement for Funding: Housing Revenue Account |  | $(198,000)$ | 3,634,970 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 0 |
|  |  | $(208,000)$ | 3,634,970 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 0 |


| Portfolio | Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Current Budget 2011/12 | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | SC453 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity <br> Project <br> (Developer Contributions) | G Belcher | £118,000 | Project fully developed. Loss of original Developer Contributions funding for habitat creation requires either a bid to reserves or alternative Developer Contributions funding streams. Project transferred to the Hold List until resolved. |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | SC455 | Logans Meadow LNR Extension (Developer Contributions) | G Belcher | £188,000 | Project still in development phase. Loss of original Developer Contributions funding for habitat creation requires either a bid to reserves or alternative funding streams. Project transferred to the Hold List until resolved. |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | SC465 | Upper River Cam Biodiversity Public Art (Developer Contributions) | A Preston | £29,000 | Project has been put on hold whilst the funding issues for the wider Local Nature Reserve Biodiversity Project are resolved. |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | SC472 | Cherry Hinton Hall Vending Kiosk <br> (Developer Contributions) | A Preston | £15,000 | Project is incorporated within the Heritage Lottery Fund bid which is due to be submitted in August 2012 and determined by December 2012. It is therefore recommended to be put on the Hold List until the outcome of this bid is known. |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | SC473 | Cherry Hinton Hall Pond \& Lake Restorations (Developer Contributions) | A Preston | £25,000 | Project is incorporated within the Heritage Lottery Fund bid which is due to be submitted in August 2012 and determined by December 2012. It is therefore recommended to be put on the Hold List until the outcome of this bid is known. |
| Arts, Sport \& Public Places | SC475 | Nightingale Rec Pavilion Refurbishment (Developer Contributions) | I Ross | £18,000 | Transferred to Hold List pending approval of funding source |
| Total for Arts, Sport \& Public Places |  |  |  | £393,000 |  |

## Appendix Q

## Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan Expenditure \& Funding (£ ‘000s)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ £ 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ £ 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ £ 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ £ 000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projects | 10,384 | 2,468 | 74 | 0 | 0 |
| Programmes | 25,545 | 10,319 | 10,371 | 10,300 | 7,295 |
| Total Expenditure | 35,929 | 12,787 | 10,445 | 10,300 | 7,295 |
| Scrutiny Committee Reports |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Rephasing - GF | $(5,589)$ | 5,589 |  |  |  |
| Capital Rephasing - HRA | $(1,150)$ | 1,150 |  |  |  |
| Devolved to Area Committees | (717) |  |  |  |  |
| To Hold List | (393) |  |  |  |  |
| Over / Underspends | (539) |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Proposals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bids (Appendix O) |  |  |  |  |  |
| GF |  | 2,575 | 2,313 | 1,883 | 200 |
| HRA | (198) | 3,635 | 330 | 330 |  |
| Contribution to CCF |  | 129 |  |  |  |
| Proposed Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan (BSR) | 27,343 | 25,865 | 13,088 | 12,513 | 7,495 |
| Available Funding (MTS Sept 211) | $(36,089)$ | $(13,730)$ | $(11,543)$ | $(11,393)$ | $(8,675)$ |
| Scrutiny Committee Reports |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Rephasing - GF | 5,589 | $(5,589)$ |  |  |  |
| Capital Rephasing - HRA | 1,150 | $(1,150)$ |  |  |  |
| Devolved to Area Committees | 717 |  |  |  |  |
| To Hold List | 393 |  |  |  |  |
| Over / Underspends | 539 |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Proposals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Reserves Funding Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| GF |  | $(1,400)$ | (230) | 0 | 0 |
| HRA | 198 | $(3,635)$ | (330) | (330) | 0 |
| Net Cash limit underspend $11 / 12$ \& 12/13 |  | (121) |  |  |  |
| 2012/13 PPF Funding not applied |  | (244) |  |  |  |
| Unapplied balance of New Homes Bonus funding |  | (703) |  |  |  |
| US of GF Reserves down to £5m target level |  | (370) | (70) | (954) | 318 |
| Total Available Funding | $(27,503)$ | $(26,942)$ | $(12,173)$ | $(12,677)$ | $(8,357)$ |
| (Surplus) / Shortfall in Funding | (160) | $(1,077)$ | 915 | (164) | (862) |


| Ref | Project | Climate <br> Change <br> Indicator | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## General Fund

## Community Services - Arts, Sport \& Public Places

\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|c|c|c|c|}\hline C 2752 \& Creation of New Allotment Site \& +M \& \& 15,000 \& <br>
\hline C2753 \& \begin{array}{l}Programme of replacement of <br>
Parks and Open Space Waste/ <br>

Litter Bins\end{array} \& +M \& \& 75,000 \& 75,000\end{array}\right]\)| 75,000 |
| :--- |
| C2754 |
| Installation of new sound <br> equipment at Cambridge Corn <br> Exchange |
| $+M$ |

## Community Services - Community Development \& Health

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { C2942 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Cambridge Crematorium - } \\ \text { Chapels \& Public Areas } \\ \text { Refurbishment }\end{array} & \text { Nil } & & 120,000 & & \\ \hline \text { C2943 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Refurbishment of Newmarket } \\ \text { Road Cemetery buildings }\end{array} & + \text { L } & & 120,000 & & \\ \hline \text { C2944 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Refurbishment of Staff room to } \\ \text { incorporate garage conversion }\end{array} & \text { Nil } & & 30,000 & & \\ \hline \text { C2959 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Release of Capital Funding for } \\ \text { Safer City Grants }\end{array} & \text { n/a } & & (17,000) & (17,000) & (17,000)\end{array}\right]$

Community Services - Housing

| C2810 | Grant funding bid for Cambridge <br> Property Accreditation Scheme <br> (CPAS) | +M |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2811 | Funding to increase the uptake of <br> energy efficiency improvements to <br> private sector housing. | +H |  | 150,000 |  |  |
| C2960 | Bid to allow for changes to internal <br> office layout for Housing Options / <br> Choice Based Lettings | Nil |  | 10,000 |  |  |
| C2985 | Funding to upgrade facilities at <br> 125 Newmarket Road | +L |  | 100,000 |  |  |

Environment - Environmental \& Waste Services

| C2804 | Extension of current Public <br> Conveniences programme <br> (Refurbishment of Lion Yard toilets) | +M |  | 300,000 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2805 | Street cleaning planning software | +L |  | 15,000 |  |  |
| C2806 | Litter bin replacement programme <br> (new) | Nil |  | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| C2929 | In cab technology for trade waste <br> service | +M |  | 16,000 |  |  |

Environment - Planning \& Sustainable Transport

| C2755 | Cambridge City 20mph Zones <br> Project | $+M$ |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2822 | lmprovement to street lighting on <br> Mill Road from the Railway Bridge <br> to Perne Road | $+M$ |  | 60,000 |  |  |

Capital Bids with Climate Change Ratings

| Ref | Projecł | Climate Change Indicator | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2958 | Refurbishment of Park Street Car Park | n/a |  |  | 1,700,000 | 1,700,000 |  |
| C2970 | Repairs to Grafton West Car Park | Nil |  | 150,000 |  |  |  |
| C2971 | Replace obsolete Shopmobility stock | Nil |  | 15,000 |  |  |  |

Strategy \& Resources - Customer Services \& Resources

| C2739 | Additional Two Desks and <br> Interview Room in Customer <br> Service Centre | Nil |  | 25,000 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2740 | Information Kiosks to be installed in <br> local areas | +L |  | 25,000 |  |  |
| C2808 | The introduction of a metered <br> system for the supply of electricity <br> on the Market | +M |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| C2809 | Electronic Market Management <br> System | Nil |  | 22,000 |  |  |
| C2920 | Corporate PC Replacement <br> Programme | +L |  | 350,000 |  |  |

Strategy \& Resources - Strategy \& Climate Change

| C2736 | Voltage Optimisation Roll Out | +H |  | 32,700 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2966 | Installation of solar thermal panels <br> and/or energy efficiency <br> measures on non-housing <br> properties. | +H |  | 140,000 |  |  |
| C2982 | Cancellation of Solar PV panel <br> installation | Nil |  | $(224,000)$ |  |  |
| Total Funding Required from Reserves : General Fund |  | $\mathbf{2 , 5 7 4 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 1 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

## 2012/13 Budget

| Ref | Project | Climate <br> Change <br> Indicator | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## HRA

## Housing Revenue Account

| C2907 | Purchase of Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) Database with a Case Management System and Associated Server | Nil |  | 27,020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2908 | Bid to convert and re-claim part of an HRA dwelling asset for future re-letting | Nil |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| C2919 | Bid to fully refurbish the Extra Care Housing at Ditchburn Place | +M |  | 3,075,000 |  |  |
| C2931 | Bid to replace Orchard Business Objects Server and upgrade the associated reporting software | Nil | 10,000 |  |  |  |
| C2965 | Installation of solar thermal panels and/or energy efficiency measures on housing properties. | +H |  | 60,000 |  |  |
| C2972 | Capital bid to allow re-purchase of ex-council homes under the right of first refusal | Nil |  | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 |
| C2981 | Cancellation of Solar PV panel installation | Nil | $(208,000)$ |  |  |  |
| C2991 | Voltage Optimisation at Ditchburn Place | +H |  | 13,950 |  |  |
| C2992 | Lighting control improvements at East Road garages | +H |  | 4,000 |  |  |
| C2993 | Energy efficiency measures at Ditchburn Place | +H |  | 75,000 |  |  |
| Total Funding Required from Reserves: HRA |  |  | $(198,000)$ | 3,634,970 | 330,000 | 330,000 |

## Appendix T(a)

## Capital Prudential Indicators 2012/13-2014/15

The Council's capital expenditure plans are a key driver of treasury management activity. Capital expenditure plans are reflected in prudential indicators, which are designed to provide members with an overview of the impact of capital expenditure.

A key issue currently facing the Council is preparations for the impact of HRA reform. The reforms will essentially end the housing subsidy system and will see the HRA as a stand-alone business. The legislation has now been enacted, and the Council will need to approve revised indicators reflecting the reforms going ahead.

The Council currently pays into the HRA housing subsidy system approximately £13m per year. On the ending of the current subsidy system the Council will be required to make a one-off payment to the Government which is provisionally estimated to be $£ 214.384 \mathrm{~m}$. This payment is effectively HRA debt, and so the prudential indicators have been adjusted to reflect this change. The actual payment will be made on the 28 March 2012 and so the indicators will take immediate effect from the approval of these limits by Council.

## Capital Expenditure

This Prudential Indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

| Capital Expendifure £000 | 2010/11 Actual | 2011/12 <br> Estimate | 2012/13 Estimate | 2013/14 Estimate | 2014/15 Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | 5,032 | 18,817 | 5,756 | 3,278 | 3,193 |
| HRA | 9,514 | 17,112 | 7,031 | 7,167 | 7,107 |
| HRA settlement | - | 214,384 | - |  |  |
| Total | 14,546 | 250,313 | 12,787 | 10,445 | 10,300 |
| Financed by: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital receipts | (792) | $(6,227)$ | (840) | (803) | (782) |


| Other contributions | $(13,754)$ | $(29,702)$ | $(11,947)$ | $(9,642)$ | $(9,518)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net financing need for <br> the year | 0 | 214,384 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## The Council's Borrowing Need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second Prudential Indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure for which borrowing is required will increase the CFR.

Following accounting changes, the CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. PFI schemes, finance leases) brought onto the balance sheet. Whilst this increases the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below

| £000 | 2010/11 <br> Actual | 2011/12 <br> Estimate | 2012/13 <br> Estimate | 2013/14 <br> Estimate | $2014 / 15$ <br> Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Financing Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund CFR | $(894)$ | $(894)$ | $(894)$ | $(894)$ | (894) |
| HRA CFR | 893 | 893 | 205,277 | 195,277 | 185,277 |
| HRA Settlement | - | 214,384 | - | - | - |
| Total CFR 1 | $(1)$ | 214,383 | 204,383 | 194,383 | 184,383 |


| Movement in CFR represented by * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net financing need for the year | (1) | 1 | $(204,383)$ | $(194,383)$ | (184,383) |
| HRA Settlement | - | $(214,384)$ | - | - |  |
| Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements | - | - | - | - | - |
| Movement in CFR | (0) | $(214,383)$ | $(204,383)$ | $(194,383)$ | $(184,383)$ |

*the table assumes that loan repayments are based on Equal Instalments of Principal (EIP), for illustrative purposes only.

[^11]
## Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement 2012/13

As this Council is currently debt free and has no immediate plans to borrow externally to finance any General Fund capital expenditure in 2012/13, there is no need for this Council to determine a MRP policy for the forthcoming year. No revenue charge is currently required for the HRA. However under HRA reform the HRA will be required to charge depreciation on its assets, which will have a revenue effect. In order to address any possible adverse impact, regulations will allow the Major Repairs Allowance to be used as a proxy for depreciation for the first five years.

## Treasury Management Strategy

Part of the treasury management function is to ensure that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet its capital expenditure. This involves both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of approporiate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual deposit strategy.

## Current Portfolio Position

The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2011, with forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual net external borrowing (for treasury management operations).

| £000 | $2010 / 11$ <br> Actual | 2011/12 <br> Estimate | $2012 / 13$ <br> Estimałe | 2013/14 <br> Estimate | $2014 / 15$ <br> Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| External borrowing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Borrowing at 31st March | 1 | $(214,384)$ | $(204,383)$ | $(194,383)$ | $(184,383)$ |
| Other long-term liabilities (OLTL) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Actual borrowing requirement at 31 March | 1 | $(214,383)$ | $(204,383)$ | $(194,383)$ | $(184,383)$ |
| Deposits at 31 March | 56,437 | 34,953 | 32,432 | 32,372 | 32,423 |
| Cumulative net borrowing requirement | 56,438 | $(179,430)$ | (171,951) | (162,011) | $(151,960)$ |

Within the Prudential Indicators are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its total borrowing, net of any deposits, does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2012/13 and the following two financial years (shown as cumulative net borrowing above). This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The Director of Resources reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

## Treasury Indicators: Limits to Borrowing Activity

## The Operational Boundary

The operational boundary is the limit which external borrowing is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual borrowing.

| Operational boundary £000 | 2011/12 <br> Estimate | 2012/13 <br> Estimate | 2013/14 <br> Estimate | 2014/15 <br> Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outstanding debt (including <br> settlement) | HRA | 214,384 | 204,384 | 194,384 |$|$| 184,384 |
| :--- |
| Other long term liabilities |
| Total |

## The Authorised Limit for external borrowing

A further key Prudential Indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external borrowing is prohibited, and this limit was previously set as part of the Medium Term Strategy 2011, approved by Council on 20th October 2011. It reflects the level of external borrowing which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

The Authorised Limit follows in the table below:

| Authorised limit £000 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ <br> Estimate | 2012/13 <br> Estimate | 2013/14 <br> Estimate | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ <br> Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Outstanding debt (including HRA <br> settlement) | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Other long term liabilities | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |

Separately, the Council is also limited to a maximum HRA CFR through the HRA self-financing regime. This limit is currently:

| HRA Debt Limit £000 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ <br> Estimate | 2012/13 <br> Estimate | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ <br> Estimate | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ <br> Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 231,651 | 231,651 | 231,651 | 231,651 |

## Prospects for Interest Rates

The Council has appointed Sector as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. Annex 2 draws together a number of current City forecasts for short term (Bank Rate) and longer fixed interest rates. The following table gives the Sector central view.

|  | Annual Average \% | Bank <br> Rate | Money Rates |  | PWLB Borrowing Rates |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| March 2012 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 1.50 | 2.30 | 4.20 | 4.30 |
| June 2012 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 1.50 | 2.30 | 4.20 | 4.30 |
| Sept 2012 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 1.50 | 2.30 | 4.30 | 4.40 |
| Dec2012 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 1.60 | 2.40 | 4.30 | 4.40 |
| March 2013 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.70 | 2.50 | 4.40 | 4.50 |
| June 2013 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 4.50 | 4.60 |
| Sept 2013 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.90 | 2.70 | 4.60 | 4.70 |
| Dec 2013 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 2.20 | 2.80 | 4.70 | 4.80 |
| March 2014 | 1.25 | 1.40 | 2.40 | 2.90 | 4.80 | 4.90 |
| June 2014 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 2.60 | 3.10 | 4.90 | 5.00 |

## The Economy

Growth in the UK economy is expected to be weak in the next two years and there is a risk of a technical recession (i.e. two quarters of negative growth). Bank Rate, currently $0.5 \%$, underpins deposit returns and is not expected to start increasing until quarter 3 of 2013 despite inflation currently being well above the Monetary Policy Committee inflation target. Hopes for an export led recovery appear likely to be disappointed due to the Eurozone sovereign debt crisis depressing growth in the UK's biggest export market. The Comprehensive Spending Review, which seeks to reduce the UK's annual fiscal deficit, will also depress growth during the next few years.

Fixed interest borrowing rates are based on UK gilt yields. The outlook for borrowing rates is currently much more difficult to predict. The UK total national debt is forecast to continue rising until 2015/16; the consequent increase in gilt issuance is therefore expected to be reflected in an increase in gilt yields over this period. However, gilt yields are currently at historically low levels due to investor concerns over Eurozone sovereign debt and have been subject to exceptionally high levels of volatility as events in the Eurozone debt crisis have evolved.

This challenging and uncertain economic outlook has several key treasury mangement implications:

The Eurozone sovereign debt difficulties, most evident in Greece, provide a clear indication of much higher counterparty risk. This continues to suggest the use of higher quality counterparties for shorter time periods; Deposit returns are likely to remain relatively low during 2012/13; Borrowing interest rates are currently attractive, but may remain low for some time. The timing of any borrowing will need to be monitored carefully;
There will remain a cost of capital - any borrowing undertaken that results in an increase in deposits will incur a revenue loss between borrowing costs and deposit returns.

The following tables consolidate the Prudential and Treasury Management Indicators for Cambridge City Council, from 2010/11 to 2014/15 inclusive.

| PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS | $\begin{gathered} 2010 / 11 \\ \text { actual } \\ (£ 000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ \text { probable } \\ \text { oułturn } \\ (£ 000) \end{gathered}$ | 2012/13 estimate $(£ 000)$ | 2013/14 estimate $(£ 000)$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ \text { estimate } \\ (£ 000) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund | 5,032 | 18,817 | 5,756 | 3,278 | 3,193 |
| HRA | 9,514 | 17,112 | 7,031 | 7,167 | 7,107 |
| TOTAL | 14,546 | 35,929 | 12,787 | 10,445 | 10,300 |
| Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund | (370) | (356) | (791) | $(1,606)$ | $(2,409)$ |
| HRA | (37) | (24) | 9,934 | 9,869 | 9,792 |
|  | (407) | (380) | 9,143 | 8,263 | 7,383 |
| Net borrowing requirement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Financing Requirement as at 31 March |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund | (894) | (894) | (894) | (894) | (894) |
| HRA | 893 | 215,277 | 205,277 | 195,277 | 185,277 |
| TOTAL | (1) | 214,383 | 204,383 | 194,383 | 184,383 |
| Annual change in Capital Financing Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HRA | 0 | 214,383 | 204,383 | 194,383 | 184,383 |
| TOTAL | (1) | 214,383 | 204,383 | 194,383 | 184,383 |
| Incremental impact of capital deposit decisions | £ p | £ p | £ p | £ p | £ $p$ |
| Increase in council tax (Band D, per annum) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Increase in housing rent per week | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | (no increa anticipate of the HRA | ses in eithe d in conne ) | council ta tion, speci | or housing cally, with | ents are he reform |
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| TREASURY MANAGEMENT INDICATORS | $\begin{gathered} 2010 / 11 \\ \text { acłual } \\ (£ 000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ \text { probable } \\ \text { oułturn } \\ (£ 000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { estimate } \\ (£ 000) \end{gathered}$ | $2013 / 14$ <br> estimate (£000s) | $2014 / 15$ <br> estimate (£000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorised limit for external debt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowing | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Other long term liabilities | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Operational boundary for external debt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowing | 3,000 | 214,384 | 204,384 | 194,384 | 184,384 |
| Other long term liabilities | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 3,000 | 214,384 | 204,384 | 194,384 | 184,384 |
| Actual external debt | 0 | 214,384 | 204,384 | 194,384 | 184,384 |
| Upper limit for fixed interest rate exposure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest re fixed rate borrowing / deposits | (320) | (400) | 721 | 660 | 607 |
| Upper limit for variable rate exposure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest re variable rate borrowing / deposits | (120) | (375) | (420) | (420) | (420) |
| Upper limit for total principal sums invested for over 364 days |  |  |  |  |  |
| (per maturity date) | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Maturity structure of new fixed rate borrowing during 2011/12 |  | Upper Limit |  | Lower limit |  |
| Under 12 months |  | 100\% |  | 0\% |  |
| 12 months and within 24 months |  | 100\% |  | 0\% |  |
| 24 months and within 5 years |  | 100\% |  | 0\% |  |
| 5 years and within 10 years |  | 100\% |  | 0\% |  |
| 10 years and above |  | 100\% |  | 0\% |  |

## Annex 1

## Explanatory notes on the Prudential and Treasury Indicators

## Prudential Indicators

## 1. Capital expenditure and the incremental impact of capital deposit decisions on council tax or average weekly housing rent

A fundamental indicator of the affordability of capital expenditure is the impact upon council tax or HRA housing rents. In considering the Capital Plan, the Council is required under the Prudential Code to have regard to:

- Affordability, e.g. implications for council tax
- prudence and sustainability, e.g. implications for external borrowing
- value for money, e.g. option appraisal
- stewardship of assets, e.g. asset management planning
- service objectives, e.g. strategic planning for the authority
- practicality, e.g. achievability of the forward plan.


## 2. Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

Financing costs are made up of interest payable on borrowings offset by interest receivable on deposits. Calculations are done for both General Fund and HRA and the results expressed as a \%age of net revenue stream (i.e. amounts to be met from government grants and local taxpayers).

## 3. Net borrowing requirement

CIPFA's Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities includes as a key indicator of prudence:


#### Abstract

"In order to ensure that over the medium term net borrowing will only be for a capital purpose, the local authority should ensure that net external borrowing does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current and next two years.


(Note: Net external borrowing is borrowing less deposits).

The Director of Resources reports that the Council should not have any difficulty meeting this requirement in the forthcoming or future years. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans and the proposals in this budget report.

## 4. Capital Financing Requirement

The capital financing requirement measures the authority's underlying need to borrow for a capital (not revenue) purpose. It does not necessarily mean that borrowing will be undertaken.

## Treasury Indicators

## 5. Authorised Limit and Operational Boundary (External Debt)

Although the Council is currently debt free (within the General Fund), the Prudential Code recommends that authorities approve 2 sets of borrowing limits to cover for 1) the most likely scenario and 2) uncertain events; these limits are referred to as the 'operational boundary' limit and the 'authorised' limit respectively. Both sets of limits differentiate between 'borrowing' and 'other long term liabilities', such as finance leases.

These limits are estimated after taking into account the Council's plans for capital expenditure, its capital financing requirement, and estimates of cashflow for all purposes.

## 6. Upper limits on fixed and variable interest rate exposures

The effect of setting these upper limits is to provide ranges within which the authority will manage its exposures to fixed and variable rates of interest. Once again, interest on borrowing (where required) is offset by interest on deposits. The Council does not have any debt but has a considerable amount of deposits at fixed rates.

## 7. Deposits for periods longer than 364 days

The Prudential Code obliges Councils, who plan to invest for periods longer than 364 days, to set an upper limit for such sums in each financial year. The purpose of such limits is for the Council to contain its exposure to the possibility of losses arising as a result of it having to seek early repayment or redemption of these deposits.

## Annex 2

## Interest Rate Forecasts

The data below shows a variety of forecasts published by a number of institutions. The first three are individual forecasts including those of UBS and Capital Economics (an independent forecasting consultancy).
The forecast within this strategy statement has been drawn from these diverse sources and officers' own views.

## Individual Forecasts

Sector interest rate forecast - 7 December 2011

| Dec <br> 11 | Mar <br> 12 | Jun <br> 12 | Sep <br> 12 | Dec <br> 12 | Mar <br> 13 | Jun <br> 13 | Sep <br> 13 | Dec <br> 13 | Mar <br> 14 | Jun <br> 14 | Sep <br> 14 | Dec <br> 14 | Mar <br> 15 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank <br> Rate | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.25 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| 5yr <br> PWLB <br> rate | $2.30 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ |
| 10yr <br> PWLB <br> rate | $3.30 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.60 \%$ | $4.80 \%$ |
| 25yr <br> PWLB <br> rate | $4.20 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ | $4.60 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ | $4.80 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $5.10 \%$ | $5.20 \%$ |
| 50yr <br> PWLB <br> rate | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ | $4.60 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ | $4.80 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $5.10 \%$ | $5.20 \%$ | $5.30 \%$ |

Capital Economics interest rate forecast -7 December 2011

|  | Dec <br> 11 | Mar <br> 12 | Jun <br> 12 | Sep <br> 12 | Dec <br> 12 | Mar <br> 13 | Dec <br> 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank Rate | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| 5yr PWLB rate | $2.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ |
| 10yr PWLB rate | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ |
| 25yr PWLB rate | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ |
| 50yr PWLB rate | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ |
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UBS interest rate forecast (for quarter ends) - 7 December 2011

|  | Dec 11 | Mar 12 | Jun 12 | Sep 12 | Dec 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank Rate | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| 10yr PWLB rate | $3.45 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ |
| 25yr PWLB rate | $4.80 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ |
| 50yr PWLB rate | $4.80 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |

## Appendix T(b)

## Treasury Management Borrowing Strategy

The requirement for the HRA reform settlement to be made to the CLG on 28 March 2012 will require a separate consideration of a borrowing strategy. The Council will need to have the cash settlement amount of $£ 214.384 \mathrm{~m}$ available by the 28th March 2012, so separate borrowing solely for this purpose is anticipated.

The PWLB are providing loans at interest rates $0.85 \%$ lower than the usual PWLB interest rates solely for the settlement requirements. This provides a compelling reason to utilise this borrowing availability. The exact structure of debt to be drawn is curently being considered by officers to ensure it meets the requirements of the HRA business plan and the overall requirements of the Council.

Whilst the debt can be drawn earlier than needed, this may incur a revenue cost, and will be considered when a review of the structure of actual prevailing borrowing and deposit interest rates is undertaken nearer to the time.

Any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

## Policy on Borrowing in Advance of Need

The Council will not borrow more than, or in advance of its needs, purely in order to profit from the deposit of the extra sums borrowed.

Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

## Appendix T(c)

## Treasury Management Annual Investment Strategy

## Investment Policy

The Council will have regard to the CLG's Guidance on Local Government Deposits ("the Guidance") and the 2011 revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the CIPFA TM Code").

The Council's deposit priorities are (and in this order): -

- the security of capital;
- the liquidity of its deposits; and;
- the return on its deposits.

The Council will also aim to achieve the optimum return on its deposits commensurate with proper levels of security and liquidity. The risk appetite of this Council is low in order to give priority to the security of its deposits.

In the light of the unprecedented global financial uncertainties, the following changes are recommended to the Council's Treasury Management Strategy, (which will continue for 2012/13). Part of the rationale for the changes proposed is that the Council is experiencing difficulties in placing funds with counterparties currently on its list. In particular, other local authorities have similar patterns of cash flow, meaning that there is limited demand from them for funds when we have surplus cash to invest.

The recommended changes are:

- to increase the maximum sum that can be invested with HSBC Bank Plc from $£ 12 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 16 \mathrm{~m}$. This recommendation reflects the continuing and increasing difficulties being experienced in placing money within the current market, and would allow the Council the flexibility to retain short-term money with its own bank;
- to amend the limit for a single named institution from £6m to £10m; (retaining the existing maximum sum which may be invested with
counterparties belonging to the same company group at 1.5 times the level for a single named institution); and
- to have the flexibility to use other financial means available to the Council, such as bank call accounts, notice accounts, money marke $\dagger$ funds, treasury bills and gilts. However, use of such financial instruments would be subject to an assessment in conjunction with the Council's treasury management advisors (Sector) to ensure that it would not prejudice the council's deposit priorities.

The following table provides comparative estimated outturn rates for current deposit types. This illustrates the additional returns that could be earned by increasing the maximum sums that may be invested with UK Banks and Building Societies, without significantly increasing the security of the Council's deposits.

Treasury Interest \% Rates - Estimates for 2011/12

| Deposit Type | Estimated \% Return <br> for Year |
| :--- | :---: |
| Debt Management Account Deposit Facility | $0.25 \%$ |
| HSBC Deposit A/c | $0.30 \%$ |
| Deposits with Local/Fire/Police Authorities | $0.39 \%$ |
| Deposits with UK Banks \& Building Societies | $1.01 \%$ |

The borrowing of monies purely to invest or on-lend and make a return is unlawful and this Council will not engage in such activity.

Deposit instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed on pages 204 and 205 under the headings 'Specified' and 'Non-Specified' Deposits.

## Creditworthiness policy

This Council uses the creditworthiness service provided by Sector. This service uses a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moodys and Standard and Poors. However, the Council does not rely solely on the current credit ratings of counterparties but also uses the following as overlays: -

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies
- Credit Default Swaps (CDS) spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries
(Note: CDS are a kind of insurance scheme, within the money markets, where the price for insuring against a counterparty defaulting can be monitored, e.g. traders will want to buy protection, and hence the price will increase, when they think that the credit quality of a counterparty will decrease, and vice-versa. Often CDS provide earlier warning signs of impending counterparty credit issues than would otherwise be the case if reliance was placed solely on the credit rating agencies).

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour code bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are also used by the Council to determine the duration for deposits and are therefore referred to as durational bands. The Council is satisfied that this service now gives a much improved level of security for its deposits. It is also a service which the Council would not be able to replicate using in house resources.

The selection of counterparties with a high level of creditworthiness will be achieved by selection of institutions down to a minimum durational band within Sector's weekly credit list of worldwide potential counterparties. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

## SECTOR creditworthiness service

| Colour | Duration bands |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yellow | 5 years (this category is for AAA rated Government debt) |
| Purple | 2 years |
| Blue | 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks) |
| Orange | 1 year |
| Red | 6 months |


| Green | 3 months |
| :--- | :--- |
| No Colour | Not to be used |

This Council will not use the approach suggested by CIPFA of using the lowest rating from all three rating agencies to determine creditworthy counterparties as Moodys tend to be more aggressive in giving low ratings than the other two agencies. It is considered that this would therefore be unworkable and leave the Council with few banks on its approved lending list. The Sector creditworthiness service does though, use ratings from all three agencies, but by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Credit ratings will be monitored daily. The Council is alerted to changes in ratings from all three of the credit rating agencies through its use of the SECTOR Creditworthiness Service.

If a downgrade results in the counterparty/ deposit scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new deposit will be withdrawn immediately.

In addition to the use of credit ratings, the Council will be advised of information in movements in the 'Credit Default Swap' (CDS) markets and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme movements in this market may result in the downgrade of a counterparty or removal from the Council's counterparty list.

The Council will not place sole reliance on the use of this external service and will act to protect its interests should additional market data or information bring into question the current creditworthiness of any counterparty.

## Specified Deposits:

Specified deposits are those identified as offering high security and high liquidity by reference to a formal credit rating. These are deposits that are sterling denominated, with maturities up to a maximum of 1 year and which meet the minimum 'high' credit rating criteria where applicable.

| Deposit Instrument | Minimum 'High' Credit Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Term deposits - UK Local Authorities | N/A |
| Term deposits - UK Police Authorities | N/A |
| Term deposits - UK Fire Authorities | N/A |
| Term deposits - Passenger Transport Authority | N/A |
| Term deposits - UK Nationalised Industries | N/A |
| Term deposits - UK Nationalised banks | In accordance with Sector's creditworthiness service (see above) |
| Term deposits - UK Nationalised banks (to include call accounts and notice accounts) | In accordance with Sector's creditworthiness service (see above) |
| Debt Management Account Deposit Facility | N/A |
| Term deposits - other UK banks and building societies | In accordance with Sector's creditworthiness service (see above) |
| Term deposits - other UK banks and building societies (to include call accounts and notice accounts) | In accordance with Sector's creditworthiness service (see above) |
| Term deposits - UK subsidiaries of foreign institutions | Where the parent company also meet our lending criteria and resides in a country with a sovereign credit rating of AAA. |
| UK Government Treasury bills (shorter term Government debt) | AAA |
| Money Market Funds | AAA |
| Fund Managers | AAA |

## Non-Specified Deposits

These are deposits that, by definition, do not meet the conditions laid down in the above paragraph and potentially carry additional risk, e.g. lending for periods beyond one year.

| Deposit Instrument | Minimum Credit Criteria |
| :--- | :---: |
| Term deposits - UK Local Authorities | N/A |
| Term deposits - UK Police Authorities <br> (with maturities in excess of 1 year) | N/A |
| Term deposits - UK Nationalised Industries <br> (with maturities in excess of 1 year) | N/A |
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| Deposit Instrument | Minimum Credit Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| Term deposits - UK Nationalised banks <br> (with maturities in excess of 1 year) | In accordance with Sector's <br> creditworthiness service (see above) |
| Debt Management Account Deposit <br> Facility (with maturities in excess of 1 <br> year) |  |
| Term deposits - other UK banks and <br> building societies (with maturities in <br> excess of 1 year) | In accordance with Sector's <br> creditworthiness service (see above) |
| Term deposits - UK subsidiaries of foreign <br> institutions (with maturities in excess of 1 <br> year) | Where the parent company also meet <br> our lending criteria and resides in a <br> country with a sovereign credit rating of <br> AAA. |
| UK Government gilts (longer term <br> Government debt) | AAA |
| Supranational Bonds (Multi-lateral <br> Development Bank bonds) | AAA |

In February 2011, Council approved a recommendation to allow up to £5million of 'core' deposits (i.e. sums that are likely to be needed in the short to medium term) to be invested for periods of up to 3 years. This was seen as likely to be beneficial on those occasions when a deposit can be made in advance of a fall in medium to long-term interest rates. Having a strategy in place to take advantage of such situations, as and when they arise, provides the opportunity to enhance interest receipts.

The Council does not, currently, have any longer term deposits as medium to long-term interest rates are not expected to fall any further but only to increase.

| Current longer term Deposits | Amount $£$ | Maturity | Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NIL | NIL | N/A | N/A |

## Country limits (sovereign credit ratings)

The Council has determined, in general, to suspend lending to overseas financial institutions and their UK subsidiaries from the Council's Counterparty List. Subject to this, it is proposed that investments in money market funds and supranational bonds be permitted provided that they are rated AAA. This will give the Council more flexibility to achieve a higher yield, without significantly
impacting risk. Any associated risks of such deposits would be assessed in association with the Council's Treasury Management advisors (Sector) and advised to the Council.

The Council agreed at its meeting on 25 February 2010, to lend to UK subsidiaries of foreign institutions, but only where the parent company also meets the Council's lending criteria and resides in a country with a sovereign credit rating of AAA

## Counterparty List

The revised counterparty list is shown in Annex 3 to this report and the proposed changes are shown in italics.

## Investment Strategy

The Council manages its deposits in-house. As in past years, any deposit decision will have regard to the Council's cash flow requirements and the outlook for short/medium-term interest rates. There will, therefore, be a mix of maturity periods at any one time. The prudent commitment of funds will be a basic principle.

## Interest rate outlook

Sector forecasts Bank Rates to remain unchanged at $0.50 \%$ before starting to rise from quarter 3 of 2013. Forecasts for bank rate at financial year-ends are as follows:
March $2012 \quad 0.50 \%$
March $20130.50 \%$

March 2014 1.25\%
March $2015 \quad 2.50 \%$

There is a downside risk to these forecasts if economic growth remains weaker and slower than currently expected.

The Council will avoid locking into longer term deals while deposit rates are down at historically low levels unless attractive rates are available with counterparties of particularly high creditworthiness which make longer term deals worthwhile and within the risk parameters set by this council.

## Icelandic Bank Deposits - Update

On 28 October 2011 the Icelandic Supreme Court ruled that deposits placed by test case UK local authorities and other wholesale depositors in Landsbanki hf have priority status over other creditors in the winding up of the bank. Although we await confirmation that the ruling will be applied to non-test cases it is anticipated that a high proportion of the Council's Landsbanki hf deposits will be returned. The actual repayments are currently expected to be partially in foreign currency. It is too early to provide a definitive policy on how the associated exchange rate risk will be managed, but the expectation is that the risk will be minimised and assets converted to sterling at the earilest opportunity.

## Policy on the use of external service providers

The Council uses Sector Treasury Services Ltd as its external treasury management advisers. Their current contract ends on 31st July 2012.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon its external service providers.

The Council also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. It will therefore ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

## Treasury Management Scheme of delegation

Annex 4 shows the Treasury Management Scheme of Delegation for the Authority.

## The Treasury Management Role of the section 151 officer

The Authority's Section 151 Officer is the Director of Resources. Annex 5 details the role the Section 151 Officer in relation to the Council's Treasury Management function.

## Treasury Management Practices (TMPs)

The Council operates in accordance with CIPFA's Treasury Management Code of Practice 2011 and as such has produced a set of Treasury Management Practices to give a framework under which it operates.

These practices will be amended to reflect any changes to strategy arising from the recommendations in this report. The revised set of Treasury Management Practices will be reported as part of the Annual Treasury Report.

## Annex 3

## Revised Counterparty List

```
(Note: italics show amendments being recommended to Council in this
report)
The full listing of approved counter-parties is shown below:
UK Building Societies
Nationwide
    United Kingdom
UK Banks
Barclays Bank PlC United Kingdom
HSBC Bank Plc United Kingdom
Standard Chartered Bank United Kingdom
Local Authorities - All UK Local Authorities
Police Authorities - All UK Police Authorities
Fire Authorities - All UK Fire Authorities
Passenger Transport Authorities - All UK Passenger Transport Authorities
Nationalised Industries - All UK Nationalised Industries
Debt Management Account - Deposit Facility
UK Nationalised Banks
UK Subsidiaries of Foreign Institutions
```


## Other Financial Instruments

```
UK Government gilts and treasury bills (long and short term Government debt)
Money Market Funds (Further debt instrument)
Supranational bonds (Multi-lateral Development Bank bonds)
```


## Annex 4

## Treasury Management Scheme of Delegation

## Council

a) Approval of reports on treasury management policies, practices, activities and performance and any subsequent amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses on treasury management.
b) Approval of the annual treasury management strategy.
c) Approval of the division of responsibilities.

## The Leader

a) Receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations
b) Approving the selection of external service providers and agreeing terms of appointment
c) Making recommendations to Council in relation to Treasury Management matters

## Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee

a) Reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and commenting on recommendations to Council.
b) Receiving and reviewing the regular monitoring reports from the Director of Resources.

## Annex 5

## The Treasury Management Role of the Section 151 Officer

## The S151 (responsible) officer

1. Recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly and monitoring compliance
2. Submitting regular treasury management policy reports
3. Submitting budgets and budget variations
4. Receiving and reviewing management information reports
5. Reviewing the performance of the treasury management function
6. Ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function
7. Ensuring the adequacy of internal audit and liaising with external audit
8. Recommending the appointment of external service providers

## Appendix U

## Sensitivity Analysis

| Topic | Quantum | BSR Assumption | Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employer's Pension Contribution | £35m | BSR includes provision for increases of $0.75 \%$ from 2011/12 to 2016/17 | Assumptions on life expectancy and negative market effects on the value of assets in the Pension Fund leading to increased employer contribution requirements above the level of provision already made |
| Pay Settlement | £35m | $0 \%$ in $2011 / 12$ and 2012/13 | Actual pay award settlements are agreed at a level, mitigated by central government's proposal for $1 \%$ increases for the public sector for 2013/14 and 2014/15 |
| Developer Contributions | c. $£ 5.9 \mathrm{~m}$ | All contributions are used in compliance with terms of agreements | Failure to meet conditions of individual schemes leads to the requirement to repay contributions and accrued interest to developers. <br> Developers seek to renegotiate current agreements in order to improve the viability of their schemes putting at risk the ability to deliver essential infrastructure <br> If the Community Infrastructure Levy is implemented this may reduce income from individual developments. |
| Energy costs (all) | £1.2m | Officer assessment of current conditions and trends, based on latest contracts | Volatility of world market prices |
| Future Capital Receipts | Income | Occasional disposal of assets as outlined in the Disposal Programme. Income not taken into account until received. | Market conditions significantly reduce the value of Council assets with the associated reduced level of funding available for new capital investment. Purchaser's ability to buy is limited due to financing constraints. |
| Investment Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +/-1\% is } \\ & \text { C£500k for } \\ & 2012 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | Assumptions are shown in Appendix T. These are based on a midrange level provided by market analysts. | Rates fall further than anticipated or for a longer period. <br> A shorter period of recession or a less steep decline in rates would result in increase in investment income. (Positive) |
| Non-Pay inflation |  | General inflation on expenditure - included at 2.7\% (CPIY June 2011). General inflation provision from 2013/14 onwards has been included at $2 \%$ (based on the Government target for CPI inflation). | General Inflation rises more quickly than anticipated placing greater pressure on cash limited budgets or on General Reserves to fund those pressures. |
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| Topic | Quantum | BSR Assumption | Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund |  |  |  |
| Housing Benefits |  | Officer assessment of current conditions and trends | Council funded element of provision of the service. <br> Potential increase in Housing Benefit fraud |
| Council Tax Income | £7.0m p.a. | 0\% increase for 2012/13 and $2.5 \%$ for 2013/14 ongoing are built into projections | Capping criteria are set retrospectively and breaching the criteria could lead to a requirement for rebilling and associated costs together with the loss of Council Tax income. <br> Economic climate may require an increase in enforcement activity. |
| Car Parking Income | c. £9.0m | Based on Officer and external consultants' projections of usage | Economic downturn reduces usage and/or increased use of Park \& Ride and impact of new guided bus. |
| Bereavement Services Income | £1.5m | Current mortality rates built into BSR assumptions | Falling mortality rate [yet ageing population in Cambridge] <br> Opening of competitor facilities (new Crematorium opening in town of March during 2010) further planning applications are anticipated in view of the expansion of Cambridge sub region and the comparatively low investment required for a profitable new build crematorium with private sector operating costs <br> Success of Commemoration scheme (Positive) |
| Planning Fee Income | c. £1.0m | Income projections are consistent with the basis for the 2011/12 budget | Impact of economic downturn is greater or longer than anticipated |
| Building Control Fee Income | c. $£ 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Based on break-even full cost recovery position for the Building Control Service | Impact of economic downturn is greater or longer than anticipated <br> Increased competition from approved inspectors leading to smaller market share <br> New LABC fees guidance leads to significant over or under-recovery of costs |
| Corn Exchange Income | C. £1.4m | Based on Officer projections of attendance | Economic downturn leads to fall in attendances. <br> Social change leads to lower attendance at live concerts |
| Folk Festival Income | c. £ 1.5 m | Based on assumption that all tickets will be sold | Economic downturn leads to fall in attendances (as in 2010) and/or failure to retain sponsorship |
| Market Income | c. $£ 0.7 \mathrm{~m}$ | Officer assessment of current marke $\dagger$ conditions and future trends | Increased level of voids as a result of the current economic climate, mitigated by new traders coming to the market as we seek to widen the range of services on the market and the cost of business premises is prohibitive for start-ups. |
| Commercial Property Income | c. £7.2m | Officer assessment of current market conditions and future trends | Economic conditions lead to increase in voids, increased level of unrecoverable debts, less significant rent increases. |


| Topic | Quantum | BSR Assumption | Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Charges Income | c. £0.2m | Reductions based on latest experience have been incorporated | Increased proportion of personal searches and reduced number of overall searches due to market conditions. <br> Potential loss of ability to charge for Personal Searches following a decision by the Information Commissioner. |
| Housing Revenue Account |  |  |  |
| Housing Rent Collection |  | Budgeted costs based on historic enforcement activity. | Economic climate may require an increase in enforcement activity. |
| Housing Rents |  | Based on assumption that actual rent increases are imposed in line with guideline rent increases as per the HRA Subsidy Determination, with convergence in 2015/16. Continuation of caps and limits compensation is also assumed (subject to impact of Self Financing below) | To avoid penalty in the subsidy system, actual rent increases have needed to follow increases in guideline rents. Any decision to deviate from this would have a significant negative financial impact for the HRA, in turn putting pressure on the Council's ability to invest in meeting and maintaining decent homes standards in the housing stock. Any further change in convergence date could have a detrimental financial impact on the HRA. (subject to impact of Self Financing below) |
| HRA Self Financing |  | Major changes to be implemented from 1 April 2012 | Revenue funding for the HRA may not be improved as anticipated by the introduction of a self-financing system. <br> Change in government policy leads to inability to build own social housing stock. |
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## Appendix V

## Significant Events


Appendix Z
Citizens Survey by BMG Research Ltd ©

Page 315
Q1b And please select three services that are less important and that could be provided at a lower standard to save money

|  | 2009 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest importance | Lowest importance | Highest importance | Lowest importance |
| Collecting rubbish from properties within the City | 68\% | 3\% | 73\% | 4\% |
| Cleaning the streets and public places and removing graffiti | 38\% | 7\% | 36\% | 10\% |
| Collecting recyclable items from properties within the City | 41\% | 9\% | 34\% | 9\% |
| Conserving the City's buildings and green spaces | 29\% | 7\% | 23\% | 4\% |
| Preventing and detecting benefit fraud | 19\% | 15\% | 23\% | 9\% |
| Preventing air, water, noise and land pollution | 29\% | 7\% | 22\% | 13\% |
| Helping people who are homeless and providing temporary accommodation | 23\% | 13\% | 21\% | 10\% |
| Enforcing food safety in restaurants | 11\% | 7\% | 10\% | 6\% |
| Administering Housing and Council Tax benefits for those residents who need support | 11\% | 27\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Managing and maintaining Council houses and involving tenants in the running of the service | 15\% | 15\% | 9\% | 15\% |
| Ensuring new buildings and alterations to Existing buildings, are built to acceptable standards | 11\% | 9\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Producing policy on where new housing and business developments should go | 10\% | 17\% | 6\% | 16\% |
| Making decisions on planning applications | 8\% | 11\% | 5\% | 11\% |
| Collecting Council Tax and Business Rates | 9\% | 15\% | 5\% | 12\% |
| Enforcing standards in privately rented housing | 8\% | 30\% | 3\% | 27\% |
| Running elections | 5\% | 25\% | 3\% | 27\% |
| Licensing pubs and clubs, where alcohol is served, and gambling premises | 5\% | 22\% | 2\% | 21\% |
| Licensing Taxis | 3\% | 30\% | 2\% | 26\% |
| Enforcing health and safety in local businesses | 7\% | 25\% | 1\% | 22\% |
| Administering Council meetings and supporting Councillors so that they can represent local residents | 4\% | 8\% | 1\% | 27\% |

8580 Cambridge City Council topline results
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| Q2a Please select three services that are very important and that should be provided to the highest possible standard |
| :--- |
| Q2b And please select three services that are less important and that could be provided at a lower standard to save money |
| Q2c And please select three services that could be stopped altogether if savings have to be found |

8580 Cambridge City Council topline results
U|

Working with the Police to tackle anti-social behaviour


Providing community services for children and young people
Managing and maintaining the City's Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) system
Managing and maintaining the crematorium and the City's cemeteries
Providing and managing the Council's sports services such as swimming pools, indoor and Helping people to access training and find work

Providing community services for disabled people and other minority groups
Providing events such as the Folk Festival, Big Weekend and Bonfire Night

Managing and maintaining community centres
Managing and maintaining the City car parks
Managing and maintaining the central market




Managing and maintaining some commercial premises which the Council rent to local businesses

○ㅇ
$35 \%$

30\%
\%Sて
Q2c Service to be stopped

육
Q Q2b Less important service


20\%

5\%
$15 \%$

■ Q2a. Very important service

Unweighted sample base in parentheses
8580 Cambridge City Council topline results
Q5. Which of the following statements do you agree with the most? Q6. Which of the following statements do you agree with the least?

|  | 2009* |  | 2011** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% most agree | \% least agree | \% most agree | \% least agree |
| It is important for Cambridge City Council to maintain current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax | 23\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% |
| It is important for Cambridge City Council to improve current levels of service, even if this means increasing Council Tax | 19\% | 22\% | 13\% | 31\% |
| It is important for Cambridge City Council not to increase Council Tax, even if this means a reduction in the levels of some services | 26\% | 33\% | 31\% | 24\% |
| Cambridge City Council should charge for more services so they don't have to increase Council Tax | 33\% | 21\% | 31\% | 22\% |

Service levels versus Council Tax increase


## Contacts

| Name |  | Telephone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| David Horspool | Director of Resources | 01223457007 |
| Julia Minns | Head of Accountancy Services | 01223458134 |
| Chris Humphris | Principal Accountant (Services) | 01223458141 |
| Julia Hovells | Business Manager (Housing) | 01223457822 |
| John Harvey | Senior Accountant | 01223458143 |
| Jackie Collinwood | Senior Accountant | 01223458241 |
| Linda Thompson | Service Accountant | 01223458144 |
| Richard Wesbroom | Service Accountant | 01223458148 |
| Karen Whyatt | Service Accountant | 01223458145 |


[^0]:    * All charges are subject to VAT.

[^1]:    Total for Customer Services \& Resources
    Portfolio

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Subject to January Committee cycle or Executive approvals
    ${ }^{2}$ Subject to January Committee cycle or Executive approvals

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes forecast funding from completed S106 agreements where trigger points for the receipt of contributions have not yet been reached. Whilst most of these contributions are for off-site spending, stipulations within some legal agreements can prescribe how (type of project), where (proximity to development) and when the contribution can be used. Developer contributions must be used for the intended purposes
    ${ }^{2}$ Some contributions from CB1 and NIAB Frontage developments are available to fund projects beyond the growth sites.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes only those approved capital projects that are in the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan (2011/122015/16) to be financed from Developer Contributions.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indicates that all provision within this category will be provided on site, so that no off-site contributions will be payable
    ${ }^{2}$ Indicates site-specific maintenance contributions only for open spaces/public realm areas within these categories to be provided on site that will be transferred to the City Council to manage and maintain/ or payments to the local authority for other facilities and services that need to be provided/delivered on site
    ${ }^{3}$ Indicates off-site contribution towards named project specified in S106 agreement
    4 Formula contributions to be calculated

[^5]:     'to a share of savings proposed in legal fee budgets, partially offset by the costs associated , with a new ASB Case Management System

[^6]:    Savings on Human $0 \quad(5,860) \quad(5,860) \quad(5,860) \quad(5,860)$ Sue Dawson Resources staffing costs
    ${ }^{\prime} R \overline{\text { enduch }}$ Ideletion of vacant Business Partner post.

[^7]:    A capital scheme to introduce Solar PV panels was proposed in 2011/12 budget (C2655). Following a reduction in the return from the Feed In Tariff scheme, this project has now been ,cancelled. See C2965 for new renewable energy project [See also C2981]

[^8]:     'Exchange, the proposed investment in a new sound system will enable the venue to attract a' 'wider range of tours (many of whom do not currently include the Corn Exchange because of Ithe need to hire equipment to perform at the venue. The investment is seen as critical to ıenhance the performance slate and reduce the number of dark days in the venue and as a। ıresult improve the Corn Exchange's financial position.

[^9]:     Iinsulation measures for private sector housing. Through offering additional funding this scheme 'will bring more Government funding into the city and will provide basic insulation measures to' 'residents who have previously been unable to afford it or who needed this added incentive.' 'Not only will the proposal help the City Council meet its objectives regarding climate change, lbut more importantly it will target fuel poverty by providing warmer homes to residents and 'allowing residents to save money on their energy bills. This proposal would require partnership' 'work with registered insulation installers but could be provided within the current capacity of the service.
    I $\qquad$

[^10]:    

[^11]:    1 NB. Borrowing profiles for HRA self-financing are currently being formulated by the Director of Resources in consultation with Ernst and Young (our recently appointed advisors on borrowing strategies)

